FISEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## **Applied Soil Ecology**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apsoil



## Impact of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the soil on soil solarization efficiency

A.W. AL-Kayssi\*

Soil & Water Department, College of Agriculture, University of Tikrit, Salah El-Din, Iraq

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 1 November 2008
Received in revised form 24 June 2009
Accepted 25 June 2009

Keywords: Soil CO<sub>2</sub> Soil mulching Soil temperature Soil heat flux Solar heating Verticillium dahliae

#### ABSTRACT

Solarization is a method of heating moist soil by covering it with transparent polyethylene sheets to trap solar radiation. It involves the use of heat as a lethal agent for soil-borne pathogens. Soil temperature under polyethylene sheet cover is a function of incoming radiation and thermal characteristics of the polyethylene sheets and the soil. In order to study the effect of soil CO2 concentrations on soil solarization efficiency, clay soil samples infested with Verticillium dahliae were exposed to different CO2 concentrations [350, 700, 1050, 1400, 1750  $\mu$ L CO<sub>2</sub> L air<sup>-1</sup>] and incubated in hot water baths at 35, 40, 45, 50 and 55 °C. Moreover, field plots were exposed to the same CO<sub>2</sub> levels during soil solarization in three periods [1st of July to 30th of September, 1st of August to 30th of September, and 1st to 30th of September], Recorded temperatures of 35–55 °C during the three soil solarization periods were lethal to V. dahliae. At 35 and 55 °C, the exposure time for LD<sub>90</sub> was 24 days and 6 h respectively for V. dahliae with ambient soil CO<sub>2</sub> content. High CO<sub>2</sub> content in the soil resulted in increasing maximum soil temperatures and soil heat flux while reducing the time required for  $LD_{90}$ . The required time for  $LD_{90}$  of V. dahliae in soil heated at 35 °C, reduced from 24 days with ambient CO $_2$  content to 15 days at 1750  $\mu$ L CO $_2$  L air $^{-1}$ . Sublethal soil temperatures were raised to lethal levels with increasing CO<sub>2</sub> content in the soil. A linear "negative" relationship existed between logarithms of times required to kill 90% of V. dahliae microsclerotia and temperatures for all soil CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment levels. The fungus was killed in field soil solarized for the necessary time periods. It was found that the addition of CO<sub>2</sub> shortens the required time to kill the fungus V. dahliae during solarization and increased the activity of the sub-lethal soil temperatures. Levels of CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature necessary to kill the fungus are useful for evaluating the progress of soil solarization under field conditions.

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Solarization (also referred to as solar heating) is a method of heating the soil by using polyethylene sheets as mulching over moistened soil, to retain solar radiation during the hot season (Katan et al., 1976; Horowitz et al., 1983; AL-Karaghouli and AL-Kayssi, 2001). Soil-borne pathogens may be killed by lethal heat (>40 °C) and weakened by sub-lethal heat (<38–40 °C) to the extent that they are unable to cause damage to plants or they are more susceptible to chemical toxicants (Stapleton, 1997; Banu et al., 1998; Chauhan et al., 1998). Extensive studies by many workers worldwide have shown that soil temperatures below 45 °C, which are often considered to be "sub-lethal", tend to extend the period needed for controlling soil-borne pathogens (Bigelow, 1921; Smith, 1923; Grooshevoy et al., 1941; Munnecke et al., 1976; Van Uden and Vidal-Leiria, 1976). Much has been written about solar solarization, which has been successfully

used to control soil-borne pathogens and weeds (Katan et al., 1976; Mahrer, 1979; Grinstein et al., 1979; Katan, 1981; Mahrer et al., 1984; Avissar et al., 1986; AL-Karaghouli et al., 1990; AL-Kayssi and AL-Karaghouli, 1991). But, little is known about the influence of CO<sub>2</sub> on soil solarization efficiency especially at sublethal soil temperatures. During the past decade a number of studies have been conducted to assess the influence of elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> on aboveground plant community diversity and physiology as well as belowground root dynamics and root exudates (Van Veen et al., 1991; Curtis et al., 1994; Day et al., 2000; Griffiths et al., 2000; Schortemeyer et al., 2000; Wiemken et al., 2001), and nutrient availability (Hungate et al., 1999; Johnson et al., 2001). In most cases, these investigations document the impact of elevated CO2 upon plant growth and water requirements, but the reaction of the belowground microbial community has been less clear-cut. Some studies have reported no effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on soil biota (O'Neill et al., 1987; Zak et al., 1997), while others have reported increases in microbial activity (Rouhire et al., 1994), in microbial biomass (Diaz et al., 1993; Rice et al., 1994), or in the number of mycorrhizal infections (O'Neill et al., 1987).

