Food Microbiology 47 (2015) 45-61

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Food Microbiology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fm

Review

From field to fermentation: The origins of *Lactococcus lactis* and its domestication to the dairy environment



^a Teagasc Food Research Centre, Moorepark, Fermoy, Co. Cork, Ireland
^b Department of Microbiology, University College Cork, Co. Cork, Ireland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 28 July 2014 Received in revised form 22 October 2014 Accepted 1 November 2014 Available online 11 November 2014

Keywords: Lactococcus Amino acid auxotrophy Comparative genomics Sugar metabolism Environments

ABSTRACT

Lactococcus lactis is an organism of substantial economic importance, used extensively in the production of fermented foods and widely held to have evolved from plant strains. The domestication of this organism to the milk environment is associated with genome reduction and gene decay, and the acquisition of specific genes involved in protein and lactose utilisation by horizontal gene transfer. In recent years, numerous studies have focused on uncovering the physiology and molecular biology of lactococcal strains from the wider environment for exploitation in the dairy industry. This in turn has facilitated comparative genome analysis of lactococci from different environments and provided insight into the natural phenotypic and genetic diversity of *L. lactis*. This diversity may be exploited in dairy fermentations to develop products with improved quality and sensory attributes. In this review, we discuss the classification of *L. lactis* and the problems that arise with phenotype/genotype designation. We also discuss the adaptation of non-dairy lactococci to dairy fermentations.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The lactic acid bacteria (LAB) comprise a group of Gram-positive organisms which are primarily anaerobic, non-sporulating bacteria which produce lactic acid as the principal end product of sugar fermentation (Kandler and Weiss, 1986). Numerous species of bacteria are capable of producing lactic acid but the term LAB is restricted to specific genera including Lactococcus amongst others (Stiles and Holzapfel, 1997). LAB have been exploited for thousands of years in the production of fermented foods whereby they contribute to flavour, quality, texture and safety of the products (Settanni and Corsetti, 2008). While these organisms produce mainly lactic acid as a by-product of sugar fermentation (homofermentation), there are many examples of heterofermenting LAB, producing an array of different fermentation products including ethanol, carbon dioxide, acetic acid and formic acid (Kleerebezem and Hugenholtz, 2003). Among the most widely selected genera for industrial application are Oenococcus (wine), Lactobacillus

(meat, vegetables, dairy, cereals) and *Lactococcus* (dairy) (Bourdichon et al., 2012). Two species of *Lactococcus* are listed in the 'Inventory of Microbial Food Cultures' with documented use in food fermentations, *Lactococcus raffinolactis* and *Lactococcus lactis* (Bourdichon et al., 2012).

L. lactis is the main constituent of dairy starter culture systems used worldwide for the production of numerous fermented dairy products including cheese of both artisanal and commercial origin, and fermented milks such as buttermilk and sour cream. Indeed, through the consumption of fermented dairy products, it is estimated that humans ingest up to 10¹⁸ lactococcal cells per annum (Mills et al., 2010). Based on its history of use in food fermentations, L. lactis has GRAS, or Generally Regarded As Safe, status (FDA, 2010). The predominant role of L. lactis in dairy starter cultures is to produce lactic acid at a sufficient rate and contribute to the breakdown of milk proteins during fermentation (Wouters et al., 2002), thus significantly contributing to the final product in terms of organoleptic properties and microbial quality (Ross et al., 2000). A small number of L. lactis strains are routinely used in fermented food production in an effort to develop more consistent products. These strains are chosen primarily for their acidification activity and resistance to bacteriophage infection (Marshall, 1991). However, consumer demands for products of more diverse flavour







^{*} Corresponding author. Food Biosciences Department, Teagasc Food Research Centre, Moorepark, Fermoy, Co. Cork, Ireland. Tel.: +353 25 42568.

E-mail addresses: daniel.cavanagh@teagasc.ie (D. Cavanagh), g.fitzgerald@ucc.ie (G.F. Fitzgerald), olivia.mcauliffe@teagasc.ie (O. McAuliffe).

is driving manufacturers of fermented dairy products to expand their culture systems. These new cultures must be able to create desirable products in terms of flavour and texture while also enduring the environmental stresses associated with the manufacture of fermented dairy foods (Mills et al., 2010). The creation of genetically modified organisms (GMOs) has the potential to resolve this problem, but the introduction of such organisms into the food chain is met with much opposition from both governmental agencies and consumers alike (Pedersen et al., 2005). An alternative non-GM approach is through the examination of the natural biodiversity which exists in the LAB group, including *L. lactis* from outside the dairy environment. Such an approach may provide a means to identify novel starter cultures with the desired industrial traits for production in terms of flavour development and bacteriophage resistance (Ayad et al., 2000; Mills et al., 2010).

A high degree of sequence similarity exists between Streptococcaceae, yet they can be found in a broad range of different environmental niches. Members of the Lactococcus genus can be isolated from raw-milk, raw-milk cheeses and non-milk environments and are collectively referred to as 'wild-type'. Indeed, strains of L. lactis have been isolated from a range of sources including drain water and human vaginal samples (Gao et al., 2011; Kato et al., 2012). Although not a common resident of the gastro intestinal tract (GIT), L. lactis is capable of surviving gut passage (Kimoto et al., 1999; Meyrand et al., 2013). This in turn has opened up the potential of these strains for probiotic use, and the delivery of therapeutic drugs in-vivo (Steidler and Rottiers, 2006; Wells and Mercenier, 2008) and the targeted delivery of vaccines by this organism has been examined (Asensi et al., 2013). In recent years the number of sequenced lactococcal strains from different environmental niches has grown considerably (Table 1). This has shed further light on the diversity within the L. lactis species and identified genes present in these strains which may be harnessed to impart added value to dairy fermentations. The aim of this review is to discuss the potential origins and natural diversity of L. lactis, and to highlight the mechanisms by which this industrially important organism has become adapted to the dairy environment. The potential features present in strains of L. lactis from outside the dairy environment which could be beneficial in dairy fermentations are also highlighted.

