



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Theoretical Computer Science

www.elsevier.com/locate/tcs



# Parameterized complexity of finding connected induced subgraphs

Leizhen Cai <sup>\*</sup>,<sup>1</sup>, Junjie Ye <sup>\*</sup>

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong SAR, China

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 1 November 2014

Received in revised form 24 April 2015

Accepted 17 May 2015

Available online 27 May 2015

## Keywords:

Parameterized complexity

Hereditary properties

Connected induced subgraphs

## ABSTRACT

For a graph property  $\Pi$ , i.e., a family  $\Pi$  of graphs, the CONNECTED INDUCED  $\Pi$ -SUBGRAPH problem asks whether an input graph  $G$  contains  $k$  vertices  $V'$  such that the induced subgraph  $G[V']$  is connected and satisfies property  $\Pi$ .

In this paper, we study the parameterized complexity of CONNECTED INDUCED  $\Pi$ -SUBGRAPH for decidable hereditary properties  $\Pi$ , and give a nearly complete characterization in terms of whether  $\Pi$  includes all complete graphs, all stars, and all paths. As a consequence, we obtain a complete characterization of the parameterized complexity of our problem when  $\Pi$  is the family of  $H$ -free graphs for a fixed graph  $H$  with  $h \geq 3$  vertices: W[1]-hard if  $H$  is  $K_h$ ,  $\overline{K}_h$ , or  $K_{1,h-1}$ ; and FPT otherwise. Furthermore, we also settle the parameterized complexity of the problem for many well-known families  $\Pi$  of graphs: FPT for perfect graphs, chordal graphs, and interval graphs, but W[1]-hard for forests, bipartite graphs, planar graphs, line graphs, and degree-bounded graphs.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Subgraph problems are central to graph algorithms and have been studied extensively with respect to both traditional and parameterized complexities [7,8]. For a graph property  $\Pi$ , i.e., a family  $\Pi$  of graphs, any graph in  $\Pi$  is a  $\Pi$ -graph, and the INDUCED  $\Pi$ -SUBGRAPH problem asks whether the input graph contains an induced  $\Pi$ -subgraph with  $k$  vertices.

A classical result of Lewis and Yannakakis [10] states that INDUCED  $\Pi$ -SUBGRAPH is NP-hard for any nontrivial hereditary property, and the problem remains NP-hard if we require the induced  $\Pi$ -subgraph to be connected. Khot and Raman [9] give a complete characterization of the parameterized complexity of INDUCED  $\Pi$ -SUBGRAPH, with  $k$  being the parameter, depending on whether  $\Pi$  includes all complete graphs or trivial graphs (i.e., graphs without edges): W[1]-hard if  $\Pi$  includes all trivial graphs but not all complete graphs or vice versa, and FPT otherwise for decidable  $\Pi$ . In connection with this, Cai [2] showed earlier that the parametric dual of INDUCED  $\Pi$ -SUBGRAPH (i.e., determining whether an  $n$ -vertex graph  $G$  contains an induced  $\Pi$ -graph on  $n - k$  vertices, instead of  $k$  vertices) is FPT whenever  $\Pi$  can be characterized by a finite set of forbidden induced subgraphs.

In this paper, we investigate the parameterized complexity of the following induced  $\Pi$ -subgraph problems with the requirement that the  $k$ -vertex induced  $\Pi$ -graph is connected. Note that the work of Khot and Raman [9] does not address the issue of connectedness in induced  $\Pi$ -graphs. We will focus on hereditary properties  $\Pi$ .

\* Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses: lcai@cse.cuhk.edu.hk (L. Cai), jjye@cse.cuhk.edu.hk (J. Ye).

<sup>1</sup> Partially supported by GRF grant CUHK410212 from the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong.

**Table 1**

Parameterized complexity of CONNECTED INDUCED  $\Pi$ -SUBGRAPH for hereditary properties  $\Pi$  with sample properties, where FPT cases require  $\Pi$  to be also decidable.

Property $\Pi$	Include all complete graphs	Exclude some complete graphs
Include all stars	FPT (perfect graphs, chordal graphs, interval graphs)	W[1]-hard (forests, bipartite graphs, planar graphs)
Exclude some stars and include all paths	Unknown but W[1]-hard if $\Pi$ includes all degree-bounded trees (claw-free graphs, line graphs)	W[1]-hard (degree-bounded graphs)
Exclude some stars and exclude some paths	W[1]-hard (co-planar graphs, co-bipartite graphs)	FPT (degree-bounded cographs)

### CONNECTED INDUCED $\Pi$ -SUBGRAPH

*Instance:* Graph  $G$ , positive integer  $k$  as parameter.

