Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.ScienceDirect.com/)

Theoretical Computer Science

www.elsevier.com/locate/tcs

Computing maximal cliques in link streams

Jordan Viard [∗], Matthieu Latapy, Clémence Magnien

Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, CNRS, LIP6 UMR 7606, 4 place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France

A R T I C L E IN F O A B S T R A C T

Article history: Received 10 February 2015 Received in revised form 23 September 2015 Accepted 28 September 2015 Available online 3 October 2015 Communicated by P. Widmayer

Keywords: Link streams Temporal networks Time-varying graphs Cliques Graphs Algorithms

1. Introduction

In a graph $G = (V, E)$ with $E \subseteq V \times V$, a clique $C \subseteq V$ is a set of nodes such that $C \times C \subseteq E$. In addition, *C* is maximal if it is included in no other clique. In other words, a maximal clique is a set of nodes such that all possible links exist between them, and there is no other node linked to all of them. Enumerating maximal cliques of a graph is one of the most fundamental problems in computer science, and it has many applications [\[9,10\].](#page--1-0)

A link stream $L = (T, V, E)$ with $T = [\alpha, \omega]$ and $E \subseteq T \times V \times V$ models interactions over time: $l = (t, u, v)$ in E means that an interaction occurred between $u \in V$ and $v \in V$ at time $t \in T$. Link streams, also called temporal networks or timevarying graphs depending on the context, model many real-world data like contacts between individuals, email exchanges, or network traffic [\[2,6,12,14\].](#page--1-0)

For a given duration Δ , a Δ -clique C of L is a pair $C = (X, [b, e])$ with $X \subseteq V$ and $[b, e] \subseteq T$ such that $|X| \ge 2$, and for all $\{u, v\} \subseteq X$ and $\tau \in [b, \max(e - \Delta, b)]$ there is a link (t, u, v) in E with $t \in [\tau, \min(\tau + \Delta, e)]$. Notice that Δ -cliques necessarily have at least two nodes.

More intuitively, all nodes in *X* interact at least once with all others at least every Δ from time b to time e . Δ -clique C is maximal if it is included in no other Δ -clique (i.e. there exists no Δ -clique $C'=(X', [b', e'])$ such that $C'\neq C$, $X\subseteq X'$ and $[b, e] \subseteq [b', e']$). See [Fig. 1](#page-1-0) for an example.

In real-world situations like the ones cited above, Δ -cliques are signatures of meetings, discussions, or distributed applications for instance. Moreover, just like cliques in a graph correspond to its subgraphs of density 1, Δ -cliques in a link

* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* jordan.viard@lip6.fr (J. Viard).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2015.09.030> 0304-3975/© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

A link stream is a collection of triplets (t, u, v) indicating that an interaction occurred between *u* and *v* at time *t*. We generalize the classical notion of cliques in graphs to such link streams: for a given Δ , a Δ -clique is a set of nodes and a time interval such that all pairs of nodes in this set interact at least once during each sub-interval of duration Δ . We propose an algorithm to enumerate all maximal (in terms of nodes or time interval) cliques of a link stream, and illustrate its practical relevance to a real-world contact trace.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Fig. 1. Examples of Δ -cliques. We consider the link stream $L = ([0, 9], \{a, b, c\}, E)$ with $E = \{(3, a, b), (4, b, c), (5, a, c), (6, a, b)\}$ and $\Delta = 3$. There are four maximal 3-cliques in L: $(\{a, b\}, [0, 9])$ (top-left), $(\{a, b, c\}, [2, 7])$ (top-right), $(\{b, c\}, [1, 7])$ (bottom-left), and $(\{a, c\}, [2, 8])$ (bottom-right). Notice that $(\{a, b, c\}, [1, 7])$ is not a Δ -clique since during time interval [1, 4] of duration $\Delta = 3$ there is no interaction between a and c. Notice also that $(\{a, b\}, [1, 9])$. for instance, is not maximal: it is included in $({a, b}, [0, 9])$.

stream correspond to its substreams of Δ -density 1, as defined in [\[12\].](#page--1-0) Therefore, Δ -cliques in link streams are natural generalizations of cliques in graphs.

In this paper, we propose the first algorithm for listing all maximal *-*-cliques of a given link stream. We illustrate the relevance of the concept and algorithm by computing maximal *-*-cliques of a real-world dataset.

