Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Ecological Engineering

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ecoleng

Short communication

Lanthanum tolerance and accumulation characteristics of two *Eucalyptus* species

S.R. Zhang^{a,*}, L. Li^{a,b}, X.X. Xu^a, T. Li^a, G.S. Gong^c, O.P. Deng^a, Y.L. Pu^a

^a College of Resources and Environment, Sichuan Agricultural University, Wenjiang 611130, PR China ^b Institute of Ecology and Environment, Sichuan Agricultural University, Wenjiang 611130, PR China

^c Agricultural College, Sichuan Agricultural University, Wenjiang 611130, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 12 September 2014 Received in revised form 7 January 2015 Accepted 17 January 2015 Available online 23 January 2015

Keywords: Eucalyptus Lanthanum Tolerance Accumulation Bioconcentration factor Translocation factor

ABSTRACT

Because of extensive mining of rare earth elements (REEs) and overuse of REE-containing fertilizers, the remediation of soils polluted with REEs such as lanthanum (La) has become an international concern. In this study, pot and plot experiments were conducted to investigate the La tolerance and accumulation of a *Eucalyptus grandis* × *Eucalyptus urophylla* hybrid, Guanglin 9 (Gn9) and *E. grandis* 5 (Eg5). In the pot experiment, the two species grew normally in soil added La concentrations below 500 mg kg⁻¹, and shoot biomass showed no significant decrease compared with the control. Peroxidase and catalase activities and glutathione and soluble protein concentrations generally displayed exponential, quadratic or other non-linear increases in response to increasing soil La concentrations. Maximum La accumulations in shoots of Gn9 and Eg5 in the pot experiment were 0.46 and 0.57% of soil La, respectively, with corresponding accumulations in the plot experiment of 87 and 80 mg plant⁻¹. Most bioconcentration and translocation factors in shoots were less than the critical value (1.0). These findings indicate that the two species may be potentially useful for the remediation of La-polluted soil.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Because of their special physical and chemical properties, rare earth elements (REEs) are used in the industrial production of technological devices and in agricultural fertilizers (Kobayashi et al., 2007). While some researchers have reported that low concentrations of REEs are beneficial for plant growth (d'Aquino et al., 2009), and excessive amounts may have significant negative effects on plant growth and human health (Kobayashi et al., 2007). In recent years, high global demand for REEs has resulted in the exploitation and abuse of REE sources (Zeng et al., 2006). As a result, the accumulation of REEs in the environment has become an international concern (d'Aquino et al., 2009).

In China, which supplies most of the world's REEs, excavation of REEs since the 1970s has generated large amounts of waste rocks and mine tailings with high lanthanum (La) concentrations and increased the extent of La-polluted land. Furthermore, rare earth fertilizers with high La content have been extensively applied to soils in the past 30 years (Zeng et al., 2006). The advancement of

technologies for the remediation of La-contaminated soils is therefore important. Compared with conventional remediation methods, phytore-

mediation is an environmentally sustainable remediation methods, phytolemediation is an environmentally sustainable remediation strategy because of its operational simplicity and large-scale applicability (Kumar et al., 2013; Babu et al., 2014). Some researchers have suggested that this method may be useful for the remediation of La-polluted soil (Wei et al., 2005), followed by recycling of La after incineration of plants. Because only a few REE accumulator or hyperaccumulator species, such as *Carya cathayensis* (Robinson, 1943) and *Dicranopteris dichotoma* (Wei et al., 2006), have been identified, additional species suitable for phytoremediation are needed.

Eucalyptus, which is indigenous to Australia, is widely cultivated worldwide because of its rapid growth, broad adaptability, and high productivity. Some studies have revealed that *Eucalyptus* can accumulate heavy metals (King et al., 2008), but no reports have appeared on the use of *Eucalyptus* for the restoration of La-polluted soil.

In this study, we investigated two *Eucalyptus* species that we hypothesized might have strong La tolerance and accumulation capabilities. Our objectives were to assess the La tolerance of the





CrossMark

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 028 86290995; fax: +86 028 86290983.

two species in La-polluted soil and to evaluate their capabilities for La uptake and accumulation using pot and plot experiments.

2. Materials and methods

The plant materials used for experiments were tube seedlings of Guanglin 9 (*Eucalyptus grandis* \times *Eucalyptus urophylla*) (Gn9) and *E. grandis* 5 (Eg5), both obtained from Guangzhou Fangji Flower Limited Liability Company (Guangzhou, China).

