Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Brain Research Bulletin

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/brainresbull

## The behavioral profile of spice and synthetic cannabinoids in humans



### Helge H. Müller<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Johannes Kornhuber<sup>a</sup>, Wolfgang Sperling<sup>a</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> Friedrich-Alexander University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Erlangen, Germany
<sup>b</sup> Medical Campus University of Oldenburg, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, University Hospital, Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy (Head of Department: Prof. Dr. Alexandra Philipsen), Karl-Jaspers-Klinik, Hermann-Ehlers-Straße 7, D-26160 Bad Zwischenahn, Germany

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 September 2015 Received in revised form 27 October 2015 Accepted 30 October 2015 Available online 6 November 2015

Keywords: Synthetic cannabinoids Spice Neuropsychiatric side-effects Somatic effects Treatment

#### ABSTRACT

The use of synthetic cannabinoids (spice) is increasing. The number of descriptions of (new) clinical side effects is also increasing.

We screened relevant publications for articles about spice with a focus on the clinical manifestations of the use of this drug.

Spice creates diffuse psychiatric and somatic effects that are only partially similar to those of natural cannabinoids. Most of the observed effects are related to sympathomimetic-cardiac effects and neuropsychiatric manifestations.

Clinical treatment is primarily based on intensive apparative and laboratory monitoring and supportive therapy.

Because the exact active ingredients of spice are often difficult to determine with standard specific toxicology testing, the assessment and analysis of consumed substances by specialized laboratories is recommended.

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

#### Contents

1.	Introduction	3
	Methods	
3.	Mode of action/receptor profile of spice	4
	Spice distribution/screening	
	Clinical manifestations of spice abuse	
6.	Clinical treatment of the adverse effects of spice	5
	References	

#### 1. Introduction

The use of new psychoactive drugs (NPS) is increasing across Europe. Prominent among these new substances are synthetic cathinones, amphetamine-like substances, such as ketamine, and synthetic cannabinoids, which are the most frequently used across Europe. Misuse of these synthetic cannabinoids is rapidly increasing. Initial descriptions and examinations of the clinical side effects of these substances are occurring in parallel (Jaenicke et al., 2014; Seely et al., 2011; Underwood, 2015; Mobius et al., 2014). In 2012, the lifetime prevalence of spice consumption was 7% among per-

\* Corresponding author. Fax: +49 441 9615 1599. E-mail address: helge.mueller@karl-jaspers-klinik.de (H.H. Müller).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresbull.2015.10.013 0361-9230/© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. sons aged 15–18, and the 30-day prevalence was 2% (Barratt et al., 2015; Gurdal et al., 2013; Mills et al., 2015).

Names within the drug scene associated with this inhomogeneous group of substances include: spice, spice gold, diamond-spice, chill X, abyss, Pandora's box, exodus, annihilation, fire, smoke, sence, chillX, chillys, highdi's, earth impact, and many more (Kemp et al., 2015). Here, we summarize all of the different names that are most frequently used in the scene under the name spice. To evade legal restrictions, spice is consciously mislabeled as a research chemical, herbal incense or a legal high. Moreover, spice is often labeled with explicit warnings that state that it is not for human consumption and that it is distributed via websites under names such as "garden friends" or herbal cooking websites (Mobius et al., 2014; WHO, 2015; Hall and Degenhardt, 2015; Torjesen, 2015; Di Forti et al., 2014, 2009; Forrester et al., 2012).



Review

Table 1       Overview of documented clinical side-effects of spice (in descending appearance).	inical side-effects of <i>spice</i> (	in descending appearance	the five most commo). The	The five most common symptoms are underlined.	rlined.				
Cardiovascular	Neurological	Neuropsychiatric	Metabolic	Renal	Gastrointestinal	Pulmonary	Muscular	Cutaneous	Ocular
Tachycardia with/	Dizziness/	Agitation/aggressive	Hyperglycemia	Elevated	Nausea/vomiting	Dyspnea	CK	Xerostomia	Conjunctival
without ECG changesa	somnolence	behavior/non-compliance	nce	creatinine		(unspecific)	elevation		hyperemia
		regarding treatment							
Arterial hypertensiona	Muscle	Hallucinations,	Hypokalemia	Renal failure	Elevated liver	Hyperventilation	Myalgia	Diaphoresis	Mydriasis
	cramps/fasciculation	psychosis (all			enzymes				
		senses,							
		ongoing, short)							
Bradycardia	Seizures	Anxiety/panic	Fever/hyperthermia Dehydration	Dehydration	Gastritis	I	I	Photosensitivity	I
	(partial,	attacks							
	generalized)								
Cardiac ischemia <sup>a</sup>	Unspecific	Suicidal	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
	headache	ideations							
Syncope	Ataxia, tremor,	Suicide <sup>a</sup>	I	1	I	I	1	I	1
	paresthesia								
Chest pain	Stroke	Confusion,	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
	(ischemic)	delusions							
Hypotension	Amnesia	I	I	I	I	1	I	I	I
	(anterograde)								
<sup>a</sup> Fatal courses documented	ed.								

