



# Abelian complexity and abelian co-decomposition

Ondřej Turek\*

Laboratory of Physics, Kochi University of Technology, Tosa Yamada, Kochi 782-8502, Japan

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 10 January 2012

Accepted 23 October 2012

Communicated by J. Karhumäki

### Keywords:

Abelian complexity

Recurrent word

Parry number

Tribonacci word

## ABSTRACT

We propose a technique for exploring the abelian complexity of recurrent infinite words, focusing particularly on infinite words associated with Parry numbers. Using that technique, we give an affirmative answer to the open question posed by Richomme, Saari and Zamboni, whether the abelian complexity of the Tribonacci word attains each value in  $\{4, 5, 6\}$  infinitely many times.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Abelian complexity is now a widely studied property of infinite words. The first appearance of the idea dates back to the seventies, when Coven and Hedlund realized that periodic words and Sturmian words can be alternatively characterized using Parikh vectors [1]. Their results have been recently generalized by Richomme, Saari and Zamboni in [2], where the term “abelian complexity” itself has been introduced. That work initiated a systematic study of abelian properties of words: [2] was quickly followed by a series of related papers, both on general topics in abelian complexity [3,4] and on abelian complexity of particular infinite words [5] as well as of certain families of words [6,7].

In general, evaluating the abelian complexity function  $AC(n)$  for a given infinite word is a difficult problem. Only a few results, as well as effective methods, are known so far. Even the simpler question, whether a given value  $k$  of the function  $AC$  is attained finitely or infinitely many times, is usually hard to answer. This applies especially to  $k$  different from the extremal values  $\max AC$ ,  $\min AC$ . For example, it is known that the abelian complexity of the Tribonacci word  $\mathbf{t}$  (recall that  $\mathbf{t}$  is the fixed point of the substitution  $0 \mapsto 01, 1 \mapsto 02, 2 \mapsto 0$ ) satisfies  $AC_{\mathbf{t}}(n) \in \{3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$  for all  $n$ , but only for the values 3 and 7 it is proved that they are attained infinitely many times; see [5]. Similarly, for  $\mathbf{u}^{(p)}$  being the fixed point of the substitution  $L \mapsto L^p S, S \mapsto M, M \mapsto L^{p-1} S$  for an arbitrary  $p \geq 2$ , it has been proved  $AC_{\mathbf{u}^{(p)}}(n) \in \{3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ , but so far only the value 7 is known to be attained infinitely many times [6] (for additional information on those words, see [8,9]).

In this paper we develop a method for dealing with the abelian complexity of recurrent infinite words, which is fitted especially to infinite words associated with Parry numbers. It can be used for an effective calculation of  $AC(n)$  for a given  $n$ , as well as for proving that a certain value of  $AC$  is attained infinitely many times. To demonstrate that, we consider an open question posed by Richomme, Saari and Zamboni in [5], whether the abelian complexity of the Tribonacci word attains each value in  $\{4, 5, 6\}$  infinitely often; with the help of the method, we obtain the affirmative answer.

## 2. Preliminaries

An alphabet  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite set of symbols called *letters*. Any concatenation of letters from  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a *word*. The set  $\mathcal{A}^*$  of all finite words over  $\mathcal{A}$  including the empty word  $\varepsilon$  is a free monoid. For any  $w = w_0 w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{n-1} \in \mathcal{A}^*$ , the *length* of  $w$  is defined as  $|w| = n$ . The length of the empty word is by definition  $|\varepsilon| = 0$ .

\* Tel.: +81 887 57 3505.

E-mail addresses: [ondrej.turek@kochi-tech.ac.jp](mailto:ondrej.turek@kochi-tech.ac.jp), [oturek@centrum.cz](mailto:oturek@centrum.cz).

An infinite sequence of letters from  $\mathcal{A}$  is called an *infinite word* and the set of all infinite words over  $\mathcal{A}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

A finite word  $w$  is a *factor* of a (finite or infinite) word  $v$  if there exists a finite word  $x$  and a (finite or infinite, respectively) word  $y$  such that  $v = xwy$ . The word  $w$  is called a *prefix* of  $v$  if  $x = \varepsilon$ , and a *suffix* of  $v$ , if  $y = \varepsilon$ .

An infinite word  $v$  is *recurrent* if every factor of  $v$  occurs infinitely many times in  $v$ .

If  $w \in \mathcal{A}^*$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $w^k$  stands for the concatenation of  $k$  words  $w$ , thus  $w^k = \underbrace{ww \cdots w}_{k \text{ times } w}$ . We also set  $w^0 = \varepsilon$ . One

can introduce negative powers as well. If a word  $v \in \mathcal{A}^*$  has the prefix  $w^k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the symbol  $w^{-k}v$  denotes the word satisfying  $w^k w^{-k}v = v$ . Similarly, if a  $v \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$  has the suffix  $w^k$  for a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $vw^{-k}$  denotes the word with the property  $vw^{-k}w^k = v$ .