The pot experiment was conducted in Yaan, Sichuan, China (102°59' E and 29°59' N). This area is in the subtropical zone and experiences a moist climate (Zhang et al., 2013). The experimental soil was yellow soil, with clay, silt, sand, organic matter, and total N contents of 475, 339, 186, 29.54, and $1.06 \,\mathrm{g \, kg^{-1}}$, respectively. Concentrations of available N, P, and K and total La were 79.4, 16.6, 141.8, and 13 mg kg⁻¹, respectively, and the pH was 5.17. Exchangeable, reducible, oxidizable, and residual La fractions in the soil were 2, 2, 4, and 5 mg kg $^{-1}$, respectively. Each plastic pot (20 cm diameter and 35 cm height) was filled with 8.0 kg of ground soil that had been filtered through a 4-mm mesh, mixed with a La solution (prepared by dissolving analytical grade LaCl₃·6H₂O) to give a supplemental concentration of 0 (control), 10, 30, 100, 200, 350, or 500 mg La kg^{-1} soil, and incubated for 4 weeks. Two uniform tube seedlings (10-12 cm height) of Gn9 or Eg5 with measured La concentrations of 0.26 and 0.29 mg kg⁻¹, respectively, were transplanted into each pot. Four replicates were run for each treatment. The seedlings were harvested 180 days following transplantation.

The plot experiment was conducted in cement pools comprising three plots, each with an area of 2.0 m^2 ($2 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m}$). All plots were filled with La-contaminated mine soil to a depth of 60 cm. Eight 10-12-cm high tube tree seedlings of Gn9 or Eg5, which contained La of 0.26 and 0.29 mg kg^{-1} , respectively, were planted in each plot. Clay, silt, sand, organic matter, and total N contents of the mixed mine soil were 185, 393, 422, 24.75, and $1.12 \,\mathrm{g \, kg^{-1}}$, respectively; pH and available N, P, and K were 5.33 and 120.5, 16.7, and 138.4 mg kg^{-1} , respectively. The following REE and heavy metal concentrations $(mg kg^{-1})$ were also recorded: 241 (La), 116 (Ce), 2.13 (Cd), and 47 (Pb). Soil fractions of La, Ce, Cd, and Pb were determined using the BCR sequential extraction procedure. Exchangeable, reducible, oxidizable, and residual fractions of these elements in soil were respectively 27, 31, 96, and 87 mg kg⁻¹ for La, 20, 15, 40, and 41 mg kg⁻¹ for Ce, 0.32, 0.31, 0.74, and 0.76 mg kg^{-1} for Cd, and 7, 8, 16, and 16 mg kg $^{-1}$ for Pb. Four plants in each plot were randomly sampled for investigation 365 days after seedling transplantation.

The seedlings that were sampled in the pot and plot experiments were thoroughly washed with running tap water followed by distilled water. Washed seedlings were divided into roots, stems, and leaves, and their fresh weights were immediately determined. Some of the fresh samples in the pot experiments were used for the determination of physiological characteristics. with the remaining samples dried at 75 °C for 72 h and then finely ground and sieved through a 1-mm nylon sieve. Plant samples (0.3 g) were digested with a mixture of HNO₃ (5 ml) and HClO₄ (1 ml). In both pot and plot experiments, soil samples were randomly taken from the rhizosphere, air-dried at room temperature for 15 days, and ground to pass through a 2-mm nylon sieve. The soil samples (1.0 g) were digested with a mixture of HNO₃ (5 ml), HF (1 ml), and HClO₄ (1 ml) (Zhou et al., 2011). La concentrations in plant and soil were determined by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectroscopy (IRIS Intrepid II; Thermo Electron Corporation, Milford, MA, USA). LaNO₃ from Beijing Gaoke Limited Liability Company (Beijing, China) was used as a standard material for quality assurance. Soil basic physicochemical properties were measured using the methods described by Bao (2008).