2. Diverse niches of L. lactis

LAB are often referred to as fastidious organisms, found in nutrient rich habitats; however, in such environments these bacteria can be exposed to extremes of pH, differing nutrient availability and challenges from other microbiota for resources (Van De Guchte et al., 2002). L. lactis possesses a wide ecological distribution from sourdough bread (Passerini et al., 2013a), to sugar cane plants (Serna Cock and Rodríguez De Stouvenel, 2006) to the GIT of brown trout (Pérez et al., 2011). Recently, the genome sequence of L. lactis ssp. lactis IO-1, isolated from drain water, has been elucidated which possesses the capacity to utilise xylose and generates increased levels of L-lactic acid (Kato et al., 2012). Although L. lactis may naturally inhabit many different environments (see Table 1 for origins of sequenced strains), it is most widely known for its association with the milk environment and in the production of dairy products. Based on multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) and the formation of clonal complexes, Passerini et al. (2010) proposed that L. lactis strains be classed as 'domesticated' or 'environmental' in reference to their origin. Domesticated strains are thus defined as strains used as dairy starters, in milk production and found in fermented products, while environmental strains are defined as isolates from plants, animals and raw-milk.

The consensus is that industrial dairy strains used in production today are believed to be descended from plants (Kelly et al., 2010) and have adapted over time to thrive in milk. It is plausible that this species initially colonised milk from contact with grass or other plants which would have been used as fodder or bedding for cattle. *L. lactis* is one of the first bacteria to occupy plant material, where it becomes the less dominant species as the pH of the environment lowers (Kelly et al., 1998b; Kelly and Ward, 2002). It is thought that these bacteria initially colonised seeds, prior to germination, which enables them to quickly establish themselves as the dominant microbial community (Kelly et al., 1998b). This in turn may be aided by the production of anti-microbial compounds, as numerous strains isolated from plant matter have been found to produce nisin

Table 1

List of available Lactococcus lactis genomes. Data was collected from Genbank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/?term=Lactococcus+lactis; accessed 2nd July 2014).

Strain	Year	Genotype	Origin	Size (mb)	Proteins	Plasmid	G/C content (%)	Reference
L. lactis IL1403	2001	lactis	Dairy	2.37	2.277	0	35.3	(Bolotin et al., 2001)
L. lactis KF147	2010	lactis	Mung bean sprouts	2.60	2.578	1	34.9	(Siezen et al., 2010)
L. lactis KF282	2010	lactis	Mustard and cress	-	_	_	-	(Siezen et al., 2010)
L. lactis CV56	2011	lactis	Vaginal flora	2.40	2.301	5	35.2	(Gao et al., 2011)
L. lactis CNCMI-1631	2011	lactis	Fermented milk	2.51	2.579	_	34.9	(McNulty et al., 2011)
L. lactis IO-1	2012	lactis	Drain water	2.42	2.224	_	35.1	(Kato et al., 2012)
L. lactis YF11	2013	lactis	Fermented corn	2.53	2.531	0	34.8	(Du et al., 2013)
L. lactis NCDO 2118	2013	lactis	Frozen peas	2.81	n/a	_	35.0	(unpublished)
L. lactis TIFN2	2013	lactis	Dairy-cheese	2.51	2.521	_	35.1	(Erkus et al., 2013)
L. lactis TIFN4	2013	lactis	Dairy-cheese	2.55	2.598	_	35.0	(Erkus et al., 2013)
L. lactis KLDS 4.0325	2013	lactis	Fermented horse milk	2.59	2.587	3	35.4	(Yang et al., 2013)
L. lactis Dephy 1	2013	lactis	Undefined	2.60	2.686	_	35.1	(unpublished)
L. lactis A12	2013	lactis	Sourdough bread	2.70	2.725	_	35.3	(Passerini et al., 2013a)
L. lactis LD61	2014	lactis	Dairy-cheese	2.60	2.601	6	36.4	(Falentin et al., 2014)
L. lactis SK11	2006	cremoris	Dairy-cheese	2.44	2.381	4	35.9	(Makarova et al., 2006)
L. lactis MG1363	2007	cremoris	Dairy	2.53	2.434	0	35.7	(Wegmann et al., 2007)
L. lactis NZ9000	2010	cremoris	Dairy	2.53	2.510	0	35.7	(Linares et al., 2010)
L. lactis A76	2012	cremoris	Dairy-cheese	2.45	2.643	4	35.9	(Bolotin et al., 2012)
L. lactis KW2	2013	cremoris	Fermented corn	2.43	2.268	0	35.7	(Kelly et al., 2013)
L. lactis TIFN1	2013	cremoris	Dairy-cheese	2.68	2.754	_	35.5	(Erkus et al., 2013)
L. lactis TIFN3	2013	cremoris	Dairy-cheese	2.73	2.891	_	35.5	(Erkus et al., 2013)
L. lactis TIFN5	2013	cremoris	Dairy-cheese	2.54	2.232	_	35.5	(Erkus et al., 2013)
L. lactis TIFN7	2013	cremoris	Dairy- cheese	2.63	2.505	_	35.6	(Erkus et al., 2013)
L. lactis UC509.9	2013	cremoris	Dairy	2.25	2.208	8	35.9	(Ainsworth et al., 2013)
L. lactis HP ^T	2014	cremoris	Dairy	2.27	2.374	7	36.7	(Lambie et al., 2014)

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4362849

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4362849

Daneshyari.com