



Short communication

Voluntary wheel running produces resistance to inescapable stress-induced potentiation of morphine conditioned place preference

Robert R. Rozeske^{a,*}, Benjamin N. Greenwood^b, Monika Fleshner^b, Linda R. Watkins^a, Steven F. Maier^a

^a University of Colorado–Boulder, Department of Psychology and Neuroscience, Muenzinger Building, UCB 345, Boulder, CO 80309, USA

^b University of Colorado–Boulder, Department of Integrative Physiology, UCB 354, Boulder, CO 80309, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 21 December 2010

Received in revised form 10 January 2011

Accepted 13 January 2011

Available online 22 January 2011

Keywords:

Exercise

Stress

Morphine

Addiction

Serotonin

Learned helplessness

ABSTRACT

In rodents, exposure to acute inescapable, but not escapable, stress potentiates morphine conditioned place preference (CPP), an effect that is dependent upon hyperactivation of serotonin (5-HT) neurons in the dorsal raphe nucleus (DRN). Six weeks of voluntary wheel running constrains activation of DRN 5-HT neurons during exposure to inescapable stress. Six weeks of voluntary wheel running before inescapable stress blocked stress-induced potentiation of morphine CPP.

Published by Elsevier B.V.

Addiction is a behavioral outcome that develops across age, sex, and culture. It is often defined as a condition in which an individual is unable to control their drug intake despite detrimental social and occupational consequences [1]. A large body of research has explored genetic [2] and environmental [3] factors that lead to the development of addiction. Perhaps the most extensively investigated environmental factor that predisposes an organism to increase drug administration is stress. Stressor exposure has been shown to exaggerate drug taking, drug reward, and drug reinstatement using a variety of paradigms [4]. In our own laboratory, uncontrollable stress in the form of inescapable shock (IS), not only decreases social exploration [5], exaggerates fear conditioning [6], and produces failure to escape shock in a shuttlebox [7], but also potentiates morphine conditioned place preference (CPP) [8]. Importantly, potentiated morphine CPP does not occur if the shock is escapable, and so the exaggeration of morphine reward is specific to uncontrollable stress.

The mechanisms involved in IS-potentiation of morphine CPP have been extensively studied. Notably, IS potently activates serotonin (5-HT) neurons in the dorsal raphe nucleus (DRN), rendering them sensitized for a number of days after stressor exposure [9]. This sensitization is thought to occur by a functional desensitization of 5-HT autoreceptors in the DRN [10]. During this period of DRN

sensitization, drugs of abuse that stimulate 5-HT release from the DRN, such as morphine [11,12], potentiate drug reward by activating the sensitized DRN [13]. This is likely because exaggerated 5-HT release at DRN projection sites potentiates drug-induced dopamine release [14].

The work reviewed above suggests a number of manipulations that could block IS-induced potentiation of CPP. Because IS sensitizes 5-HT neurons in the DRN, and sensitization of DRN 5-HT neurons is necessary for potentiation of morphine CPP [13], a manipulation that prevents sensitization of the DRN should block IS-induced effects. For example, 6 weeks of voluntary exercise (provision of a running wheel) increases the expression of 5-HT_{1A} autoreceptors in the DRN [15,16], an effect that would reduce the ability of IS to activate or desensitize DRN 5-HT neurons. As would be expected, 6 weeks of voluntary exercise before IS exposure prevented the exaggerated fear and failure to escape in a shuttlebox [15] that follows IS. Whether 6 weeks of exercise would block IS-induced potentiation of morphine CPP has not been investigated. If potentiation of morphine CPP depends on a sensitized DRN, then 6 weeks of wheel running prior to IS should block potentiation of morphine CPP. The present experiment tests this possibility.

Rats weighing (320–350 g) (Harlan Laboratories, Indianapolis, IN, USA) were singly housed with rat chow (Harlan) and water available *ad libitum* in a temperature controlled colony room with a 12:12-h light/dark cycle (lights on at 06:00 and off at 18:00). All cages contained one running wheel that remained locked during a one week acclimation period. Following acclimation, running

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 303 492 0777; fax: +1 303 492 2967.
E-mail address: rozeske@colorado.edu (R.R. Rozeske).

wheels were unlocked for half of the subjects and the running distances recorded daily for six weeks. All procedures were approved by the University of Colorado Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Following six weeks of wheel running or locked wheels, rats began a five day CPP procedure. The apparatus used for CPP was a black Plexiglas box measuring 72 cm × 30 cm × 30 cm (length, width, height) with 3 compartments differing in visual and tactile features. The conditioning compartments measured 30 cm × 30 cm × 30 cm. One conditioning compartment had alternating horizontal black and white stripes 2 cm wide with a 2 cm wire grid on the floor and the other conditioning compartment contained similar black and white stripes alternating vertically and a 3 mm wire mesh grid on the floor. A third, neutral, non-conditioning compartment measured 12 cm × 30 cm × 30 cm and was located between the two conditioning compartments. The neutral area was painted gray and had no tactile cues. During conditioning sessions, Plexiglas partitions were placed in the apparatus to confine the rat to one compartment. Activity was monitored with a Philips TC352A (Lancaster, PA, USA) video camera that relayed information of the rat's location to Chromotrack version 4.02 (Prototype Systems Ltd., Boulder, CO, USA) tracking software. A SA-3 Tracker (San Diego Instruments, San Diego, CA, USA) was used to track the time spent and locomotor behavior of the rats in each of the three compartments. One day prior to exposure to the CPP apparatus, rats were handled and fitted loosely with a collar (BAS, West Lafayette, IN, USA) around the neck. Affixed to the collar was a piece of reflective tape to augment video tracking.