#### 2. Methods

A keyword-based search of the PubMed database was performed. In addition to the drug-scene associated names, we searched for all content that included: spice, synthetic cannabinoids, legal highs, NPS, spice/drug, herbal highs. A keyword search containing the receptor profile and chemical detection of spice was also conducted. We collected all abstracts and publications related to spice and read them, focusing on the pharmacology, epidemiology, and, in particular, clinical manifestations and side effects of this drug. The references of these publications were also examined for important sources that were not identified by the PubMed search. Overall, 205 publications were screened for use in the preparation of this study on the behavioral profile of spice.

#### 3. Mode of action/receptor profile of spice

Research on the cannabinoid system has revealed several hundred agonists, each displaying varying affinities for cannabinoid (CB-R)-type receptors (CB-1R and CB-2R) and varying potentials for abuse. The active compound of spice was first described in 2009, following the detection of formerly non-declared, synthetic agonists (Auwarter et al., 2009). In addition to the classical CB-R agonist cannabinoids, such as the most common CB-R agonist delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) from the Cannabis sativa plant, other synthetic substances that closely resemble THC, such as the approved anti-emetic nabilone and 6aR,7,10,10aR-tetrahydro-1hydroxy-6,6-dimethyl-6Hdibenzo[b,d]pyran-9-methanol (HU210 cannabinoids), are known.

Among the spice aminoalkylindoles, the JWH series, which was first synthesized by the chemist J.W. Huffmann, contains many CB ligands. Spice itself is subdivided into aminoalkylindoles (including different sub-substances such as JWH-018, JWH-073, JWH-019, and JWH-250) and cyclohexylphenols (including the sub-substances CP-47,497-C6, CP-47,497, and CP-47,497-C8). The above agonists are lipid-soluble and non-polar, typically contain 20–26 carbon atoms, and are fairly volatile. However, these compounds represent only a small selection of synthetic cannabinoids, and some of these compounds have been banned (e.g., JWH-018, JWH-073, and JWH-019) following documentation of their harmful effects on human health (Table 1) (Wiley et al., 2012; Hermanns-Clausen et al., 2013).

The reporting of a new substance and its subsequent characterization and legal regulation requires time; thus, many substances are not yet prohibited, and the consumption of these substances is often semi-legal. Therefore, the substances are not prohibited at the time of consumption because their negative effects on health first have to be documented, which is then followed by extensive characterization procedures before the substance can be covered by legal bans. However, there are at least 100 chemically related substances that are estimated as being similarly psychotropic. These chemically related representatives are not yet restricted by any bans (Forrester et al., 2012; Gunderson, 2013). All known substances are potent, can compete with the cannabinoid CB-R receptor agonists and have receptor affinities that can be 30 (e.g., CP-47,497 and (C8)-CP-47,497) to 500 times stronger (e.g., HU-210) than those of the classical, natural cannabis component  $\Delta$ 9-THC. These compounds all elicit dose-dependent CB-1R activations in the central and peripheral neurons, which create diffuse somatic and psychological effects that are only partially similar to those of cannabis (Gunderson, 2013; Grigoryev et al., 2013, 2012, 2011). In addition to specific cerebral processes, the endocannabinoid system participates in the regulation of the physiological regulation processes, such as caloric balance and the control of arterial smooth muscle tone, and it also regulates immune processes. CB-R1 receptors are primarily found in the nervous system, whereas Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4318625

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4318625

Daneshyari.com