ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Information Processing Letters

www.elsevier.com/locate/ipl



Note on maximal bisection above tight lower bound

Gregory Gutin*, Anders Yeo

Department of Computer Science, Royal Holloway, University of London, Egham, Surrey TW20 0EX, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 15 May 2010
Received in revised form 30 July 2010
Accepted 2 August 2010
Available online 3 August 2010
Communicated by A.A. Bertossi

Keywords: Algorithms Fixed-parameter tractability Kernels

ABSTRACT

In a graph G = (V, E), a bisection (X, Y) is a partition of V into sets X and Y such that $|X| \leq |Y| \leq |X| + 1$. The size of (X, Y) is the number of edges between X and Y. In the Max Bisection problem we are given a graph G = (V, E) and are required to find a bisection of maximum size. It is not hard to see that $\lceil |E|/2 \rceil$ is a tight lower bound on the maximum size of a bisection of G.

We study parameterized complexity of the following parameterized problem called Max Bisection above Tight Lower Bound (Max-Bisec-ATLB): decide whether a graph G=(V,E) has a bisection of size at least $\lceil |E|/2 \rceil + k$, where k is the parameter. We show that this parameterized problem has a kernel with $O(k^2)$ vertices and $O(k^3)$ edges, i.e., every instance of Max-Bisec-ATLB is equivalent to an instance of Max-Bisec-ATLB on a graph with at most $O(k^2)$ vertices and $O(k^3)$ edges.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In a graph G = (V, E), a bisection (X, Y) is a partition of V into sets X and Y such that $|X| \leq |Y| \leq |X| + 1$. The size of (X, Y) is the number of edges between X and Y. In the Max Bisection problem we are given a graph G = (V, E)and are required to find a bisection of maximum size. Corollary 1 in Section 3 shows that $\lceil m/2 \rceil$ is a tight lower bound on the maximum size of a bisection of G, where m = |E|. (A bound on some parameter of a graph is called tight if there are infinitely many graphs G for which the value of the parameter coincides with the lower bound.) In what follows, for any pair U, W of disjoint sets of V, (U, W) will denote the set of edges between U and W, and n and m will stand for the number of vertices and edges, respectively, in the graph G under consideration. In the rest of the paper, n is assumed to be even as if n is odd, we may add an isolated vertex to G without changing the maximum size of a bisection or our lower bound of $\lceil m/2 \rceil$.

The standard parametrization of Max Bisection is to decide whether G has a bisection of size at least k. (We give basic definitions on parameterized complexity in the next section.) Using the $\lceil m/2 \rceil$ lower bound, it is easy to see that the standard parametrization of Max Bisection has a kernel with at most 2k edges. Indeed, if $\lceil m/2 \rceil \geqslant k$ the answer is YES and, otherwise, m < 2k. At the first glance, it looks like the size 2k of this kernel is small, but it is not true. Indeed, for k > (m+1)/2, we have 2k > m+1, which means that the kernel is of little value from both theoretical and practical points of view.

Similar examples were given by Mahajan et al. [20] who indicated that only parameterizations above tight lower bounds or below tight upper bounds are of interest. Several results on problems parameterized above tight lower bounds have already been obtained in the literature (e.g., [1,3,6,7,12–16,19–21,23]), but almost all results on the topic in the last couple of years were on constraint satisfaction rather than graph theoretical problems.

In this paper, we turn to graph theoretical problems parameterized above tight lower bounds and consider the following Max BISECTION ABOVE TIGHT LOWER BOUND (MAX-BISEC-ATLB) problem: decide whether a graph G has a bisection of size at least $\lceil m/2 \rceil + k$, where k is the parameter. We prove that this parameterized problem has a kernel

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: gutin@cs.rhul.ac.uk (G. Gutin), anders@cs.rhul.ac.uk

with $O(k^2)$ vertices and $O(k^3)$ edges. Thus, in particular, Max-Bisec-ATLB is fixed-parameter tractable. A closely related result to ours is by Bollobás and Scott [3] who proved that the problem of deciding whether a graph G has a maximum cut of size at last $\frac{m}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{m}{8}} + k$, where k is the parameter, has an algorithm of running time $O(2^{O(k^4)} + n + m)$, i.e., the problem is fixed-parameter tractable. Note that the problem considered by Bollobás and Scott [3] is parameterized above a tight lower bound as $\lceil \frac{m}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{m}{8} + \frac{1}{64}} - \frac{1}{8} \rceil$ is a tight lower bound on the maximum size of a cut, which was first proved by Edwards [9].

2. Basics on fixed-parameter tractability and kernelization

A parameterized problem is a subset $L \subseteq \Sigma^* \times \mathbb{N}$ over a finite alphabet Σ . L is fixed-parameter tractable if the membership of an instance (x,k) in $\Sigma^* \times \mathbb{N}$ can be decided in time $f(k)|x|^{O(1)}$, where f is a computable function of the parameter k. If the nonparameterized version of L (where k is just a part of the input) is NP-hard, then the function f(k) must be superpolynomial provided $P \neq NP$. Often f(k) is "moderately exponential," which makes the problem practically feasible for small values of k. Thus, it is important to parameterize a problem in such a way that the instances with small values of k are of real interest.

