

Chlorophyll *a* concentration across a trophic gradient of lakes: An estimator of phytoplankton biomass?

Peter Kasprzak^{a,b,*}, Judit Padisák^c, Rainer Koschel^{a,b}, Lothar Krienitz^{a,b},
Frank Gervais^{a,d}

^aLeibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology & Inland Fisheries, Berlin, Germany

^bDepartment of Limnology of Stratified Lakes, Alte Fischerhütte 2, D-16775 Neuglobsow, Germany

^cDepartment of Limnology, University of Pannonia, P.O.B. 158, H-8200 Veszprém, Hungary

^dDepartment of Limnology of Shallow Lakes, Müggelseedamm 301, D-12587 Berlin, Germany

Received 23 June 2008; accepted 3 July 2008

Dedicated to Prof. Jürgen Benndorf on the occasion of his 65th birthday.

Abstract

Chlorophyll *a* (*chl a*) concentration was evaluated as a predictor of phytoplankton biomass across a broad trophic gradient of lakes (oligotrophic – highly eutrophic). First, a literature survey was conducted to collect information on the proportion of *chl a* in phytoplankton biomass. As a result of this study ($n = 21$) a mean value of $0.505\% \pm 0.197$ S.D. *chl a* per unit wet weight of phytoplankton was calculated. Second, analyses were performed on 756 paired measurements from an unpublished database where the specific *chl a* content of phytoplankton biomass was regressed against phytoplankton standing stocks and *chl a* concentration. Within an interval of $0.1\text{--}50\text{ g m}^{-3}$ of phytoplankton wet weight, a substantial decrease in *chl a* proportion from approximately 2.5% to 0.18% was found. Likewise, the proportion in phytoplankton wet weight decreased from 0.7% to 0.15% across a *chl a* concentration interval of $0.001\text{--}0.150\text{ g m}^{-3}$. These results had a significant impact both on *chl a*-based biomass calculations and the subsequent comparison with phytoplankton biomasses resulting from microscopic counts. Assuming the microscopic method was a measure of the “true” phytoplankton standing stocks, then the precision by which phytoplankton biomass might be predicted based on *chl a* measurements is clearly better when using variable proportions as compared to a constant conversion factor. The same holds for temporal coherence between annual records of phytoplankton biomass. The temporal fit was apparently better when relating the results of microscopic counts and biomass estimation based on variable proportions of *chl a* in phytoplankton biomass. Nevertheless, this effect diminished as the trophic status of the lakes increased. Because of their variable specific *chl a* content, separate taxonomic groups of phytoplankton differently affected the proportion of *chl a* in total

*Corresponding author at: Department of Limnology of Stratified Lakes, Alte Fischerhütte 2, D-16775 Neuglobsow, Germany.
Tel.: +49 33082 69914; fax: +49 33082 69917.

E-mail address: daphnia@igb-berlin.de (P. Kasprzak).

phytoplankton wet weight. Chlorophyceae, Cryptophyceae and cyanobacteria had a high impact, while Bacillariophyceae, Dinophyceae and Chrysophyceae were of lesser importance.

© 2008 Elsevier GmbH. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Phytoplankton; Biomass estimation; Comparison of methods; Microscopic counts; Specific chlorophyll *a* proportion; Conversion factors; Lakes; Trophic gradient

Introduction

Estimating phytoplankton biomass is one of the most useful measurements in limnology and oceanography. Although frequently performed, the approach is not trivial and the results are sometimes hard to interpret (Tolstoy, 1977; Wasmund, 1984; Stich and Brinker, 2005). This is especially true if information from various methods is being compared (Hallegraeff, 1977; Halfson, 1984; Schmid et al., 1998).

Methods to determine phytoplankton standing crops have been developed for quite some time and can be categorised into two general groups: (1) particle counting (Utermöhl, 1923, 1958), and (2) measurement of chemical constituents (Richards and Thompson, 1952; Strickland and Parsons, 1960) with flow-cytometry being a combination of both (Töpel et al., 2004). Over the past decades both approaches were heavily refined and modified. Nevertheless, some of the basic methodological problems have not been resolved (Padisák et al., 1999; Wright et al., 1997). The most important are: (i) methodological flaws, (ii) variable *chl a* proportions per unit phytoplankton biomass, (iii) the taxonomic composition of the phytoplankton community and finally (iv) seasonal aspects.

Microscopic examination and counting of phytoplankton species in collected samples is time-consuming and requires extensive taxonomic experience by the investigator (Banse, 1977; Krienitz et al., 1996). Chemical preservation of the samples can alter the size frequency distribution of the phytoplankton cells (Verity et al., 1992). Moreover, autotrophic picoplankton (APP) may sometimes contribute significantly to total phytoplankton biomass but are not often recorded (Padisák et al., 1997). To overcome these problems, particle counters and image analysis systems have been utilised, but their performance in estimating phytoplankton biomass as compared to microscopic methods is still questioned (Hillebrand et al., 1999).

Concerning *chl a* extraction and the subsequent photometric or HPLC measurements, several authors have shown that there is no ideal protocol (Párista et al., 2002; Stich and Brinker, 2005). Depending on the taxonomic structure of the phytoplankton sample being analysed, different extraction solvents may have different extraction efficiencies (Vollenweider, 1974; Wright et al., 1997).

Finally, various studies have found that *chl a* content per unit of phytoplankton biomass decreases as phytoplankton standing stocks increase (Desortova, 1981; Ahlgren, 1983; Wojciechowska, 1989; Watson et al., 1992; Talling, 1993; Chow-Fraser et al., 1994; Schmid et al., 1998; Felip and Catalan, 2000; Sandu et al., 2003; Kiss et al., 2006). This phenomenon may be influenced by lake trophic status (Harris, 1986), phytoplankton community structure (Bursche, 1961; Nusch and Palme, 1975), the size frequency distribution of the algal cells (Watson and McCauley, 1988), and by seasonal shifts within the plankton community (Loth, 1985; Vanni et al., 1993).

Notwithstanding these problems and limitations, we examined whether *chl a* concentration across a trophic gradient of lakes (oligotrophic – eutrophic) can be used as a predictor of phytoplankton biomass. *Chl a*-based calculations of phytoplankton biomass were performed by applying constant conversion factors as determined from the literature and by using variable ratios gained from a comprehensive database of the Leibniz-Institute of Freshwater Ecology & Inland Fisheries (IGB, Neuglobsow, Germany). Moreover, we tested the precision and temporal coherence by which time series of phytoplankton biomass of various lakes can be predicted using these conversion factors as compared to the results of microscopic counts.

Material and methods

Investigation sites

The five lakes included in this study are located within the eastern part of Germany's glacial Baltic lake region (53°15'N, 13°10'E) approximately 100 km north of Berlin. They are seepage lakes with ground water and rainfall being the major sources of water. The lakes thermally stratify from May until at least September. Mean temperature of the mixed layer varies between 4 °C (January) and 20 °C (August). Global radiation ranges between 200 J cm⁻² d⁻¹ (December) and 1700 J cm⁻² d⁻¹ (June; German Weather Service, unpublished results). The lakes have significantly different morphometric and chemical characteristics. Their trophic status spans from oligotrophic to highly eutrophic (cf. Table 1). For more information about the five study lakes see Casper (1985), Kasprzak et al.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4400690>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4400690>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)