

Secondary metabolite profile and phytotoxic activity of genetically distinct forms of Colletotrichum gloeosporioides from yam (Dioscorea spp.)

Mathew M. ABANG^{a,*}, Wolf-Rainer ABRAHAM^b, Robert ASIEDU^c, Peter HOFFMANN^a, Gerhard WOLF^d, Stephan WINTER^a

^aDeutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen, Inhoffenstrasse 7B, D-38124, Braunschweig, Germany ^bDepartment of Chemical Microbiology, Helmholtz Center for Infection Research (formerly GBF), Inhoffenstrasse 7, D-38124, Braunschweig, Germany ^cInternational Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), PMB 5320, Ibadan, Nigeria

^dInstitut für Pflanzenpathologie und Pflanzenschutz der Universität Göttingen, Grisebachstrasse 6, D-37077, Göttingen, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 June 2007 Received in revised form 16 July 2008 Accepted 15 September 2008 *Corresponding Editor*: Derek Thomas Mitchell

Keywords: Anthracnose Chemotype Chromatography Colletotrichum gloeosporioides Dioscorea spp. Mass spectrometry Metabolite profiling NMR Phytotoxin

ABSTRACT

Highly virulent, slow-growing grey (SGG); moderately virulent, fast-growing salmon (FGS); and avirulent/weakly virulent, fast-growing grey (FGG) forms of Colletotrichum gloeosporioides have been described from yam (Dioscorea spp.), but little is known about their chemodiversity or the role of toxins in their pathogenesis. Secondary metabolite profiles in high performance tlc (hptlc) showed that the pathogenic SGG and FGS forms have a chemotype (A or B) that is distinct from the non-pathogenic FGG form (chemotype C). Crude extracts of 35-d-old Czapek-Dox yeast broth cultures of FGS and SGG isolates caused tissue necrosis on treated yam leaves but not those of FGG isolates. Extracts from uninoculated broth cultures showed no phytotoxic activity. Toxicity of the culture filtrate was not host specific and toxic substances were thermostable. Dioscorea genotypes with varying levels of resistance to anthracnose differed in their sensitivity to crude toxin extract of FGS (Cg33) and SGG (Cg25) isolates, indicating that these extracts may be useful in evaluating host resistance to anthracnose in vitro. Analysis of two toxin fractions unique to the pathogenic FGS and SGG forms using hlpc, mass spectrometry and nuclear magnetic resonance suggested the presence of a low molecular weight amide peptide. However, possibly due to low yield and the presence of impurities, the chemical structure of the compound(s) could not be fully elucidated.

© 2008 The British Mycological Society. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Anthracnose is among the most widespread and economically important diseases of water yam (Dioscorea alata) worldwide.

The disease is caused by Colletotrichum gloeosporioides (teleomorph, Glomerella cingulata) (Abang et al. 2002). It attacks all above-ground plant parts; die-back of shoots and eventual death of affected plants may result in over 80 % yield loss

E-mail address: mathew.abang@worldveg.org

0953-7562/\$ – see front matter © 2008 The British Mycological Society. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.mycres.2008.09.004

^{*} Corresponding author. Current address: AVRDC – The World Vegetable Center, Regional Center for Africa, PO Box 10, Duluti, Arusha, Tanzania.

when susceptible varieties are grown under high disease pressure and conducive environmental conditions (Nwankiti et al. 1984; Green 1998; McDonald et al. 1998; Ano et al. 2002).

The presence of a distinct chlorotic zone surrounding dark brown anthracnose lesions on yam suggests the likely effect of a pathogen-induced toxin (Molina & Krausz 1989; Abang et al. 2003). The possibility that toxic metabolites play a role in the development of anthracnose on yam has been suggested (Amusa et al. 1993, 2000; Alleyne 2001; Moura-Costa et al. 1993), and efforts have been made to extract and test the phytotoxic principle on yams with varying levels of resistance to anthracnose. The advantages of using in vitro plantlets rather than whole plants for assaying phytotoxins have been reviewed (Amusa 2006; Daub 1986; Soledade & Pedras 2004; ŝvábová & Lebeda 2005). Experiments with pathogen culture filtrates have shown that tissue response in vitro may correlate with disease reaction of the host species/variety and, where this occurs, the use of culture fitrates may allow selection of important traits in disease resistance in vitro (Amusa 2006; Daub 1986; Moura-Costa et al. 1993).

