Sex and cognition: gender and cognitive functions
Janet S Hyde

Gender stereotypes hold that males outperform females in mathematics and spatial tests, and females outperform males on verbal tests. According to meta-analyses, however, among both children and adults, females perform equally to males on mathematics assessments. The gender difference in verbal skills is small and varies depending on the type of skill assessed (e.g., vocabulary, essay writing). The gender difference in 3D mental rotation shows a moderate advantage for males, but this gender difference occurs in the absence of a spatial curriculum in the schools. Meta-analyses of gender differences across a wide array of psychological qualities support the Gender Similarities Hypothesis, which states that males and females are quite similar on most — but not all — psychological variables.
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Background: the history of psychological research on gender differences

From the time of the founding of scientific psychology around 1879, researchers have investigated psychological gender differences [1]. Authoritative reviews in the 1960s and 1970s by the eminent Stanford psychologist Eleanor Maccoby framed the field, as well as related neuropsychology research, for the next several decades [2,3]. These reviews concluded that gender differences in verbal ability, spatial ability, and mathematical ability were well established, with girls scoring higher on verbal tests and boys scoring higher on spatial and mathematical tests. In the 1974 review, Maccoby also dismissed as unfounded beliefs in certain other cognitive gender differences, concluding that research failed to find that (a) girls excel at simple rote learning whereas boys are better at tasks that require higher-level cognitive processing; and (b) that boys are more analytic. The conclusions about gender differences were taken up eagerly by researchers, whereas the conclusions about gender similarities were largely ignored.

At the same time as researchers sought to investigate psychological gender differences scientifically, gender stereotypes pervaded the culture at large in the U.S. and many other Western nations. Women are viewed as having stronger verbal skills and men are seen as stronger in mathematics and science [4]. Contemporary research using the Implicit Attitudes Test (IAT) continues to show that, at a nonconscious level as measured by reaction times, people associate math with males [5*]. Similarly, there is a stereotyped link between male and science and the strength of this stereotype varies across nations and cultures [6].

The role of meta-analysis in research on gender differences

A new era in research on psychological gender differences began in the early 1980s with the development of the statistical method of meta-analysis, which is a quantitative method for aggregating research findings across many studies of the same question [7]. Because of this quantitative integration across a large number of studies, meta-analysis provides much stronger evidence about a phenomenon than any individual study can. Individual studies on a question may arrive at inconsistent conclusions, allowing researchers to cherry pick studies that conform to their research agenda. Meta-analysis synthesizes across all studies, thus discerning patterns that are reliable. Moreover, meta-analysis provides an estimate of the magnitude of an effect, such as the gender difference in math performance.

Central to meta-analysis is the concept of effect size. Several alternative effect size statistics are available, depending on the research design [7]. Here I focus on the statistic, which assesses the magnitude of difference in two-group designs. For gender differences, the formula is \( d = (M_M - M_F)/\sigma_w \), where \( M_M \) is the mean (average) score for males, \( M_F \) is the mean score for females, and \( \sigma_w \) is the within-groups standard deviation. That is, \( d \) reflects the difference between the male average and the female average, in standard deviation units. Positive values reflect higher average scores for males and negative values indicate higher average scores for females. Cohen [8] provided the following guidelines for the interpretation of effect sizes: 0.20 is a small effect, 0.50 is moderate, and 0.80 is a large effect.

To provide a visual representation of a small effect size of \( d = 0.20 \), Figure 1 shows two normal distributions that are
0.20 standard deviations apart, which is the meaning of \( d = 0.20 \). With a small effect size such as this, there is great overlap between the distribution of scores for males and the distribution of scores for females.

A meta-analysis proceeds in several steps. First, all prior research with data relevant to the question (e.g., gender differences in math performance) is identified. Second, statistics are extracted from each article and \( d \) is computed for each article. Third, a weighted mean value of \( d \) is computed, averaging across all studies and weighting by sample size. Fourth, moderator analyses can be conducted to examine whether there are systematic variations in the effect size depending on various features of the study; for example, is the gender difference in math performance smaller in childhood and larger in adolescence?

At this point, multiple excellent meta-analyses are available on cognitive gender differences, and these meta-analyses, rather than individual studies, form the basis for this review. See Table 1 for a summary.

### Mathematics performance

Although a 1990 meta-analysis found some evidence of gender differences in math performance [9], more recent meta-analyses indicate that, in general, the gender difference has disappeared, while also revealing more complex variations in the magnitude of the gender difference. This change over time may be due to changes in girls’ patterns of course-taking. Before 1990, girls were less likely than boys to take a full 4 years of math in high school, whereas today girls take as much math as boys do.

One meta-analysis synthesized data from state assessments of U.S. children’s math skills; these data represented the testing of more than 7 million children in grades 2 through 11 [10]. There was no systematic variation across grade levels in the effect size for gender differences and, overall, \( d = 0.0065 \), that is, there was no gender difference in math performance.

A second meta-analysis synthesized data from 242 studies appearing between 1990 and 2007, representing data from 1.2 million children and adults [11**]. Again, there was no gender difference in math performance, \( d = 0.05 \).

A third meta-analysis examined data from two major international data sets, the Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) and the Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA), which tested 14-year to 16-year-olds in 69 participating nations [12]. For TIMSS, \( d = -0.01 \) and for PISA \( d = 0.11 \), both values that are very close to zero or no gender difference. Variation across nations in the magnitude of the gender difference was substantial, though. For example, for TIMSS, \( d = -0.04 \) in both Romania and Norway, but \( d = 0.18 \) in Chile and Morocco. Multiple regression analyses indicated that these variations across nations could be accounted for, in part, by measures of the nations’ levels of gender equality. Larger gender differences favoring males were found in nations characterized by more gender inequality in matters such as women’s representation in parliament and women’s share of research jobs. These findings are consistent with the gender stratification hypothesis, which maintains that gender differences in outcomes such as math performance are closely related to opportunity structures for girls and women in their culture [12].

Overall, then, findings from meta-analyses indicate that females have reached parity with males in math performance today, although there are variations in this pattern as a function of factors such as nation and culture.

### Spatial skills

A variety of types of spatial skills exist. Here I focus on one particular skill, three-dimensional (3D) mental rotation, which involves the ability to mentally rotate an object in three-dimensional space to see if it matches...