### RAMA-00017; No of Pages 7

## ARTICLE IN PRESS

Rangeland Ecology & Management xxx (2015) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Rangeland Ecology & Management

Rangeland Ecology & Management

### journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/rama

# Avian Habitat Following Grazing Native Warm-Season Forages in the Mid-South United States

Craig A. Harper <sup>a,\*</sup>, Jessie L. Birckhead <sup>b,1</sup>, Patrick D. Keyser <sup>c</sup>, John C. Waller <sup>d</sup>, Matt Backus <sup>e</sup>, Gary E. Bates <sup>f</sup>, Elizabeth D. Holcomb <sup>g</sup>, Jarred M. Brooke <sup>b</sup>

6 <sup>a</sup> Professor, Department of Forestry, Wildlife, and Fisheries, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA

7 b Graduate Research Assistant, Department of Forestry, Wildlife, and Fisheries, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA

8 <sup>c</sup> Professor and Director, Center for Native Grasslands Management, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA

9 <sup>d</sup> Professor, Department of Animal Science, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA

10 e Graduate Research Assistant, Department of Animal Science, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA

11 <sup>f</sup> Professor, Department of Plant Science, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA

12 <sup>g</sup> Research Scientist, Center for Native Grasslands Management, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA

### 13 ARTICLE INFO

14 \_\_\_\_\_

- 15 Available online xxxx
- Q15 Key words:
- 17 grassland songbirds
- 18 grazing intensity
- 19 native warm-season grasses
- 20 northern bobwhite
- 21 vegetation structure

### ABSTRACT

Native warm-season grasses (NWSG) currently are being promoted for livestock forage and biofuels feedstock in 22 the Mid-South. However, there are no published data on how NWSGs managed with livestock in the Mid-South 23 may affect habitat for wildlife. We conducted a study to evaluate habitat for grassland songbirds and northern 24 bobwhite (Colinus virginianus) in response to two cattle grazing treatments in NWSG pastures across three 25 sites in Tennessee, 2010 and 2011. We evaluated vegetation composition and structure along with invertebrate 26 availability during the primary nesting season for grassland songbirds and the typical brood-rearing season for 27 the northern bobwhite. Grazing treatments included full-season (May to August) grazing and early-season (30 28 days beginning in May) grazing, after which subsequent growth was taken as a biofuel harvest postdormancy. 29 Forage treatments included big bluestem/indiangrass mixture, switchgrass, and eastern gamagrass. Vegetation 30 composition was dominated by the planted forages in all pastures. All forage types and both grazing treatments 31 provided suitable structure for grassland songbirds and bobwhite during the primary nesting season. Full-season 32 grazing maintained suitable structure through the brooding period, with greater openness at the ground level 33 and angle of obstruction, as well as optimal vegetation height (<60 cm). Structure within early-season grazing 34 treatments became dense after cattle were removed with less openness at ground level than what brooding 35 bobwhites typically use. Invertebrate biomass was sufficient in all forage types and grazing treatments to support 36 bobwhite broods. We recommend livestock producers in the Mid-South use full-season grazing that maintains 37 grass height of approximately 40 cm in production stands of NWSG to maximize benefits for grassland birds 38 and northern bobwhite. 39

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

### 41 Introduction

40

Grassland birds are declining faster than any other group of North 42American birds with more than two-thirds of grassland species showing 43significant declines (Vickery and Herkert, 2001; Sauer et al., 2011). 44 45Among the species experiencing declines are the grasshopper sparrow 46 (Ammodramus savannarum) and northern bobwhite (hereafter bob-47white). Habitat loss, habitat degradation, and agricultural intensification are primary factors contributing to grassland bird declines (Herkert, 481994; Brennan and Kuvlesky, 2005). 49

50 Native grasslands have virtually disappeared in the Mid-South 51 region of the United States. However, there are more than 20 million

*E-mail address:* charper@utk.edu (C.A. Harper).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rama.2015.01.005 1550-7424/© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. acres in non-native grasslands as either pasture or hayfield (Nickerson 52 et al., 2011). Typical grazing and hay operations in the Mid-South are 53 based on tall fescue (*Schedonorus phoenix* Scop.), which is typically 54 grazed continually throughout the year or hayed two to three times 55 from May through September (Ball et al., 2007). This type of manage- 56 ment does not promote the vegetation structure necessary to maintain 57 diverse grassland bird populations (Giuliano and Daves, 2002; Wilson 58 et al., 2005; Rahmig et al., 2009). 59

