



# Computing sharp 2-factors in claw-free graphs<sup>☆,☆☆</sup>

Hajo Broersma, Daniël Paulusma<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Computer Science, Durham University, DH1 3LE Durham, United Kingdom

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 4 May 2009

Accepted 11 July 2009

Available online 22 July 2009

### Keywords:

Claw-free graph

2-factor

Number of components

Polynomial algorithm

## ABSTRACT

In a previous paper we obtained an upper bound for the minimum number of components of a 2-factor in a claw-free graph. This bound is sharp in the sense that there exist infinitely many claw-free graphs for which the bound is tight. In this paper we extend these results by presenting a polynomial algorithm that constructs a 2-factor of a claw-free graph with minimum degree at least four whose number of components meets this bound. As a byproduct we show that the problem of obtaining a minimum 2-factor (if it exists) is polynomially solvable for a subclass of claw-free graphs. As another byproduct we give a short constructive proof for a result of Ryjáček, Saito and Schelp.

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider 2-factors of claw-free graphs. Graph factors are well-studied. See [16] for a survey. Our motivation to study 2-factors goes back to the well-known NP-complete decision problem H-CYCLE (cf. [9]) in which the problem is to decide whether a given graph has a hamiltonian cycle, i.e., a connected 2-regular spanning subgraph. In the related problem 2-FACTOR the connectivity condition is dropped, hence the problem is to decide whether a given graph admits a 2-factor, i.e., a 2-regular spanning subgraph. This makes the problem considerably easier in the algorithmic sense: it is well known that 2-FACTOR can be solved in polynomial time by matching techniques, and a 2-factor can be constructed in polynomial time if the answer is YES (cf. [14]). Clearly, a hamiltonian cycle is a 2-factor consisting of one component, and the minimum number of components of a 2-factor can be seen as a measure for how far a graph is from being hamiltonian. So, from an algorithmic viewpoint a natural question is to consider the problem of determining a 2-factor of a given graph with a minimum number of components. Obviously, this is an NP-hard problem. Hence it makes sense to search for 2-factors with a reasonably small number of components if we aim for polynomial time algorithms. For this research we have restricted ourselves to the class of claw-free graphs. This is a rich class containing, e.g., the class of line graphs and the class of complements of triangle-free graphs. It is also a very well-studied graph class, both within structural graph theory and within algorithmic graph theory; see [7] for a survey. Furthermore, computing a 2-factor with a minimum number of components remains NP-hard for the class of claw-free graphs.

In [1] we already obtained an upper bound for the minimum number of components of a 2-factor in a claw-free graph. This bound is sharp in the sense that there exist infinitely many claw-free graphs for which the bound is tight; we will specify this later. When considering the related complexity problems, we soon realized that the proof methods used in [1] need to be extended in order to obtain a polynomial algorithm that constructs a corresponding 2-factor, e.g., a 2-factor whose number of components is at most our upper bound. In the present paper we present this polynomial time algorithm.

<sup>☆</sup> This work has been supported by EPSRC (EP/D053633/1).

<sup>☆☆</sup> A preliminary and shortened version of this paper appeared in the Proceedings of the 33rd International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science (MFCS 2008).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: hajo.broersma@durham.ac.uk (H. Broersma), daniel.paulusma@durham.ac.uk (D. Paulusma).

## 2. Terminology and background

We consider graphs that are finite, undirected and simple, i.e., without multiple edges and loops. For notation and terminology not defined in this paper we refer to [4].

Let  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  be a graph of order  $|G| = |V(G)| = n$  and of size  $e(G) = |E(G)|$ . The neighbor set of a vertex  $x$  in  $G$  is denoted by  $N_G(x) = \{y \in V(G) \mid xy \in E(G)\}$ , and its cardinality by  $d_G(x)$ . We denote the minimum (vertex) degree of  $G$  by  $\delta_G = \min\{d_G(x) \mid x \in V(G)\}$ . If no confusion can arise we often omit the subscripts.

Let  $K_n$  denote the complete graph on  $n$  vertices. A graph  $F$  is called a *2-factor* of a graph  $G$  if  $F$  is a 2-regular spanning subgraph of  $G$ , i.e., if  $F$  is a subgraph of  $G$  with  $V(F) = V(G)$  and  $d_F(x) = 2$  for all  $x \in V(F)$ . A *claw-free* graph is a graph that does not contain an induced subgraph isomorphic to the four-vertex star  $K_{1,3} = (\{u, a, b, c\}, \{ua, ub, uc\})$ .

