

Laboratoire d'Étude des Mécanismes Cognitifs, Université Lyon 2, Lyon, France

0166-4328/\$ – see front matter © 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
doi:[10.1016/j.bbr.2011.06.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2011.06.015)

nostril are conveyed to the left cerebral hemisphere (LH), and those from the left nostril to the right hemisphere (RH). Warming and cooling signals may thus be processed via selective pathways [6,7], giving rise to hemispheric differences [2–4]. Neuroimaging studies suggest, however, that thermosensory processing is strictly contralateral whatever the stimulus – warm or cool – and this is quite difficult to reconcile with our idea of hemispheric differences [2–4]. Yet, those findings are sometimes hard to interpret mainly because methodological issues do not allow drawing a complete picture of what is going on. For instance, a mostly left-sided activity involving the posterior insula was found with cooling stimuli applied on the right side of the body [5]. Yet, it is difficult to accept the idea of a strict contralateral activity without applying the same stimulus on the left side to discover whether activation would be found in the RH. In another study [9] cooling stimuli delivered on the right side of the body activated the left posterior insula (just as in [5]), but stimuli delivered on the left side of the body did not yield contralateral insular activation. Along with the findings of other imaging studies, these results suggest that cooling sensitivity is represented bilaterally [10] with a dominance of the LH. Something equivalent may be said for warming. RH activities were reported with stimuli delivered on the left side of the body [9,11,12]. Interestingly, one study reported activities in the right posterior insula with stimuli applied on the right side of the body [13], and another one reported bilateral activation of a brain network regardless of the side of stimulation, with activation being greater in the RH [8]. The combination of these studies suggests that a bilateral representation of warming sensitivity is possible [14,15], with a dominance of the RH. Taken in isolation, all these studies shed little light on the lateralization of thermosensory processes. Yet, their combination clearly supports the LH-cooling/RH-warming pattern discovered through the color-induced nasal thermal sensations [2–4].

The hemispheric account is also supported by neuropsychological evidence. It is true that clinical studies sometimes report contralateral loss of warm and cool sensitivity, which is consistent with their equal representation in each hemisphere [16–18]. Unfortunately, these reports do not allow distinguishing two alternative hypotheses, that is, representation in both hemispheres with equal importance and with contralateral involvement, or representation in both hemispheres with unequal importance and lateral dominance as a function of the stimulus type. To our knowledge, there are two single-case studies that provide a double dissociation and support the second account. A selective loss of contralateral cold perception, without any loss of warmth perception, has been reported following a lesion of the left insula [19]. Conversely, a lesion of the right insula might be followed by the selective loss of contralateral warmth perception, without any loss of cold perception [20]. Thus, the overall picture that rises when neuroimaging and neuropsychological data are taken together is that cooling and warming signals are likely to be processed differently in the two hemispheres despite being represented in both hemispheres.

However, it is unlikely the lateralization of color-induced nasal thermal sensations is due to a simple exclusive specialization of the cerebral hemispheres. If it were the case, both hemispheres would process thermosensory signals, but the LH would specialize in processing cooling signals and the RH in warming signals, as initially suggested [2]. What we observed [4] was that the frequency of contralateral (i.e., right) nostril green-cooling responses decreased following a lesion of the left insula, whereas there was an increment in the frequency of green-cooling responses in the ipsilateral (i.e., left) nostril. No changes were observed for red-warming responses. The inference from this is that the lateralized patterns probably emerge as a result of reciprocal inhibition between the cerebral hemispheres [21], and that a unilateral cortical lesion releases the intact hemisphere from inhibition. In addition to their relative specialization, the specific thermosensory systems of the two hemi-

spheres would thus be mutually inhibiting (e.g., the dominant LH processing of cooling signals would inhibit the non-dominant cooling system of the RH), so that each hemisphere could efficiently process those signals it is specialized to process. Behavior control would be achieved through balance between the selection of brain areas involved in thermosensory processing and the reciprocal inhibition enabling unequivocal choice between activating more the left or the right hemisphere [21]. The performance of healthy subjects should thus provide supporting evidence. If lateralized responses arise because of interhemispheric inhibition and balance, the variability of left nostril-warming and right nostril-cooling responses would follow a particular pattern. First, the notion of balance means that when one side goes up the other side goes down. Therefore, the more sensitive the left nostril is to warming, the more sensitive the right nostril would be to cooling. A positive correlation is thus expected between the dominance of the left nostril (i.e., left *minus* right) for warming and the dominance of the right nostril (i.e., right *minus* left) for cooling. Nostril differences would thus range from left-warming/right-cooling (i.e., the expected pattern) to left-cooling/right-warming (i.e., the opposite pattern). Second, a single center of mass is the point where changes in balance are observed. Thus, if there were a true balance between hemispheric processes, the point where the left nostril dominance for warming inverses and becomes a dominance for cooling would be the point where the dominance of the right nostril for cooling inverses. Third, as established by the previous studies [2–4], the subjects exhibiting the left-warming/right-cooling pattern would outnumber those exhibiting the opposite pattern, signifying that overall there is hemispheric specialization and that the center of mass does not coincide with the geometric center of the data. The present study aimed to investigate these hypotheses in healthy volunteers, and insofar as they all suggest that diverse patterns of nostril dominance are to be found in the population, we felt it was important to base our study on a large sample of subjects.

2. Methods

2.1. Subjects

The study was conducted in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki. One hundred and ninety one volunteers (age range: 17–69 years) took part in this study. All subjects were female for reasons of sample homogeneity and because previous investigations had shown that females are more sensitive to stimuli delivered in the nostrils. All had normal or corrected-to-normal vision and could correctly identify the colors of the stimuli. According to the Edinburgh laterality inventory [22], all were right-handed (mean laterality coefficient: 0.89 ± 0.15 ; range: 0.50–1.0). At the time of testing, they were non-smokers, free of sinus infection, allergy and head colds, and not under medication. Young participants were not pregnant. They all gave their written informed consent for their participation.

2.2. Stimuli

Three small 20 ml glass bottles (height: 5 cm; diameter: 3 cm) containing 10 ml of water were used as stimuli. One drop of odorless food coloring (Vahiné®) was added to two of these bottles to obtain one green solution (Munsell system Hue (H): 126°; saturation (S): 100%; brightness (B): 88%) and one red solution (H: 0°; S: 100%; B: 100%). The solution contained in the third bottle remained colorless. A yellow solution (H: 46°; S: 100%; B: 100%) was used during training trials. The bottles were placed in a 30 cm × 10 cm × 7 cm box with eight empty glass bottles and were not visible to the participant.

2.3. Apparatus

The Eyes/Nostrils Dissociation Device (ENDD; Fig. 1) was used, a white 40 cm high wooden stand specially designed for separating what the subject sees from what she smells. The detailed description of this device can be found in ref. [3]. It comprises two rectangular boards joined together; the lower one acts as a support while the upper one is used to present the colored solution and receives the subject's nasal bone. The nasal bone receptacle contains a small soft pad filled with cotton wool to maximize comfort, minimize thermal sensations on the skin caused by the wooden board, and, as far as possible, fit the shape of each subject's nasal bone, thereby separating the eyes from the nostrils. Another board is placed opposite the nasal bone receptacle to prevent the color of the objects behind the device interfering with the color of the solutions exhibited.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4313658>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4313658>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)