The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) and state wild- 60 life agencies in the Mid-South are promoting native warm-season 61 grasses (NWSG), such as big bluestem (*Andropogon gerardii* Vitman), 62 indiangrass (*Sorghastrum nutans* L.), switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum* L.), 63 and eastern gamagrass (*Tripsacum dactyloides* L.), for forage production 64 and wildlife habitat improvement (USDA-NRCS, 2005). NWSGs can com- 65 plement forage systems dominated by cool-season grasses because of 66 their differing seasonality (Ball et al., 2007) and can benefit various wild- 67 life species because of a taller and more diverse structure (Harper et al., 68

Please cite this article as: Harper, C.A., et al., Avian Habitat Following Grazing Native Warm-Season Forages in the Mid-South United States, (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rama.2015.01.005

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: Craig A. Harper, Department of Forestry, Wildlife, and Fisheries, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA. Tel.: +1 865 794 7346.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Current address: Jessie L. Birckhead, The Nature Conservancy, Durham, NC, USA.

2

85

86

### C.A. Harper et al. / Rangeland Ecology & Management xxx (2015) xxx-xxx

2007). However, in grazing systems, stocking rate and duration 69 70 determine suitability for grassland wildlife, regardless of grass species 71 (Guthery et al., 1990; Hickman et al., 2004).

Incorporation of NWSG into grazing systems can provide a unique 72opportunity to provide high-quality forage with intensive grazing 73 during the early part of the growing season and then defer grazing to 74 allow grass growth for biofuels feedstock (Roth et al., 2005; Bies, 75 2006; Fike et al., 2006; Mulkey et al., 2008). Discontinuation of grazing 76 77 through the remainder of summer will create a different vegetation structure than that following continuous grazing, which can have impli-78 79cations for wildlife (Hammerguist-Wilson and Crawford, 1981; Murray 80 and Best, 2003; Murray et al., 2003).

Habitat quality for grassland wildlife following incorporation of 81 NWSG into grazing systems or grazing strategies with NWSG have not 82 been evaluated in the Mid-South. 83

84 Although grazing strategies for NWSG have been evaluated for grassland wildlife in more arid regions (George et al., 1979; Hammerquist-Wilson and Crawford, 1981; Fuhlendorf et al., 2006; Rahmig et al., 87 2009), vegetation structure and amount of bare ground can differ greatly 88 in a different region of the country where vegetation composition differs 89 and there is increased precipitation.

Evaluation of grazing strategies for NWSG on grassland wildlife 90 91 habitat is needed in order for federal and state agencies to provide 92accurate recommendations when delivering conservation programs. 93 We conducted a field experiment to evaluate avian habitat in production stands of NWSG under two grazing management strategies in the 94Mid-South. We measured various structural parameters and inverte-95brate biomass, which is a key food resource for young birds and an im-96 portant determinant of habitat quality. We hypothesized continuous 97grazing and mixtures of NWSG would create a more diverse and suit-98 able structure for grassland birds than early, intensive grazing and 99 monoculture stands. Furthermore, we hypothesized continuous grazing 100 would encourage more forb cover, which would lead to more diverse 101 and abundant invertebrate populations than monoculture plantings 102103 with less diverse structure.

#### Methods 104

#### 105Study Location

We conducted our research at three Research and Education Centers 106 (REC) in Tennessee including Ames Plantation (APREC) located near 107 Grand Junction, TN (35°6'N, 89°13'W), Highland Rim (HRREC) located 108 109 near Springfield, TN (36°28'N, 86°50'W), and Greeneville (RECGRN) lo-110 cated near Greeneville, TN (36°6′N, 82°51′W). We planted three forages or forage mixtures (hereafter forages) in separate pastures in 2008: 111 1) Alamo switchgrass (SG), 2) OZ-70 big bluestem/Rumsey indiangrass 112mixture (BB/IG), and 3) Pete eastern gamagrass (EG). The big bluestem/ 113indiangrass mixture included 65% big bluestem and 35% indiangrass 114 115based on seed mass. We used a no-till drill to plant each SG and BB/IG pasture and a corn planter to plant EG. We planted 6.72 kg Pure Live 116 Seed (PLS)/ha, 10.08 kg PLS/ha, and 13.44 kg PLS/ha for SG, BB/IG, and 117 EG, respectively. All pastures (1.2 ha each) were predominantly tall fes-118 119 cue before our study began. In the fall of 2007, pastures were clipped 120with a rotary mower and, after appropriate regrowth (>15 cm), treated with glyphosate (2.24kg ai/ha) to control cool-season grass and weed 121 competition. A final glyphosate treatment (1.12 kg ai/ha) was applied 122in April 2008 in preparation for planting. Pastures planted to BB/IG 123were sprayed with imazapic (0.11kg ai/ha) to control competition in 124 the establishment year. Our SG plantings at APREC failed in 2008 and 125were successfully replanted in spring 2009. Soil samples were taken 126from pastures in 2010 and 2011. We amended soils with lime, nitrogen, 127 128phosphorus, and potassium in April each year according to soil test 129 recommendations from the University of Tennessee Soil Testing Laboratory. We did not fertilize pastures during establishment to 130avoid stimulating competitive species. 131

