



British Mycological
Society promoting fungal science

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/funbio



Five new species of entomopathogenic fungi from the Amazon and evolution of neotropical *Ophiocordyceps*

Tatiana I. SANJUAN^{a,e,*}, Ana E. FRANCO-MOLANO^a, Ryan M. KEPLER^b,
Joseph W. SPATAFORA^c, Javier TABIMA^c, Aída M. VASCO-PALACIOS^{a,d},
Silvia RESTREPO^e

^aLaboratorio de Taxonomía y Ecología de Hongos, Universidad de Antioquia, Calle 67 No. 53 – 108, A.A. 1226 Medellín, Colombia

^bSystematic Mycology and Microbiology Laboratory, USDA, Bldg 011A Rm 212 BARC-W, Beltsville, MD 20705, USA

^cDepartment of Botany and Plant Pathology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331, USA

^dFundacion Biodiversa Colombia, Carrera 22 N° 41 – 80 Apto. 004, 111311 Bogotá D.C. Colombia

^eLaboratorio de Micología y Fitopatología, Universidad de Los Andes, Cra 1 No 18A- 12, Bogotá 111711, Colombia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 20 January 2015

Received in revised form

5 June 2015

Accepted 25 June 2015

Available online 8 July 2015

Corresponding Editor:

Richard A. Humber

Keywords:

Hypocreales

Formicidae

Fulgoridae

Host specificity

Diversity

Taxonomy

ABSTRACT

The neotropical biogeographic zone is a ‘hot spot’ of global biodiversity, especially for insects. Fungal pathogens of insects appear to track this diversity. However, the integration of this unique component of fungal diversity into molecular phylogenetic analyses remains sparse. The entomopathogenic fungal genus *Ophiocordyceps* is species rich in this region with the first descriptions dating to the early nineteenth century. In this study, material from various ecosystems throughout Colombia and Ecuador was examined. Molecular phylogenetic analyses of five nuclear loci including SSU, LSU, TEF, RPB1, and RPB2 were conducted alongside a morphological evaluation. Thirty-five specimens were examined representing fifteen different species of *Ophiocordyceps*, and five new species, *Ophiocordyceps blattarioides*, *Ophiocordyceps tiputini*, *Ophiocordyceps araracuarensis*, *Ophiocordyceps fulgoromorphila*, and *Ophiocordyceps evansii*, were described. An accurate identification of the host allowed us to conclude that host identity and host habitat are positively correlated with phylogenetic species of *Ophiocordyceps* and are probably strong drivers for speciation of neotropical entomopathogenic fungi.

© 2015 The British Mycological Society. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

The entomopathogenic genus *Ophiocordyceps* was established by Petch (1931) for fungi possessing clavate asci with gradually thickening apices and elongate, fusiform ascospores that do

not disarticulate into part-spores. The type species, *Ophiocordyceps blattae* Petch, from Sri Lanka, is rarely collected, but other more commonly collected fungi include *Ophiocordyceps unilateralis* (Tul.) Petch on Hymenoptera adults (worker ants) and *Ophiocordyceps sinensis* (Berk.) G.H. Sung, J.M. Sung,

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +57 (1) 3394949x2768.

E-mail address: t_sanjuan@hotmail.com (T. I. Sanjuan).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2015.06.010>

1878-6146/© 2015 The British Mycological Society. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Hywel-Jones & Spatafora on *Lepidoptera* larvae. In other major taxonomic studies of the genus *Cordyceps* (L.) Link, Kobayasi (1941, 1982) and Mains (1958) both treated *Ophiocordyceps* as a subgenus of *Cordyceps*. With advances in the genetic characterization of fungi, a phylogenetic framework for *Cordyceps* (Ascomycota: Hypocreales) derived from molecular data has greatly clarified taxonomic systems previously based on morphology alone (Sung et al. 2007). *Ophiocordyceps* sensu Petch was resurrected as a genus, and expanded to include the majority of taxa with firm, darkly pigmented stromata based on the placement of *O. unilateralis*, but with a diversity of ascus and ascospore morphologies. Currently there are 184 species recognized in *Ophiocordyceps*, with many additional species described as asexual taxa (Quandt et al. 2014), making it the largest of the entomopathogenic genera in Hypocreales (Sung et al. 2007; Johnson et al. 2009). Molecular phylogenetic analyses have incorporated material from Asia, Europe, and North America, highlighting phylogenetic relationships for members of genus *Ophiocordyceps* in the Holarctic and Indo-Malaysian biogeographic zones, but relatively few neotropical specimens of the genus have been sampled (Sung et al. 2007). Based on work by mycologists such as Möller (1901), Henning (1904), and Spegazzini (reviewed in Kobayasi 1941), however, the neotropics are known to support a unique assemblage of *Ophiocordyceps*. More recently, Evans (1982) emphasized the importance of systematic collections of entomopathogenic fungi in the Amazon, a subregion within the neotropical zone. Producing a phylogeny of *Ophiocordyceps* inclusive of global diversity requires specimens from the neotropics, particularly considering the high diversity of entomofauna (Lopez & Miranda 2010).

