Accepted Manuscript

Sage-Grouse Habitat Monitoring: Daubenmire vs Line-Point Intercept

Eric Thacker, Terry Messmer, Beth Burritt

PII: S0190-0528(14)00003-0 DOI: doi: 10.1016/j.rala.2014.12.002

Reference: RALA 2

To appear in: Rangelands



Please cite this article as: Thacker, Eric, Messmer, Terry, Burritt, Beth, Sage-Grouse Habitat Monitoring: Daubenmire vs Line-Point Intercept, Rangelands (2014), doi: 10.1016/j.rala.2014.12.002

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Sage-Grouse Habitat Monitoring: Daubenmire vs Line-Point Intercept

By Eric Thacker, Terry Messmer, and Beth Burritt

THE PROBLEM

Herbaceous cover estimates obtained using the line-point intercept and Daubenmire canopy cover methods may not be comparable to monitor the habitat of greater sage-grouse (*Centrocercus urophasianus*; Figure 1). Monitoring sage-grouse habitat is important because sage-grouse populations have been declining over the last five decades¹ and habitat loss and degradation have been cited as major factors in this decline^{2, 3}. An important component of sage-grouse management is the evaluation of herbaceous vegetation response to projects implemented to improve habitat quality⁴.

Sage-grouse researchers recommended using standardized methods to assess sage-grouse habitat quality⁴. This would allow valid comparisons among years, areas and populations⁴. Sagebrush (*Artemisia spp.*) communities provide escape cover and forage for sage-grouse, thus assessing vegetation parameters related to escape cover and forage are critical for assessing sage-grouse habitat⁴. Common measurements used to describe sage-grouse habitat include cover, density, height, frequency and visual obstruction⁴. Canopy cover of shrubs and herbaceous vegetation are important because they are used as indicators of habitat quality⁴. Additionally, canopy cover of forbs has been used to estimate the abundance of plants used by sage-grouse for food^{4, 5}.

Connelly described three methods for assessing herbaceous cover (line transect, point-intercept, and quadrat) ⁴. They suggested that all three methods were adequate with the point-intercept and quadrat methods being the most time efficient. They also noted that the Daubenmire canopy cover method was used more frequently by biologist studying sage-grouse to assess habitat conditions.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4405245

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4405245

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>