### 2.1. Known results

Several interesting problems are still open for claw-free graphs such as the conjecture of Matthews and Sumner [15] that every 4-connected claw-free graph is hamiltonian. However, there is quite a lot known on 2-factors in claw-free graphs, including some very recent results. Results of both Choudum and Paulraj [3] and Egawa and Ota [5] imply that every claw-free graph with  $\delta \geq 4$  contains a 2-factor.

**Theorem 1.** (See [3,5].) *A claw-free graph with  $\delta \geq 4$  has a 2-factor.*

We observe that every 4-connected claw-free graph has minimum degree at least four, and hence has a 2-factor. A 2-connected claw-free graph already has a 2-factor if  $\delta = 3$  [20]. However, in general a claw-free graph with  $\delta \leq 3$  does not have to contain a 2-factor. Examples are easily obtained.

Faudree et al. [6] showed that every claw-free graph with  $\delta \geq 4$  has a 2-factor with at most  $6n/(\delta + 2) - 1$  components. Gould and Jacobson [11] proved that, for every integer  $k \geq 2$ , every claw-free graph of order  $n \geq 16k^3$  with  $\delta \geq n/k$  has a 2-factor with at most  $k$  components. Fronček, Ryjáček and Skupień [8] showed that, for every integer  $k \geq 4$ , every claw-free graph  $G$  of order  $n \geq 3k^2 - 3$  with  $\delta \geq 3k - 4$  and  $\sigma_k > n + k^2 - 4k + 7$  has a 2-factor with at most  $k - 1$  components. Here  $\sigma_k$  denotes the minimum degree sum of any  $k$  mutually nonadjacent vertices.

If a graph  $G$  is claw-free, 2-connected and has  $\delta \geq 4$ , then  $G$  has a 2-factor with at most  $(n + 1)/4$  components [13]. If a graph  $G$  is claw-free, 3-connected and has  $\delta \geq 4$ , then  $G$  has a 2-factor with at most  $2n/15$  components [13].

In [1] we considered claw-free graphs with  $\delta \geq 4$ . Our motivation for this is as follows. We first note that the number of components of a 2-factor in any graph on  $n$  vertices is obviously at most  $n/3$ . For claw-free graphs with  $\delta = 2$  that have a 2-factor we cannot do better than this trivial upper bound. This is clear from considering a disjoint set of triangles (cycles on three vertices). For claw-free graphs with  $\delta = 3$  that have a 2-factor, the upper bound  $n/3$  on its number of components is also tight, as shown in [1]. Hence, in order to get a nontrivial result it is natural to consider claw-free graphs with  $\delta \geq 4$ .

Our two main results in [1] provide answers to two open questions posed in [20].

**Theorem 2.** (See [1].) *A claw-free graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices with  $\delta \geq 5$  has a 2-factor with at most  $(n - 3)/(\delta - 1)$  components unless  $G$  is isomorphic to  $K_n$ .*

**Theorem 3.** (See [1].) *A claw-free graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices with  $\delta = 4$  has a 2-factor with at most  $(5n - 14)/18$  components, unless  $G$  belongs to a finite class of exceptional graphs.*

Both results are tight in the following sense. Let  $f_2(G)$  denote the minimum number of components in a 2-factor of  $G$ . Then in [20], for every integer  $d \geq 4$ , an infinite family  $\{F_i^d\}$  of claw-free graphs with  $\delta(F_i^d) \geq d$  is given such that  $f_2(F_i^d) > |F_i^d|/d \geq |F_i^d|/\delta(F_i^d)$ . This shows we cannot replace  $\delta - 1$  by  $\delta$  in Theorem 2. The bound in Theorem 3 is tight in the following sense. There exists an infinite family  $\{H_i\}$  of claw-free graphs with  $\delta(H_i) = 4$  such that

$$\lim_{|H_i| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_2(H_i)}{|H_i|} = \frac{5}{18}.$$

This family can be found in [20] as well.

The exceptional graphs of Theorem 3 have at most seventeen vertices. They are described in [1], and we will not specify them here. In [1] we also explain that Theorems 2 and 3 together improve the previously mentioned result of Faudree et al. [6] and that Theorem 2 also improves the previously mentioned result of Gould and Jacobson [11].

### 2.2. Results of this paper

The proofs in [1] do not yield algorithms for constructing 2-factors that satisfy the upper bounds in Theorems 2 and 3. In the remainder of this paper we will develop a new approach to these problems in order to establish polynomial algorithms that construct 2-factors of claw-free graphs with minimum degree at least four. Using our results in [1] we show that

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/431090>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/431090>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)