In this study we sampled neotropical *Ophiocordyceps* species from Colombia and Ecuador, including the Imeri and Napo biogeographic provinces (Amazon subregion) and Magdalena province (Caribe subregion) proposed by Morrone (2006). Incorporation of these samples into existing molecular phylogenetic datasets, in combination with host identification to family and genus, allowed the description of new species and the development of hypotheses concerning the role of host association in the evolution and divergence of *Ophiocordyceps* species.

Material and methods

Material collected

Systematic collections were made in three sites of the Amazon region from Colombia with altitudes between 20 and 200 m above sea level, average temperatures of 28 °C, and relative humidity of 90 %. The first site was the Araracuara canyon of the Caquetá River (Puerto Santander, Amazonas) in May of 2009 and September of 2011. The second site was sampled in several expeditions to Uitoto San Francisco community along to the Igara Parana River (La Chorrera, Amazonas), in July of 2010 and March and September of 2011. The third site sampled was the scientific station El Zafire reserve in the Municipality of Leticia (Amazonas) in July of 2011 and January of 2012. Additionally, collections were made in Rio Claro (San Luis, Antioquia) in March of 2012 and

November of 2014, a region belonging to Magdalena biogeographic province. Some material collected in July 2004 in the Tiputini Research station (Napo, Ecuador) belonging to Napo biogeographic province was included in this study.

Collecting involved careful examination of leaf litter, downed wood, and elevated plant structures (e.g., leaves, twigs) to detect the emergence of stromata from insect cadavers. Dried specimens were placed in plastic bags with silica gel for transportation to the laboratory for identification. Collections were deposited in Antioquia University Herbarium (HUA), and the collection of Fungi of the Natural History Museum of Andes University (ANDES) in Colombia, and National Herbarium of Ecuador (QCNE). Additionally material provided by the National Herbarium of Colombia (COL) was revised. Fungal fruiting bodies were examined according to Sanjuan et al. (2014). Colour descriptions of stromata are according to Kornerup et al., 1984. The host insects were identified to the extent possible (e.g., family, genus, etc.) as allowed by the condition of the specimen.

DNA extraction, PCR amplification, and sequencing

In the field, small pieces of fresh tissue from stromata were placed in 50 µL CTAB extraction buffer (1.4 M NaCl; 100 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.0; 20 mM EDTA pH 8.0; 2 % CTAB w/v) and the DNA extraction process was performed following the method in Kepler et al. (2012). Attempts were made to amplify six nuclear loci including the nuclear ribosomal internal transcribed spacer region (ITS), small subunit ribosomal RNA (SSU) and large subunit ribosomal RNA (LSU), elongation factor-1 α (TEF), and the largest (RPB1) and second (RPB2) largest subunits of RNA polymerase II. The PCR reaction mixture consisted of 2.5 µL 10 × PCR buffer (Fermentas, Glen Burnie, MA), 2 µL MgCl₂ (25 mM), 0.5 µL each primer (10 µM), 0.5 µL dNTP (10 mM each), 1–2 µL template DNA, 0.2 µL Taq polymerase (5 U/µL) (Fermentas, Glen Burnie, MA) and dH₂O to a final volume of 25 µL.

Amplification of ITS was performed with primers ITS1f and ITS4 (White et al. 1990). SSU and LSU were amplified with NS1/SR7 and NS3/NS4 (White et al. 1990) and LROR/LR5 primers (Vilgalys & Sun 1994), respectively. Amplification of TEF was performed with the primers 983F and 2218R (Rehner & Buckley 2005). Amplification of RPB1 was performed with primers cRPB1-1 aF and cRPB1-CaR (Castlebury et al. 2004). Amplification of RPB2 was performed with primers fRPB2-5f2 and fRPB2-7 cR (Liu et al. 1999). The PCR reactions were performed in a Thermocycler 1000 (BIORAD, Hercules, CA) programmed as follows: 94 °C for 3 min; ten cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 2 min; 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 50 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 2 min; one cycle of 72 °C for 3 min and 4 °C indefinitely (Kepler et al. 2011). Sequencing was performed with the amplification primers at the University of Washington (Seattle, USA) and Andes University (Bogotá, Colombia) sequencing centers. The ITS1 and LSU of the several specimens from this study were submitted to the IBOL initiative (<http://www.boldsystems.org/>).

Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis

Sequences from this study were edited using Geneious Pro version 4.8.5 (Drummond et al. 2009). A BLAST query of the

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4356828>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4356828>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)