<sup>\*</sup> Tel.: +964 7703740636. E-mail address: wa.alkayssi@Yahoo.com.

The objective of the present study was to evaluate the effect of CO<sub>2</sub>, temperatures and exposure time on survival of the soil-borne pathogen *V. dahliae* in both laboratory and field studies.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Laboratory experiment

Soil infested with *V. dahliae* was collected from the upper 0.20 m of the soil profile in Baghdad (AL-Mada'in). The soil was alluvial clay, with a population density of V. dahliae of 168 microsclerotia  $g^{-1}$  of soil and had a water content at field capacity of 31.4%. Soil samples were passed through a 6-mm-mesh screen and stored at 4 °C. The site had been cultivated with vegetable crops (tomatoes, eggplants, cucumbers and peppers) frequently during the last decade under plastic-house conditions. Thirty grams of soil was placed in test tubes 12 mm in diameter and 210 mm in height. A predetermined amount of water was added to each tube to adjust soil water content to field capacity. Test tubes were capped with plastic tops, which retarded water loss and gas exchange. Six CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were used in this experiment. They were ambient soil CO<sub>2</sub> 78 µL CO<sub>2</sub> Lair<sup>-1</sup> (C1), and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations 350, 700, 1050, 1400 and 1750  $\mu L$  CO<sub>2</sub> L air  $^{-1}$  (C2, C3, C4, C5 and C6 respectively). CO<sub>2</sub> was injected into the soil to a depth of 0.1 m below the soil surface in each test tube by a single hole micro-syringe. Soil air from each test tube was sampled by micro-syringe to determine the injected CO<sub>2</sub> concentration after equilibration. The CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of sampled soil air was quantified using a gas chromatograph (GC 14-A, Shimazdu, Kyoto, Japan) with a thermal conductivity detector. Tubes were allowed to equilibrate overnight and the next day were immersed in hot water baths maintained at 35, 40, 45, 50 and 55  $\pm$  0.2 °C (T1, T2, T3, T4 and T5, respectively) placed in an incubator at 28  $\pm$  0.5 °C. Three tubes per CO<sub>2</sub> concentration were treated for each temperature and exposure time. Then, the soil was removed from the test tubes of each treatment, bulked, and assayed for V. dahliae microsclerotia estimation.

#### 2.2. Field experiment

The field experiment was carried out at AL-Mada'in Research Station of the Solar Energy Research Centre. The station is located 30 km south of Baghdad, Iraq, at 33 °14′N, latitude and 44 °14′E, longitude at 34 m above sea level. The climate is semi-arid and sub-tropical with an average rainfall of 250 mm. The soil is a typical (Torrifluvents) clay soil, composed of 18% sand, 39% silt and 43% clay, with an average V. dahlia population density of 168 microsclerotia  $g^{-1}\,$  of soil. Individual plots  $3\,m\times3\,m$  were arranged in a randomized complete block design consisting of six CO<sub>2</sub> treatments each with four replications. Pure CO<sub>2</sub> (gas cylinder source) was injected into the soil at 0.018, 0.035, 0.046, 0.070 and  $0.088 \,\mathrm{L\,m^{-2}}$  to produce concentrations of 350, 700, 1050, 1400 and 1750  $\mu$ L CO<sub>2</sub> L air<sup>-1</sup> (C2, C3, C4, C5 and C6, respectively) on three occasions: July 1st, August 1st and September 1st (P1, P2 and P3, respectively). The ambient soil CO<sub>2</sub> content (C1) was 78 µL CO<sub>2</sub> L air<sup>-1</sup>. Bottled Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) Emitter System model (BCDE-1) was used for CO<sub>2</sub> injection into the soil to a depth of 0.10 m below the soil surface at a flow rate of 3 L min<sup>-1</sup>. The number of injection points was 9 per m<sup>2</sup>. A full description of the injection system was provided by Okada et al. (2001). Concentrations of injected CO2 were determined by the method of Osozawa and Kubota (1987). The diffused gas (2 cm<sup>3</sup> samples) was sampled at 15 min-intervals by a single hole micro-syringe according to Rolston (1986). Gas concentrations were analyzed by a gas chromatograph (GC14-A, Shimazdu, Kyoto, Japan) with a thermal conductivity detector. Before mulching, the soil was wetted to field

