Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Theoretical Computer Science

www.elsevier.com/locate/tcs

Conjugacy relations of prefix codes

Yong He*, Zhenhe Cui, Zihan Yuan

School of Computer Science and Engineering, Hunan University of Science and Technology, Xiangtan 411201, Hunan, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 27 October 2014 Received in revised form 27 April 2016 Accepted 16 May 2016 Available online 18 May 2016 Communicated by J. Karhumäki

Keywords: Conjugacy equation Conjugacy relation Initial part Prefix code Conjugacy problem

ABSTRACT

It is shown that, if *X* and *Y* are prefix codes and *Z* is a non-empty language satisfying the condition XZ = ZY, then *Z* is the union of a non-empty family $\{P_n\}_{i \in I}$ of pairwise disjoint prefix sets such that $XP_i = P_iY$ for all $i \in I$. Consequently, the conjugacy relations of prefix codes are explored and, under the restriction that both of *X* and *Y* are prefix codes, the solutions of the conjugacy equation XZ = ZY for languages are determined. Also, the decidability of the conjugacy problem for finite prefix codes is confirmed.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In the investigation of algebraic structures, equation is usually an interesting topic. On an algebraic structure *G* equipped with a binary operation, the *commutativity equation* xy = yx is always a basic equation. For any $x, y \in G$, let

 $\mathscr{C}(x, y) = \{z \in G | xz = zy\}.$

Then $\mathscr{C}(x, x)$ is exactly the centralizer of x. Therefore, the so called *conjugacy equation* xz = zy is an expansion of the commutativity equation.

The conjugacy relation on a group induced by the conjugacy equation has played a fundamental role in the development of group theory. This motivates the consideration on the following analogous relations on an arbitrary monoid *M*:

the right conjugacy relation: $\stackrel{r}{\sim} = \{(x, y) | (\exists z \in M) xz = zy, z \neq 0\},$ the left conjugacy relation: $\stackrel{l}{\sim} = \{(x, y) | (\exists z \in M) zx = yz, z \neq 0\},$ the two-sided conjugacy relation: $\stackrel{c}{\sim} = \stackrel{r}{\sim} \cap \stackrel{l}{\sim},$ the transposition relation: $\stackrel{t}{\sim} = \{(x, y) | (\exists w, u \in M) x = wu, y = uw\},$ the group conjugacy relation: $\stackrel{g}{\sim} = \{(x, y) | (\exists g \in U) xg = gy\},$

* Corresponding author.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2016.05.021 0304-3975/© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.





CrossMark

E-mail addresses: ynghe@263.net (Y. He), 2857727938@qq.com (Z. Cui), yuanzihan2000@163.com (Z. Yuan).

where 0 is the possible zero element of *M*, and *U* the group of units of *M*. Together with the transitive closure $\stackrel{t^*}{\sim}$ of the transposition relation $\stackrel{t}{\sim}$, the relations on the monoid *M* defined as above are uniformly called the *conjugacy relations*. It is pointed out in [5] that the relations $\stackrel{r}{\sim}$ and $\stackrel{l}{\sim}$ are reflexive, transitive and mutually inverse, the relation $\stackrel{t}{\sim}$ is reflexive and symmetric, the relations $\stackrel{g}{\sim}$, $\stackrel{t^*}{\sim}$, $\stackrel{c}{\sim}$ are equivalences and, in general,

$$\stackrel{g}{\sim} \subseteq \stackrel{t}{\sim} \subseteq \stackrel{c}{\sim} \subseteq \stackrel{c}{\sim} \subseteq \stackrel{r}{\sim}, \stackrel{l}{\sim}.$$

$$\tag{1}$$

The conjugacy relations on free monoids and free inverse monoids have been considered in [12] and [4], respectively, while the applications of conjugacy relations in the decomposition and representation of monoids are explored in [1].

Observe that the set $\mathbb{L}(A)$ of languages on an alphabet *A* forms a monoid with respect to concatenation. The investigation of the conjugacy equation and conjugacy relations on $\mathbb{L}(A)$ is initiated by Perrin who considered the $\stackrel{t}{\sim}$ -relation of codes [14]. It is observed that the relations $\stackrel{t}{\sim}$, $\stackrel{c}{\sim}$, $\stackrel{r}{\sim}$ and $\stackrel{l}{\sim}$ on $\mathbb{L}(A)$ are pairwise distinct when *A* contains at least two letters [2]. The solutions of the conjugacy equation XZ = ZY on $\mathbb{L}(A)$ satisfying the following additional conditions are determined or partially determined in [2,3,7,10]:

(i) |Z| = 1;

(ii) *Z* is a biprefix code;

(iii) *X*, *Y*, *Z* are prefix codes;

(iv) $|X| + |Y| \le 5$;

(v) *X*, *Y* are finite biprefix codes (esp., uniform codes).

The aim of the present paper is to characterize the conjugacy relations of prefix codes, determine the solutions of the conjugacy equation XZ = ZY under the restriction that X and Y are prefix codes, and then consider the conjugacy problem for finite prefix codes. The technique used in this paper is hungered by the authors of [3] when they investigated the conjugacy of finite biprefix codes. For the terminologies and notations without explanation, the reader is referred to [1,17].

2. Preliminaries

In what follows, all words and languages considered are on a given alphabet *A*. The free monoid, the free semigroup and the set of languages on *A* are denoted by A^* , A^+ and $\mathbb{L}(A)$, respectively. The empty word is written as ϵ . The length of a word *w* is denoted by $\lg(w)$. Then $\lg(w) = 0$ precisely when $w = \epsilon$. The group conjugacy relations $\stackrel{g}{\sim}$ on A^* and $\mathbb{L}(A)$ are to be out of considerations since they are trivial. The following achievement of Lentin and Schützenberger is interesting.

Lemma 2.1. ([12]) On the monoid A^* , $\overset{t}{\sim} = \overset{t}{\sim} = \overset{c}{\sim} = \overset{r}{\sim} = \overset{l}{\sim} = \overset{l}{\sim}$.

A non-empty word is said to be *primitive* if it is not a power of another word. Observe that each non-empty word w is the power of a unique primitive word which is called the *primitive root* of w and denoted by \sqrt{w} . A description for the relation $\stackrel{r}{\sim}$ (and hence for the other conjugacy relations) on A^* is given as below:

Lemma 2.2. ([13]) Let x and y be two non-empty words. Then $x \stackrel{r}{\sim} y$ if and only if $\sqrt{x} \stackrel{r}{\sim} \sqrt{y}$ and $x = (\sqrt{x})^k$, $y = (\sqrt{y})^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Moreover, if this is the case, there exists a unique pair $(p,q) \in A^* \times A^+$ such that $\sqrt{x} = pq$, $\sqrt{y} = qp$ and $\mathscr{C}(x, y) = (pq)^*p$.

Let *L* be an arbitrary language. The cardinality of *L* is denoted by |L|. If *L* is the union of a family $\{L_i\}_{i \in I}$ of pairwise disjoint languages, then we use the notation

$$L = \biguplus_{i \in I} L_i.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote the set of all words of length n in L by $L^{[n]}$. It is clear that

$$L = \biguplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L^{[n]},$$

and hence a language K coincides with L if and only if $L^{[n]} = K^{[n]}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any subset I of \mathbb{N} , put

$$L^I = \bigcup_{i \in I} L^i.$$

Then $L^{\mathbb{N}} = L^*$ where * is the Kleene star operation on languages. The language

$$\overline{L} = L - LA^+$$

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/435340

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/435340

Daneshyari.com