*Question:* Does  $G$  contain a connected induced  $\Pi$ -subgraph on  $k$  vertices?

The situation for CONNECTED INDUCED  $\Pi$ -SUBGRAPH is more complicated than that for INDUCED  $\Pi$ -SUBGRAPH, and the parameterized complexity of the former largely depends on whether  $\Pi$  includes all complete graphs, stars, and paths (instead of complete graphs and trivial graphs for the latter). Table 1 summarizes our results for hereditary properties  $\Pi$  into six cases, with sample properties for each case.

For the remaining unknown case ( $\Pi$  includes all complete graphs and paths but excludes some stars), we show that it is W[1]-hard on bipartite graphs when paths are replaced by trees of maximum degree less than  $s$ , where  $K_{1,s}$  is the smallest star excluded by  $\Pi$ . In order to show the intractability of this case, we prove that the classical INDUCED PATH and INDUCED CYCLE problems are both W[1]-hard on bipartite graphs.

Our results settle the parameterized complexity of CONNECTED INDUCED  $\Pi$ -SUBGRAPH for many well-known hereditary properties  $\Pi$ , including those listed in Table 1. Furthermore, our results also imply a complete characterization of the parameterized complexity of our problem when  $\Pi$  is the family of  $H$ -free graphs for a fixed graph  $H$  with  $h \geq 3$  vertices: W[1]-hard if  $H$  is  $K_h$ ,  $\overline{K}_h$ , or  $K_{1,h-1}$ ; and FPT otherwise.<sup>2</sup>

All graphs in the paper are simple undirected graphs. We use  $K_t$ ,  $K_{1,s}$  and  $P_l$ , respectively, to denote the complete graph on  $t$  vertices, star on  $1 + s$  vertices, and path on  $l$  vertices. For a graph  $G$ , we use  $V(G)$  to denote its vertex set and  $E(G)$  its edge set. We use  $n$  and  $m$ , respectively, to denote the numbers of vertices and edges of  $G$ . For a subset  $V' \subseteq V$ ,  $N_G(V')$  denotes the neighbors of  $V'$  in  $V(G) - V'$ , and  $G[V']$  represents the subgraph induced by  $V'$ . A universal vertex  $v$  of  $G$  is a vertex adjacent to all other vertices in  $G$ . A graph is *degree-bounded* if its vertex degree is bounded above by a constant. For a fixed graph  $H$ , a graph is *H-free* if it contains no induced subgraph isomorphic to  $H$ . For any  $\Pi$ -graphs, *co- $\Pi$  graphs* denote complement graphs of  $\Pi$ -graphs. A property  $\Pi$  is *hereditary* if all induced subgraphs of a  $\Pi$ -graph are  $\Pi$ -graphs. It is well-known that  $\Pi$  is hereditary iff it can be characterized by a set of forbidden induced subgraphs, and we use  $Forb(\Pi)$  to denote the minimum-size forbidden set of  $\Pi$ . Note that hereditary properties are not necessarily decidable.

In the paper,  $R(t, s)$  denotes the Ramsey number, i.e., any graph with  $R(t, s)$  vertices contains either a  $t$ -clique or an independent  $s$ -set. We use  $M_{\Delta, D}$  to denote Moore's bound [13] – the maximum number of vertices in a connected graph  $G$  of maximum degree  $\Delta$  and diameter  $D$ , i.e.,  $M_{\Delta, D} = 1 + \Delta \sum_{i=0}^{D-1} (\Delta - 1)^i < \Delta^{D+1}$  for  $\Delta \geq 2$ . We will use Moore's bound in the following way: if a connected graph  $G$  of maximum degree at most  $\Delta$  contains  $\Delta^{D+1}$  vertices, then  $G$  contains an induced path on  $D + 1$  vertices.

In the rest of the paper, we present FPT algorithms in Section 2, give W[1]-hardness proofs in Section 3, and consider the remaining case in Section 4. We discuss some open problems in Section 5.

## 2. FPT algorithms

We start with the two fixed-parameter tractable cases in Table 1. To obtain FPT algorithms for them, we use a combination of Ramsey's theorem, Moore's bound, and the random separation method of Cai, Chan and Chan [3].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Pi$  be a decidable property. Then CONNECTED INDUCED  $\Pi$ -SUBGRAPH is FPT whenever*

1.  $\Pi$  includes all complete graphs and stars, or
2.  $\Pi$  is hereditary and excludes some complete graphs, some stars, and some paths.

**Proof.** By the assumption that  $\Pi$  is decidable, we may assume that it takes  $T(k)$  time to determine whether a  $k$ -vertex graph is a  $\Pi$ -graph.

<sup>2</sup> Our conference paper [5] forgets to include disconnected  $H$ .

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/435718>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/435718>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)