Day 1 of CPP was a 20 min pre-exposure to the apparatus to test bias for the conditioning compartments. Rats that spent less than 4 min (20%) in a conditioning environment were eliminated due to strong bias. Two rats were eliminated due to bias. On Day 2, rats received either IS or homecage (HC) control treatment. IS was administered in a distinctly different environment than the CPP environment. Rats were placed in a clear Plexiglas tube measuring 17 cm (length) × 7 cm (diameter) with several air holes. Tails were taped to a Plexiglas rod extending from the rear of the tube and two copper strips augmented with electrode paste were affixed to the midsection of the tail. Shocks were delivered using a Precision-Regulated Animal Shocker with Graphic State 3.0 software (Coulbourn Instruments, Whitehall, PA, USA). The IS session consisted of 100, 5 s shocks at 1.0 mA, with an average 60 s inter-trial-interval. Following IS, rats were immediately taken to their homecages. Prior studies indicated that restraint without shock does not potentiate morphine CPP [8], so HC rats were simply left in their cages on Day 2.

On Day 3, rats were weighed and assigned a conditioning environment using a counterbalanced conditioning procedure. Two partitions were inserted in the open sides of the neutral compartment to confine the rats to a single environment during conditioning. Rats received two 45 min conditioning sessions on Day 3, one session with morphine and the other session with saline. In the morning, half of the rats were conditioned with 3 mg/kg subcutaneous morphine sulfate (NIDA) and were subsequently placed in the morphine-conditioned environment. The other half of the subjects were injected with equivalent subcutaneous saline and were immediately placed in the saline-conditioned environment. During the afternoon conditioning session, injections were alternated so rats that received saline in the morning were now conditioned with morphine and rats that were conditioned with morphine in the morning now received saline. Day 4 conditioning was similar to Day 3 except the order that morphine and saline were injected on Day 3 was reversed. The 3 mg/kg dose of morphine was chosen based on previous experiments demonstrating IS-potentiation of CPP at this dose [8]. On Day 5, rats were allowed to explore the entire apparatus for 20 min in a drug-free state and time




Fig. 1. Distance ran during 6 weeks of voluntary wheel running. Each data point represents mean (\pm SEM) number of kilometers ran for each stress group. No statistical differences ($p > 0.05$) were found between inescapable stress (IS) and homecage (HC) controls.

spent and locomotor activity was recorded for each compartment. Thus, this study used a 2 × 2 experimental design with exercise as one factor and IS as the other factor. A total of 29 rats were used in this study, the wheel running group contained 8 HC and 7 IS subjects while the sedentary group contain 6 IS and 8 HC subjects.

The dependent measure used for preference was the difference in time spent in the conditioning environment before and after drug conditioning. Therefore, a positive value indicates increased preference for the environment after morphine conditioning. Locomotor behavior in the CPP apparatus is expressed as a difference of distance traveled before and after conditioning. The difference in distance traveled before and after conditioning accounts for any effect morphine conditioning and IS exposure may have had on subsequent locomotor behavior. Therefore, a negative value represents decreased locomotor activity following morphine conditioning.

Fig. 1 shows the mean weekly distance run for each group (Fig. 1). Running increased across time ($F_{(5,84)} = 10.48$; $p < 0.0001$). There were no significant effects of stress ($F_{(1,88)} = 0.07$; $p > 0.05$) or interaction of stress and time ($F_{(5,84)} = 0.22$; $p > 0.05$). Thus, rats in the HC and IS wheel running groups ran equal amounts during the 6 weeks prior to stressor exposure and morphine conditioning.

As in prior work, IS potentiated morphine CPP as compared to HC in sedentary rats (Fig. 2). The new finding is that 6 weeks of wheel running prior to stressor exposure blocked IS-induced potentiation of morphine CPP. ANOVA revealed a significant effect of exercise ($F_{(1,27)} = 5.68$, $p < 0.05$) and a significant interaction between stress and exercise ($F_{(1,27)} = 6.14$; $p < 0.05$). However, no significant main effect of stress was observed ($F_{(1,27)} = 1.53$; $p > 0.05$). A




Fig. 2. Six weeks of voluntary wheel running blocks potentiation of morphine conditioned place preference by inescapable stress (IS). Preference is calculated by taking the difference of time, in seconds (sec), spent in the conditioning environment before and after morphine conditioning. Preference is expressed as a group mean (\pm SEM). #Fisher's PLDS *post hoc* revealed a significant difference of preference between sedentary IS and homecage (HC) rats and a significant difference of preference between run and sedentary treatments in IS rats* ($p < 0.05$).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4313827>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4313827>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)