able 1 lant growth and photo re means \pm S.D. $(n=4)$	synthesis paramete • Means with differ	rs of the two <i>Euca</i> . ent letters are sig	t <i>lyptus</i> species (Gn9 and gnificantly different fr	d Eg5) in the pot experion om each other $(P < 0.0)$	ment. P _n , net photosyı 15).	nthetic rate; Cond, storr	atal conductance; C	i, intercellular CO ₂ co	oncentration; T _r , trar	ıspiration rate. Data
Treatment $(mg kg^{-1})$	Plant height (cm)	Root length (cm)	Root biomass (g DW)	Stem biomass (g DW)	Leaf biomass (g DW)	Shoot biomass (g DW)	$\begin{array}{c} P_n \\ (\mu mol \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Cond} \\ (\text{mol}\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}) \end{array}$	C_{i} (µmol mol ⁻¹)	$T_{\rm r} ({\rm mmol}{\rm m}^{-2}{\rm s}^{-1})$
Gn9										
0	$135.50 \pm 9.15b$	$13.00\pm0.82b$	14.41 ± 3.11	$44.42 \pm 3.89c$	$21.60 \pm \mathbf{1.14b}$	$66.01 \pm 4.75 bc$	13.23 ± 1.21	0.076 ± 0.013	76.15 ± 19.52	4.692 ± 0.467
10	$155.50 \pm 12.50c$	$13.25 \pm 2.22b$	15.46 ± 2.29	$49.65 \pm 3.63 \text{ cd}$	$24.96 \pm \mathbf{2.32b}$	74.61 ± 4.63 cd	13.40 ± 0.72	0.082 ± 0.006	74.16 ± 3.05	4.693 ± 0.229
30	$162.00 \pm 5.94c$	$13.25\pm0.96b$	15.99 ± 1.85	$47.79\pm6.18\mathrm{c}$	$23.11 \pm 7.16b$	70.90 ± 2.48 cd	13.60 ± 0.91	0.085 ± 0.012	72.53 ± 2.98	4.714 ± 0.432
100	$153.50 \pm 7.59c$	$14.25 \pm 1.71b$	16.03 ± 1.84	$53.83 \pm 1.94 \text{ d}$	$25.93 \pm \mathbf{4.08b}$	79.83±5.38 d	13.72 ± 0.81	0.084 ± 0.004	71.82 ± 16.17	4.711 ± 0.290
200	$164.67 \pm 7.02c$	$12.17 \pm 2.36ab$	16.13 ± 2.43	$45.45 \pm 1.17c$	$25.66 \pm 2.79b$	$61.12 \pm 2.16b$	13.28 ± 1.40	0.077 ± 0.007	70.69 ± 13.17	4.527 ± 0.495
350	$145.00 \pm 12.76bc$	$12.00\pm1.00\mathrm{ab}$	14.52 ± 0.83	$37.44 \pm 4.67b$	$22.61 \pm 4.10b$	$60.06 \pm 3.22b$	13.39 ± 1.12	0.073 ± 0.007	71.79 ± 4.60	4.324 ± 0.393
500	$122.75 \pm 9.03a$	$9.35 \pm 2.63a$	13.52 ± 0.69	$13.60\pm1.46a$	$15.44 \pm 1.53a$	$29.04 \pm 1.50a$	12.99 ± 1.52	0.074 ± 0.004	73.04 ± 0.71	4.283 ± 0.448
	F = 9.804	F = 3.111	F = 0.790	F = 53.200	F=3.443	F= 31.365	F = 0.182	F = 1.190	F = 0.081	F = 0.833
	P = 0.000	P = 0.027	P = 0.590	P = 0.000	P = 0.018	P = 0.000	P = 0.979	P = 0.363	P = 0.997	P = 0.558
Eg5										
0	$130.0 \pm 12.8ab$	$19.9\pm1.1c$	$17.21 \pm 1.86b$	$53.72 \pm 3.18 bc$	$24.99 \pm 2.25 bc$	$78.71 \pm 4.32b$	$11.02 \pm 0.78 bc$	0.0814 ± 0.005	$138.57 \pm 11.85ab$	4.188 ± 0.196
10	$140.0 \pm 8.7b$	$16.0 \pm 1.4b$	$17.36 \pm 2.56b$	$49.79 \pm 1.73b$	$25.38 \pm \mathbf{0.87bc}$	$75.17 \pm 2.50b$	$11.63 \pm 0.72c$	0.0819 ± 0.004	$124.01 \pm 6.92a$	4.198 ± 0.218
30	$140.3 \pm 10.2b$	$14.0\pm2.9ab$	$18.28\pm1.90\mathrm{b}$	$49.62 \pm 3.78b$	$26.11 \pm 1.03c$	$75.73 \pm 3.27b$	$12.11 \pm 1.13c$	0.0821 ± 0.014	$122.96 \pm 10.69a$	4.229 ± 0.611
100	$145.0 \pm 7.5b$	$13.9\pm0.1\mathrm{ab}$	$20.63 \pm 1.00b$	$55.41 \pm 4.12c$	$26.31 \pm 2.13c$	$81.72 \pm 5.21b$	$11.20 \pm 0.75 bc$	0.0816 ± 0.006	$156.43 \pm 12.35c$	4.221 ± 0.131
200	$128.8 \pm 9.5ab$	$14.0\pm0.8ab$	$20.30 \pm 3.32b$	$53.64 \pm 2.52 bc$	$26.34 \pm 4.25c$	$79.98 \pm 3.95b$	9.66 ± 1.32 ab	0.0817 ± 0.018	$193.27 \pm 10.01 d$	4.205 ± 0.763
350	$138.3 \pm 13.2b$	$12.3 \pm 2.2a$	$17.46 \pm 2.73b$	$49.95 \pm \mathbf{1.20b}$	$22.34 \pm 1.95b$	$72.30 \pm 1.92b$	9.22 ± 1.30 a	0.0810 ± 0.004	$152.18 \pm 13.09 bc$	4.186 ± 0.340
500	$117.7 \pm 4.7a$	$12.0\pm0.8a$	$13.87 \pm 0.96a$	$28.76 \pm 0.81a$	$17.94 \pm 1.12a$	$46.70 \pm 1.25a$	$9.03\pm1.18a$	0.0808 ± 0.010	$156.90 \pm 9.37c$	4.152 ± 0.390
	F = 2.953	<i>F</i> = 11.186	F = 4.190	F= 41.737	F=7.748	F = 48.098	F = 5.459	F = 0.016	F = 20.047	F = 0.120
	P = 0.031	P = 0.000	P = 0.006	P = 0.000	P = 0.000	P = 0.000	P = 0.002	P = 0.832	P = 0.000	P = 0.851

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4389255

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4389255

Daneshyari.com