Amusa et al. (1993) and Ahoussou (1989, cited in Moura-Costa et al. 1993) extracted phytotoxic substances from C. gloeosporioides-infected yam leaves, which induced necrotic lesions similar to that produced by the pathogen on yam leaves. The toxin extracted by Amusa et al. (1993) gave a fluorescent band similar to that produced by toxic metabolites of the pathogen in culture, indicating that it is a vivotoxin. In preliminary studies on the chemical characterization of culture filtrates, Ahoussou (1989, cited in Moura-Costa et al. 1993) showed that phytotoxicity of toxic fractions of a West African isolate of C. gloeosporioides could be attributed to an, as yet, unidentified glycoprotein and an associated polysaccharide. Similar results were obtained by Alleyne (2001) who partially purified and characterized phytotoxic metabolites exuded by C. gloeosporioides from yam in Barbados. Water-soluble, glycoprotein-type compounds were observed, and the protein fraction of the toxin complex showed host selectivity when tested on a wide range of yam and non-yam hosts. The chemical structure of the phytotoxin(s) remains elusive.

Three forms of C. gloeosporioides have been described from yam (Abang et al. 2002). Sequence analysis of the rDNA ITS region confirmed that the moderately virulent, fast-growing salmon (FGS) and the highly virulent, slow-growing grey (SGG) strains, which cause typical anthracnose symptoms, belong to C. gloeosporioides (Abang et al. 2002). The avirulent/ weakly virulent, fast-growing grey (FGG) strain appeared unrelated to C. gloeosporioides and it remains unclear whether it should be retained within the C. gloeosporioides species complex (Abang et al. 2002; Johnston & Jones 1997). Secondary metabolites could be used as an additional criterion in chemotaxonomic studies of pathogenic and non-pathogenic forms of C. gloeosporioides from yam (Sutton 1992; Frisad et al. 2008). Although the 'blueprint' of each form is represented by the genome, its behaviour is expressed as its phenotype, i.e. growth characteristics, cell differentiation, response to the environment, the production of secondary metabolites and enzymes. Therefore, the profile of (secondary) metabolites - fungal chemodiversity - is important for understanding functional genomics in this pathosystem and the elucidation of hostpathogen interactions.

In this paper, genetically distinct forms of *C. gloeosporioides* from yam were further characterized based on their secondary metabolite profile. Also, bioassays were used to determine the phytotoxicity of toxin fractions both in vitro and in vivo, and the response of diverse *Dioscorea* genotypes to anthracnose was evaluated using the toxins. Attempts were made to purify two toxin fractions from a virulent FGS strain, and elucidate their chemical structure using mass spectrometry (MS) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR).

Materials and methods

Fungal cultures, growth media, and metabolite extraction procedure

Twenty-seven isolates, representing the FGS, SGG, and FGG forms of *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* were used in this study (Table 1). Morphological, virulence, and molecular-genetic characteristics of these morphotypes were described previously (Abang *et al.* 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006). These strains

Table 1 – Designation, morphotype, rDNA ITS group, and chemotype of slow growing grey (SGG), fast growing salmon (FGS), and fast growing grey (FGG) forms of Colletotrichum gloeosporioides based on their secondary metabolite profile in high performance thin layer chromatography (hptlc)^a

Isolate Morpho			Hptlc		Chemo
	type	ITS group	UV (360 nm)	Anis-aldehyde staining	type
Cg1	FGS	1	_	+	В
Cg2	FGS	1	+	+	А
CgS2	FGS	1	+	+	А
CgS3	FGS	1	+	+	А
CgS5	FGS	1	+	+	А
CgS6	FGS	1	-	+	В
Cg9	FGS	1	+	+	А
Cg10	FGS	1	+	+	А
Cg11	FGS	1	+	+	А
Cg12	FGS	1	+	+	А
Cg13	FGS	1	-	+	В
Cg14	SGG	1	-	+	В
Cg15	FGG	2	+	-	С
Cg16	FGG	2	+	-	С
Cg17	FGS	1	+	+	А
Cg18	FGG	2	+	-	С
Cg19	FGG	2	+	-	С
Cg20	FGS	1	+	+	А
Cg21	FGS	1	+	+	А
Cg22	FGS	1	+	+	А
Cg25	SGG	1	-	+	В
Cg26	SGG	1	-	+	В
Cg29	FGS	1	+	+	А
Cg33	FGS	1	+	+	А
Cg35	FGS	1	+	+	А
Cg66	SGG	1	-	+	В
Cg219	SGG	1	_	+	В
a Based on previous morphotype and rDNA ITS analysis (Abang					

et al. 2002).

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4357599

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4357599

Daneshyari.com