Given a parameterized problem L, a kernelization of L is a polynomial-time algorithm that maps an instance (x,k) to an instance (x',k') (the kernel) such that (i) $(x,k) \in L$ if and only if $(x',k') \in L$, (ii) $k' \leq g(k)$, and (iii) $|x'| \leq h(k)$ for some functions g and h. The function h(k) is called the size of the kernel.

It is well known that a parameterized problem L is fixed-parameter tractable if and only if it is decidable and admits a kernelization. Due to applications, low degree polynomial size kernels are of main interest. Unfortunately, many fixed-parameter tractable problems do not have kernels of polynomial size unless the polynomial hierarchy collapses to the third level [4,5,10]. For further background and terminology on parameterized complexity we refer the reader to the monographs [8,11,22].

3. Results

A result similar to the following lemma but for cuts rather than bisections was apparently first proved by Haglin and Venkatesan [17].

Lemma 1. If M is a matching in a graph G, then G has a bisection of size at least $\lceil m/2 \rceil + \lfloor |M|/2 \rfloor$. We can find such a bisection in time O(m+n).

Proof. Recall that we may assume that n is even and let p = n/2. Let $U = u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_p$ and $V = v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_p$ be two disjoint sequences of vertices of G such that $M = \{u_1v_1, \ldots, u_{|M|}v_{|M|}\}$. Starting from empty sets X and Y, for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, p$, place u_i in X or Y with probability 1/2 and place v_i in the other set. Observe that the expectation of the size of the bisection is |M| + (m - |M|)/2 since the

probability of each edge of M to be between X and Y is 1 and the probability of any other edge to be between X and Y is 1/2. Thus, there is a bisection in G of size at least $\lceil m/2 + |M|/2 \rceil \geqslant \lceil m/2 \rceil + \lfloor |M|/2 \rfloor$.

We can find such a bisection by derandomizing the above randomized procedure using the well-known method of conditional probabilities, see, e.g., Chapter 15 in [2] or Chapter 26 in [18]. This derandomization leads to a greedy algorithm in which at Step i $(1 \le i \le p)$ we place u_i in X and v_i in Y rather than the other way around if and only if $|(u_i,Y)|+|(v_i,X)|\geqslant |(u_i,X)|+|(v_i,Y)|$, where X and Y are sets constructed before Step i (here u_i stands for $\{u_i\}$, etc.). The greedy algorithm takes time O(m+n). \square

Corollary 1. A graph G has a bisection of size at least $\lceil m/2 \rceil$ and this lower bound on the maximum size of a bisection is tight.

Proof. The first part of the claim follows immediately from Lemma 1. To see that $\lceil m/2 \rceil$ is tight, it suffices to consider the star $K_{1,m}$ for any odd m. \square

Theorem 1. The problem Max-BISEC-ATLB has a kernel with $O(k^2)$ vertices and $O(k^3)$ edges.

Proof. Recall that we may assume that n is even, as otherwise we can add an isolated vertex. Let M be a maximal matching in a graph G = (V, E). Such a matching can be found in time O(n+m). If $|M| \ge 2k$, then by Lemma 1, the answer to Max-Bisec-ATLB is yes. Henceforth, if the answer to Max-Bisec-ATLB is yes, we may take as a kernel the path on 2k + 2 vertices. Thus, assume that |M| < 2k. Let $R = V \setminus V(M)$, where V(M) is the set of vertices covered by M. For each vertex x covered by M, let S(x) be the smallest of the following two sets: $N(x) \cap R$ and $R \setminus N(x)$, where N(x) is the set of neighbors of x. Now consider two cases.

Case 1. There is a vertex $z \in V(M)$ with $|S(z)| \ge 2k - (|M| - 1)$. Let X' be any set of size 2k - (|M| - 1) in $N(z) \cap R$ and let Y' contain z and 2k - (|M| - 1) - 1 vertices from $R \setminus N(z)$. Note that |X'| = |Y'| = 2k - |M| + 1 and there are 2k - |M| + 1 edges between X' and Y'. Furthermore X' and Y' are independent sets of vertices. Set X = X' and Y = Y', and let M' be the set of edges in M minus the edge incident to z. For each edge uv in M', place u in X or Y with probability 1/2 and place v in the other set. Partition the vertices of G still not in $X \cup Y$ into pairs and use the randomized procedure of Lemma 1 to assign those vertices to either X or Y.

Observe that the expected number of edges between X and Y equals |(X',Y')| + |M'| + f/2, where f is the number of edges of G not belonging to (X',Y') or M'. Thus, the expected number of edges between X and Y is at least

$$m/2 + [(2k - |M| + 1) + (|M| - 1)]/2 = m/2 + k.$$

Similarly to Lemma 1, we can derandomize the randomized procedure from the first paragraph of this proof to obtain a greedy-type algorithm producing a bisection of size at least $\lceil m/2 \rceil + k$.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/427913

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/427913

Daneshyari.com