### 2.1. Parikh vectors, abelian complexity, and relative Parikh vectors

Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$ . For any  $\ell \in \mathcal{A}$  and for any  $w \in \mathcal{A}^*$ , the symbol  $|w|_{\ell}$  denotes the number of occurrences of the letter  $\ell$  in the word  $w$ . The *Parikh vector* of  $w$  is the  $m$ -tuple  $\Psi(w) = (|w|_0, |w|_1, \dots, |w|_{m-1})$ ; note that  $|w|_0 + |w|_1 + \dots + |w|_{m-1} = |w|$ .

For any given infinite word  $\mathbf{u}$ , we set

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n) = \{\Psi(w) \mid w \text{ is a factor of } \mathbf{u}, |w| = n\},$$

thus  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n)$  denotes the set of all Parikh vectors corresponding to factors of  $\mathbf{u}$  having the length  $n$ . The *abelian complexity* of the word  $\mathbf{u}$  is the function  $\text{AC}_{\mathbf{u}} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  defined as

$$\text{AC}_{\mathbf{u}}(n) = \#\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n), \quad (1)$$

where  $\#$  denotes the cardinality. Let us introduce two new terms.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathbf{u}_{[n]}$  denote the prefix of  $\mathbf{u}$  of length  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

- If  $w$  is a factor of  $\mathbf{u}$  of length  $n$ , then the *relative Parikh vector* of  $w$  is defined as

$$\Psi^{\text{rel}}(w) = \Psi(w) - \Psi(\mathbf{u}_{[n]}).$$

- The set of *relative Parikh vectors* corresponding to the length  $n$  is the set

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\text{rel}}(n) := \{\Psi^{\text{rel}}(w) \mid w \text{ is a factor of } \mathbf{u}, |w| = n\}.$$

**Remark 2.2.** The idea of transforming the Parikh vectors  $\Psi(w)$  into the relative Parikh vectors  $\Psi^{\text{rel}}(w)$  slightly resembles a technique of Adamczewski used in [10], where frequencies of letters were employed for a simplification of the study of balance properties of fixed points of primitive substitutions.

Since prefixes of  $\mathbf{u}$  will play an important role in the sequel, the symbol  $\mathbf{u}_{[n]}$  will be used in the same meaning throughout the whole paper.

Note that the cardinality of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\text{rel}}(n)$  is equal to the cardinality of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{u}}(n)$ , whence we obtain, with regard to (1),

$$\text{AC}_{\mathbf{u}}(n) = \#\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\text{rel}}(n). \quad (2)$$

An infinite word  $\mathbf{u}$  is said to be *c-balanced* if for every  $\ell \in \mathcal{A}$  and for every pair of factors  $v, w$  of  $\mathbf{u}$  such that  $|v| = |w|$ , it holds  $||v|_{\ell} - |w|_{\ell}| \leq c$ .

**Observation 2.3.** For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set of relative Parikh vectors has the following properties.

- (i)  $\vec{0} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\text{rel}}(n)$  for all  $n$ .
- (ii) If  $(\psi'_0, \psi'_1, \dots, \psi'_{m-1}) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\text{rel}}(n)$  and  $\mathbf{u}$  is *c-balanced*, then  $|\psi'_\ell| \leq c$  for all  $\ell \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Proof.** (i) It is easy to see that  $\vec{0}$  is the relative Parikh vector of  $\mathbf{u}_{[n]}$ , hence  $\vec{0} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{u}}^{\text{rel}}(n)$ .

- (ii) Let  $w$  be a factor of  $\mathbf{u}$  such that  $(\psi'_0, \psi'_1, \dots, \psi'_{m-1}) = \Psi^{\text{rel}}(w)$ ,  $|w| = n$ . Then  $|\psi'_\ell| = ||w|_{\ell} - |\mathbf{u}_{[n]}|_{\ell}|$ . Since  $\mathbf{u}$  is *c-balanced*, for any pair  $v, w$  of factors of  $\mathbf{u}$ , it holds  $||w|_{\ell} - |v|_{\ell}| \leq c$  for all  $\ell \in \mathcal{A}$ . Particular choice  $v = \mathbf{u}_{[n]}$  gives the statement (ii).  $\square$

**Remark 2.4.** Let  $\mathbf{u}$  be a *c-balanced* word. With regard to the part (ii) of [Observation 2.3](#), the main advantage of dealing with relative Parikh vectors instead of with “standard” Parikh vectors is twofold.

- The components of  $\Psi(w)$  grow to infinity with growing  $|w|$  (because  $|w|_0 + |w|_1 + \dots + |w|_{m-1} = |w|$ ), whereas the components of  $\Psi^{\text{rel}}(w)$  are bounded.
- The set of all Parikh vectors  $\{\Psi(w) \mid w \text{ is a factor of } \mathbf{u}\}$  is infinite, whereas the set of all relative Parikh vectors  $\{\Psi^{\text{rel}}(w) \mid w \text{ is a factor of } \mathbf{u}\}$  is finite. (This is a very important advantage.)

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/434652>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/434652>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)