capacity to 1 m depth and injected with CO<sub>2</sub>. No additional irrigations were made after mulching the soil surface with transparent polyethylene sheets (180  $\mu m$  thick). Special care was taken to keep the soil in good tilth before mulching, allowing close contact between the polyethylene sheets and the soil surface and preventing the formation of "air pockets" which reduce heat conduction. The edges of the polyethylene sheet were accurately buried at the border of each plot. Mulching was carried out as described by Katan et al. (1976). Soil temperatures at depths of 0.01, 0.05, 0.10, and 0.20 m (D1, D2, D3 and D4, respectively) and air temperature at 0.10 m above ground level were monitored by means of shielded copper-constantan thermocouples. Measurements of net total solar radiation (0.4-60 µm) were made with miniature net radiometers mounted horizontally with the sensing surfaces 0.10 m above the ground surface. The analog signals from the sensors were converted into digital signals for the Hewlett-Packard Automatic Data Acquisition/Control System Model 3054A. The output data were printed hourly using a Hewlett-Packard Model 9845B computer connected on-line with the data acquisition system. Soil solarization efficiency "using a bioassay" was tested in the three periods P1 (July 1st to September 30th), P2 (August 1st to September 30th) and P3 (September 1st to 30th). Thus, the soil solarization duration periods were 90, 60 and 30 days for the three periods respectively.

Table 1 Mean monthly maximum soil temperatures (°C) at different CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations C1 (78 μL CO<sub>2</sub> L air<sup>-1</sup>), C2 (350 μL CO<sub>2</sub> L air<sup>-1</sup>), C3 (700 μL CO<sub>2</sub> L air<sup>-1</sup>), C4 (1050 μL CO<sub>2</sub> L air<sup>-1</sup>), C5 (1400 μL CO<sub>2</sub> L air<sup>-1</sup>) and C6 (1750 μL CO<sub>2</sub> L air<sup>-1</sup>) in the soil during three solarization periods P1 (July 1st to September 30th), P2 (August 1st to September 30th) and P3 (September 1st to 30th) and at four soil depths D1 (0.01 m), D2 (0.05 m), D3 (0.10 m) and D4 (0.20 m).

CO <sub>2</sub> concentration	Solar solarization periods		
	P1	P2	Р3
Soil donth D1			
Soil depth D1	F2 17	FO 17	4C 17
C1 C2	53.17 54.07	50.17 51.08	46.17 47.11
C3	54.70	51.71	47.11
C4	56.72	53.72	49.77
C5	59.01	56.03	52.13
C6	65.77	62.78	58.73
LSD $(P < 0.05, df = 5)$	0.87	0.83	0.82
Net solar radiation (W m <sup>-2</sup> )	710.66	680.73	570.86
Air temperature (°C)	50.23	47.06	43.41
All temperature ( C)	30.23	47.00	45.41
Soil depth D2			
C1	49.00	46.00	42.00
C2	50.18	47.20	43.21
C3	50.45	47.55	43.54
C4	51.84	48.91	44.93
C5	52.63	49.61	45.66
C6	59.61	56.51	52.59
LSD $(P < 0.05, df = 5)$	0.89	0.81	0.84
Soil depth D3		40.00	
C1	45.01	42.06	38.13
C2	45.90	42.92	38.94
C3	47.47	44.46	40.51
C4	48.26	45.29	41.30
C5	50.23	47.26	43.29
C6	51.28	48.31	44.33
LSD $(P < 0.05, df = 5)$	0.81	0.83	0.78
Soil depth D4			
C1	43.11	41.14	36.16
C2	44.00	41.00	37.01
C3	44.31	41.36	37.41
C4	45.05	42.11	38.14
C5	45.58	42.61	38.63
C6	46.37	43.41	39.45
LSD $(P < 0.05, df = 5)$	0.78	0.73	0.75
(- (0.00) a)	00	0,, 5	0.75

### Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4382993

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4382993

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>