

Degree condition for completely independent spanning trees[☆]Xia Hong, Qinghai Liu^{*}

Center for Discrete Mathematics, Fuzhou University Fujian, 350002, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 1 November 2014

Received in revised form 15 May 2016

Accepted 23 May 2016

Available online 30 May 2016

Communicated by X. Wu

Keywords:

Completely independent spanning tree
Combinatorial problems

ABSTRACT

Let T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k be spanning trees of a graph G . For any two vertices u, v of G , if the paths from u to v in these k trees are pairwise openly disjoint, then we say that T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k are completely independent. Araki showed that a graph G on $n \geq 7$ vertices has two completely independent spanning trees if the minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq n/2$. In this paper, we give a generalization: a graph G on $n \geq 4k - 1$ vertices has k completely independent spanning trees if the minimum degree $\delta(G) \geq \frac{k-1}{k}n$. In fact, we prove a stronger result.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The graphs considered in this paper are finite, undirected, and simple (no loops or multiple edges). The vertex set and the edge set of G are denoted by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, the neighbor set $N_G(v)$ is the set of vertices adjacent to v , $d_G(v) = |N_G(v)|$ is the degree of v . $\delta(G) = \min\{d_G(v) : v \in V(G)\}$ is the minimum degree of G . For a subset $U \subset V(G)$, the subgraph induced by U is denoted by $G[U]$ and $G - U$ is subgraph induced by $V(G) \setminus U$. If $U = \{u\}$ then we shall use $G - u$ instead of $G - \{u\}$. An isomorphism of graphs G and H is a bijection between the vertex sets of G and H such that any two vertices u and v of G are adjacent in G if and only if $f(u)$ and $f(v)$ are adjacent in H . If an isomorphism exists between two graphs, then the graphs are called isomorphic and we write $G \cong H$.

Let x, y be two vertices of G . An (x, y) -path is a path with the two ends x and y . Two (x, y) -paths P_1, P_2 are openly disjoint if they have no common edge and no

common vertex except for the two ends x and y . Let T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k be spanning trees in a graph H . For any two vertices u, v of H , if the paths from u to v in these k trees are pairwise openly disjoint, then we say that T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k are completely independent spanning trees (CISTs) in G . Readers are referred to [3,5,7] for completely independent spanning trees and its applications.

The concept of completely independent spanning trees was proposed by Hasunuma [3]. In [3], Hasunuma gave a characterization for CISTs and proved that the underlying graph of a k -connected line digraph always contains k CISTs. It is well known [8,10] that every $2k$ -edge-connected graph has k edge-disjoint spanning trees. Motivated by this, Hasunuma [4] conjectured that every $2k$ -connected graph has k CISTs. However, Péterfalvi [9] disproved the conjecture by constructing a k -connected graph, for each $k \geq 2$, which does not have two CISTs. In [3], Hasunuma studied CISTs in some special graphs. Recently, Araki [1] provided a new characterization of the existence of k CISTs and showed that a graph G has two CISTs if $\delta(G) \geq n/2$ or G is a square of a 2-connected graph. It is interesting to note that these two well-known conditions are also sufficient for a graph to be Hamiltonian. So, Araki [1] asked that whether other sufficient conditions for a graph to be Hamiltonian also imply the existence of two CISTs. In [2], Fan et al. confirmed that the well-known Ore's condition

[☆] This research is supported in part by NSFC (11301086) and also in part by SRFPD (20133514120012).

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: 05shumenghongxia@163.com (X. Hong), qliu@fzu.edu.cn (Q. Liu).

also implies the existence two CISTs. In this paper, we focus on minimum degree conditions and generalize the existence of two CISTs to k CISTs in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a graph on $n \geq 4k - 1 \geq 7$ vertices. If $\delta(G) \geq \frac{k-1}{k}n$ then G has k completely independent spanning trees.*

When $k = 2$, it is exactly the Araki’s result in [1] (see Theorem 2.2). In Section 2, some preliminaries are given. In Section 3, a stronger version of Theorem 1.1 will be proved.

We noticed that Hasunuma [6] independently obtained a similar result about the existence of k CISTs, which states that for any graph G on $n \geq 7$ vertices and for any integer k with $3 \leq k \leq n/2$, if $\delta(G) \geq n - k$ then G has $\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor$ CISTs. In fact, this is basically equivalent to our Theorem 1.1.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, the characterization given by Araki [1] will be stated. Let (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k) be a partition of the vertex set $V(G)$ and, for $i \neq j$, $B(V_i, V_j, G)$ be a bipartite graph with the edge set $\{uv | uv \in E(G), u \in V_i \text{ and } v \in V_j\}$. If the graph G is clear from the context, we may use $B(V_1, V_2)$ instead of $B(V_1, V_2, G)$. A partition (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k) is called a CIST-partition of G if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (1) for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, the induced subgraph $G[V_i]$ is connected and
- (2) for any $i \neq j$, the bipartite graph $B(V_i, V_j)$ has no tree components, that is, every connected component H of $B(V_i, V_j)$ satisfies $|E(H)| \geq |V(H)|$.

Araki [1] proved the existence of k CISTs is in fact equivalent to the existence of a CIST-partition.