Before entering in the core of the presentation, notice that we consider here undirected links only: given a link stream $L = (T, V, E)$, we make no distinction between $(t, u, v) \in E$ and $(t, v, u) \in E$. Likewise, we suppose that there is no loop *(t, v, v)* in *E*, and no isolated node (∀*v* ∈ *V*, ∃*(t, u, v*) ∈ *E*).

We finally define the first occurrence time of (u, v) after b as the smallest time $t > b$ such that $(t, u, v) \in E$, and we denote it by f_{huv} . Conversely we denote the last occurrence time of (u, v) before e by l_{euv} . We say that a link (t, u, v) is in *C* = $(X, [b, e])$ if $u \in X$, $v \in X$ and $t \in [b, e]$.

2. Algorithm

One may trivially enumerate all maximal cliques in a graph as follows. One maintains a set *M* of previously found cliques (maximal or not), as well as a set *S* of candidate cliques. Then for each clique *C* in *S*, one removes *C* from *S* and searches for nodes outside *C* connected to all nodes in clique *C*, thus obtaining new cliques (one for each such node) larger than *C*. If one finds no such node, then clique *C* is maximal and it is part of the output. Otherwise, if the newly found cliques have not already been found (i.e., they do not belong to *M*), then one adds them to *S* and *M*. The set *S* is initialized with the trivial cliques containing only one node, and all maximal cliques have been found when *S* is empty. The set *M* is used for memorization, and ensures that one does not examine the same clique more than once. In [\[7\]](#page--1-0) the authors use this framework to enumerate all maximal cliques of a graph in lexicographic order.

Our algorithm for finding Δ -cliques in link stream $L = (T, V, E)$ [\(Algorithm 1\)](#page--1-0) relies on the same scheme. We initialize the set S of candidate Δ -cliques and the set M of all found Δ -cliques with the trivial Δ -cliques ({a, b}, [t, t]) for all (t, a, b) in *E* (Line [2\)](#page--1-0). Then, until *S* is empty (*while* loop of Lines [3](#page--1-0) to [24\)](#page--1-0), we pick an element *(X,*[*b, e*]*)* in *S* (Line [4\)](#page--1-0) and search for nodes *v* outside *X* such that $(X \cup \{v\}, [b, e])$ is a Δ -clique (Lines [6](#page--1-0) to [10\)](#page--1-0). We also look for a value $b' < b$ such that $(X, [b', e])$ is a Δ -clique (Lines [11](#page--1-0) to [16\)](#page--1-0), and likewise a value $e' > e$ such that $(X, [b, e'])$ is a Δ -clique (Lines [17](#page--1-0) to [22\)](#page--1-0). If we find such a node, such a b' or such an e', then Δ -clique C is not maximal and we add to S and M the new Δ -cliques larger than *C* we just found (Lines [10, 16 and 22\)](#page--1-0), on the condition that they had not already been seen (i.e., they do not belong to *M*). Otherwise, *C* is maximal and is part of the output (Line [24\)](#page--1-0).

Let us explain the choice of *b* (Lines [11](#page--1-0) to [16\)](#page--1-0) in details, the choice of *e* (Lines [19](#page--1-0) to [22\)](#page--1-0) being symmetrical. For a given Δ -clique $(X, [b, e])$, we set b' to $f - \Delta$, which is the smallest time such that we are sure that $(X, [b', e])$ is a \triangle -clique without inspecting any link outside of $(X, [b, e])$. Indeed, all links in $X \times X$ appear at least once in the interval [*f* − *-, f*]: *f* is the latest of the first occurrence times of all links in this *-*-clique, and so all links appear at least once in $[b, f] ⊆ [f − ∆, f]$. If $b' ≠ b$, then the $∆$ -clique $(X, [b', e])$ is added to *S* (Line [13\)](#page--1-0).

We display in [Fig. 2](#page--1-0) an example of a sequence of such operations from an initial trivial Δ -clique to a maximal Δ -clique in an illustrative link stream. The algorithm builds this way a set of *-*-cliques of *L*, which we call the *configuration space*; we display the configuration space for this simple example in [Fig. 3](#page--1-0) together with the relations induced by the algorithm between these Δ -cliques.

To prove the validity of [Algorithm 1,](#page--1-0) we must show that all the elements it outputs are Δ -cliques, that they are maximal, and that all maximal Δ -cliques are in its output.

Lemma 1. *In [Algorithm 1,](#page--1-0) all elements of S are --cliques of L.*

Proof. We prove the claim by induction on the iterations of the *while* loop (Lines [3](#page--1-0) to [24\)](#page--1-0).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/435569>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/435569>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)