We imposed two grazing strategies, early-season and full-season, in 132 a factorial combination with the three forages for a total of six treat- 133 ments. Early-season grazing lasted 30 days beginning each May and 134 was designed to graze the high-quality early forage growth and allow 135 regrowth to accumulate for a biofuels harvest in the fall. Full-season 136 grazing was designed to maximize grazing days from early May through 137 late summer. We managed grazing under a put-and-take system to 138 maintain grass canopies at approximately 38-47 cm in full-season treat- 139 ments. For early-season grazing, our target was to reduce canopies to 140 25 cm by the end of the 30-d period. Grazing strategies were designed 141 to maximize forage performance and cattle weight gain. We initiated 142 grazing for both grazing strategies and all three forages on the same 143 date at each location when the average canopy for BB/IG reached 144 approximately 30 cm. We used Angus-cross weaned steers (273 kg 145 starting weight) in all years at all locations. Tennessee Livestock 146 Producers (Columbia, TN) provided steers. All animal care was in 147 accordance with UT-IACUC Protocol No. 1264. All grazing animals 148 were provided a general cattle mineral free choice and access to 149 water, and each pasture had adequate shade structures. 150

We planted SG, BB/IG, and EG at APREC in three replicates for a total 151 of 18 experimental pastures. In the spring of 2010 and 2011, we burned 152 the pastures to remove residual biomass from the previous year. In 153 2010, we initiated grazing on May 28. We concluded all early-season 154 grazing on June 28 and concluded full-season grazing on August 9, 155 July 26, and August 30 for SG, BB/IG, and EG, respectively. In 2011, we 156 initiated grazing on May 4 on all pastures. We concluded early-season 157 grazing on June 6 and concluded full-season grazing on August 9 for 158 all pastures. 159

We planted SG and BB/IG at HRREC in three replicates for a total of 160 12 pastures. In the spring of 2010 and 2011, we clipped the pastures 161 to 20 cm with a rotary mower to remove residual biomass from the pre-162 vious year. In 2010, we initiated grazing on May 7. We concluded early- 163 season grazing on all pastures on June 7, and we concluded full-season 164 grazing on August 9. In 2011, we initiated grazing on May 6 on all 165 pastures. We concluded early-season grazing on June 6 and concluded 166 full-season grazing on August 29 for all pastures. 167

We planted BB/IG at RECGRN in three replicates for a total of 6 pas- 168 tures. In the spring of 2010 and 2011, we burned the pastures to remove 169 residual biomass from the previous year. In 2010, we initiated grazing 170 on May 21. We concluded early-season grazing on June 21 and conclud- 171 ed full- season grazing on August 16 for all pastures. In 2011, we initiat- 172 ed grazing on May 20 for all pastures. We concluded early-season 173 grazing on June 20 and concluded full-season grazing on August 15 for 174 all pastures. 175

176

### Vegetation Surveys

We conducted vegetation surveys twice during 2010 and 2011, once 177 during late May through mid-June, and once during late June through 178 mid-July to evaluate vegetation corresponding to nesting periods for 179 grassland songbirds and nesting and brood-rearing periods for northern 180 bobwhite in the Mid-South region. We measured vegetation composi- 181 tion and litter depth along five 10-m transects in each pasture, with ob- 182 servations made every 10 cm. At each 10-cm intercept, we recorded all 183 plants bisecting the transect. We summed the total number of observa- 184 tions for the transect to determine percent cover by species. We record-185 ed litter and bare ground when present. We defined litter as ground 186 covered by dead vegetation without overhead cover of live plants, and 187 bare ground was ground without dead vegetation or overhead cover 188 of live plants. We established transects randomly throughout the 189 pasture, and we used different locations during every sampling period. 190 We measured litter depth at 1, 5, and 10 meters. 191

We measured vegetation structure from a stationary point at the 192 beginning of each 10-m transect, totaling 5 points per pasture during 193 each sampling period. Ground sighting distance, a measure of structure 194 and openness at ground level, was measured in each cardinal direction 195

Please cite this article as: Harper, C.A., et al., Avian Habitat Following Grazing Native Warm-Season Forages in the Mid-South United States, (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rama.2015.01.005

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4404209

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4404209

Daneshyari.com