Theorem 2.1 ([1]). *A connected graph G has k completely independent spanning trees if and only if there is a CIST-partition (V_1, \dots, V_k) of $V(G)$.*

By using Theorem 2.1, Araki [1] proved that Dirac’s condition yields a CIST-partition (V_1, V_2) of $V(G)$ and obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.2 ([1]). *Let G be a graph with $n \geq 7$ vertices. If $\delta(G) \geq n/2$, then G has two completely independent spanning trees.*

In this paper, we will show that Dirac’s condition in fact implies a balanced version of CIST-partition. A partition (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k) is *balanced* if $||V_i| - |V_j|| \leq 1$ for all $i \neq j$. In order to state the proof clearly, we give the following lemma first.

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a graph and $\delta(G) \geq n/2$, (V_1, V_2) is a balanced partition of $V(G)$. If $d_{B(V_1, V_2)}(v) \geq 2$ for all $v \in V(G)$, then there exist two subsets $X \subseteq V_1$ and $Y \subseteq V_2$ such that $|X| = |Y|$, $B(X, Y)$ is connected and every component of $B(V_1 \cup Y \setminus X, V_2 \cup X \setminus Y)$ contains a cycle except for the component containing $B(X, Y)$.*

Proof. Let x_1y_1 be the edge of $B(V_1, V_2)$ such that $x_1 \in V_1, y_1 \in V_2$ and $\min\{|N(x_1) \cap V_2|, |N(y_1) \cap V_1|\}$ is minimum and subject to this $|N(x_1) \cap V_2| + |N(y_1) \cap V_1|$ is minimum. Let $X_1 = \{x_1\}$ and $Y_1 = \{y_1\}$. We will show that X_1 and Y_1 are the desire two subsets in most cases. In fact, we will give a characterization of G under the assumption that X_1 and Y_1 are not the desire two subsets.

Claim 1. $\min\{|N(x_1) \cap V_2|, |N(y_1) \cap V_1|\} = 2$.

Suppose, to the contrary, that $\min\{|N(x_1) \cap V_2|, |N(y_1) \cap V_1|\} \geq 3$. Then by the choice of x_1y_1 , $\delta(B(V_1, V_2)) \geq 3$. It follows that $\delta(B(V_1, V_2) - \{x_1, y_1\}) \geq 2$. Thus every component of $B(V_1, V_2) - \{x_1, y_1\}$ has a cycle, which implies X_1, Y_1 are the desire two subsets, a contradiction to the assumption and the claim is proved.

By Claim 1, without loss of generality, we may assume $N(x_1) \cap V_2 = \{y_1, y_2\}$. Let $U_1 = V_1 \cup Y_1 \setminus X_1$ and $U_2 = V_2 \cup X_1 \setminus Y_1$. As $\delta(G) \geq \lceil n/2 \rceil \geq |V_1|$,

$$|V_1 \setminus (N(x_1) \cup \{x_1\})| = |V_1| - 1 - |N(x_1) \cap V_1| \leq |V_1| - 1 - (\lceil n/2 \rceil - 2) \leq 1. \quad (1)$$

Claim 2. $V_1 \setminus \{x_1\} \not\subseteq N(x_1)$.

Suppose, to the contrary, that $V_1 \setminus \{x_1\} \subseteq N(x_1)$. Then x_1 is adjacent to every vertex of U_1 in $B(U_1, U_2)$. Also, by the assumption $d_{B(V_1, V_2)}(v) \geq 2$ for all $v \in V(G)$, $N(v) \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$ for all $v \in U_2$. Thus $B(U_1, U_2)$ is connected. Then X_1, Y_1 are the desire two subsets, a contradiction to the assumption. The claim is proved.

By Claim 2 and (1), we may assume that $V_1 \setminus (\{x_1\} \cup N(x_1)) = \{x_2\}$. Then we have the following claim.

Claim 3. $x_2y_2 \in E(G)$.

For any vertex $z \in V_2 \setminus \{y_1, y_2\}$, as $|N(z) \cap V_1| \geq 2$, z is adjacent to some vertex in $N(x_1) \cap V_1$. It follows that $B(U_1, U_2) - \{x_2, y_2\}$ is connected. If $x_2y_2 \notin E(G)$ then $N(x_2) \cap (V_2 \setminus \{x_1, y_2\}) \neq \emptyset$ and $N(y_2) \cap (V_1 \setminus \{y_1, x_2\}) \neq \emptyset$. Thus $B(U_1, U_2)$ is connected and X_1, Y_1 are the desire two subsets, a contradiction to the assumption. The claim is proved.

Moreover, if $|N(x_2) \cap V_2| \geq 3$ or $|N(x_2) \cap V_2| = 2$ and $N(x_2) \cap V_2 \neq \{y_1, y_2\}$, then it is easy to see that $B(U_1, U_2)$ is connected and X_1, Y_1 are the desire two subsets, a contradiction again. So $N(x_2) \cap V_2 = \{y_1, y_2\}$. Similarly, $N(y_2) \cap V_1 = \{x_1, x_2\}$. Thus $|N(x_2) \cap V_2| + |N(y_2) \cap V_1| = 4$. By the choice of x_1y_1 , we also have $|N(x_1) \cap V_2| + |N(y_1) \cap V_1| = 4$. This implies $\{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2\}$ induces a component of $B(V_1, V_2)$. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2\}$ and $Y = \{y_1, y_2\}$. It is easy to see that X and Y are the desire two subsets. \square

3. Main results

In this section, we show that a graph G with large minimum degree admits a balanced CIST-partition, which will be used to find k completely independent spanning trees of G .

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/427030>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/427030>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)