Chemosphere 80 (2010) 1125–1130

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Chemosphere

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/chemosphere

Perfluorooctanesulfonate and perfluorooctanoic acid exposures of the Italian general population

Anna Maria Ingelido [a], Valentina Marra [a], Annalisa Abballe [a], Silvia Valentini [a], Nicola Iacovella [a], Pietro Barbieri [b], Maria Grazia Porpora [c], Alessandro di Domenico [a], Elena De Felip [a,*]

[a] Department of the Environment and Primary Prevention, Italian National Institute for Health, Viale Regina Elena, 299, 00161 Rome, Italy
[b] Local Sanitary Unit (ASL), Viale Duca degli Abruzzi, 15, 25124 Brescia, Italy
[c] Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, "Sapienza" University, Piazzale Aldo Moro, 5, 00185 Rome, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 20 January 2010
Received in revised form 25 May 2010
Accepted 7 June 2010
Available online 14 July 2010

Keywords:
Perfluorooctanesulfonate (PFOS)
Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA)
Exposure
Human biomonitoring
Italian general population

ABSTRACT

The serum concentrations of perfluorooctanesulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) were determined in 230 subjects of the Italian general population. Participants were enrolled in 2008 in two Italian towns (Brescia, Northern Italy, and Rome, Central Italy) and belonged to the three age ranges: 20–35 years, 36–50 years, and 51–65 years.

PFOS and PFOA were quantified by HPLC interfaced to a mass spectrometer operating in the electrospray negative mode. Data were acquired using multiple reaction monitoring (MRM). The isotope dilution technique was applied throughout.

The median serum concentrations of all participants were 6.31 ng g^{-1} and 3.59 ng g^{-1} for PFOS and PFOA, respectively, and the pertinent 90th percentiles were 12.38 and 6.92.

Men had higher concentrations of PFOS and PFOA than women, regardless of age. The differences were statistically significant in the 20–35 and 36–50 years groups, but not in the 51–65 group.

An increase of PFOS and PFOA serum concentrations with age was observed. The Median test showed a statistically significant difference ($p \ll 0.01$) between the three age groups for both PFOS and PFOA when applied to the entire dataset (males and females). When the test was applied to the groups of males and females separately, a significant difference was observed for females ($p \ll 0.005$) but not for males ($p > 0.1$).

The observed strong correlation between PFOS and PFOA concentrations suggests same or similar exposure routes.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Perfluorinated chemicals (PFCs) represent a wide family of chemicals, characterized by resistance to heat and acids, high surface activity, both hydro- and lipophilic characteristics. As a consequence of this range of properties, they have been extensively used for more than 50 years in industry as surfactants, lubricants, polymers, and in consumer products as stain repellent coatings for carpets and textiles, and as greaseproof coatings for food packaging (OECD, 2002; EFSA, 2008a).

Their inertness to environmental and biological degradation, susceptibility to long-range atmospheric transport, and ability to bioaccumulate and biomagnify along food chains, have resulted into a widespread contamination (3M, 2000; Giesy and Kannan, 2001), which has prompted regulators to take actions.

In particular the eight carbon chained perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS), and perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), have drawn considerable scientific and regulatory interest.

PFOS has been widely used *per se* (i.e. as a surfactant in fire fighting foams), and also is the end-stage metabolite of several different fluorinated chemicals used as protective coatings for carpets and textiles, and insecticide formulations (3M, 2003).

PFOA, as its ammonium salt, is mainly used as surfactant in the manufacturing of fluoropolymers, (such as polytetrafluoroethylene, PTFE) used in a wide variety of consumer and industrial applications (OECD, 2007) including non-stick surfaces on cookware (Begley et al., 2005). PFOA may also be a degradation product of small polymers (telomers), used in a range of commercial products including fire fighting foams, stain and grease resistant coatings on carpets, leather, textiles, and paper (Prevedouros et al., 2006).

Both these chemicals have been found to be environmentally persistent and globally present even in remote regions as the

* Corresponding author. Address: Reparto di Chimica Tossicologica, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Viale Regina Elena, 299, 00161 Rome, Italy. Tel.: +39 06 4990 2826; fax: +39 06 4990 2836.
E-mail address: defelip@iss.it (E.D. Felip).

0045-6535/$ - see front matter © 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.06.025

Arctic, and detected worldwide in biota and humans (Paul et al., 2009). Toxicological studies have demonstrated the adverse health effects of PFOS and PFOA among which hepatotoxicity, developmental toxicity, immunotoxicity, hormonal effects and carcinogenicity (OECD, 2002; Kennedy et al., 2004; US EPA, 2005; Lau et al., 2007; Andersen et al., 2008; EFSA, 2008a).

From a regulatory standpoint, PFOS and PFOA have been shown to fulfil the criteria for persistence, bioaccumulation, potential for long-range environmental transport, and adverse effect to human health, and consequently recently included in the list of persistent organic pollutants (POPs) under the Stockholm Convention (Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants, 2009). The European Union Directive 2006/122/EC of the European Parliament set restrictions on the marketing and use of PFOS for new products in the non-food area that became effective in June 2008. According to the Directive, ongoing risk assessment activities on PFOA should be kept under review (EFSA, 2008a).

There is currently no legislation on PFCs in food or feed within the EU. The EFSA Scientific panel on Food Additives, Flavourings, Processing Aids and Materials in Contact with Food issued an opinion on the safety of ammonium salt of PFOA as food contact material (EFSA, 2008a), but this has not so far led to regulatory measures.

PFOS and PFOA have been detected globally in human blood, with PFOS being the most prevalent compound. Both substances are persistent in humans because poorly metabolized and excreted. PFOS half-life in serum has been reported to be approximately 5 years, while PFOA half-life has been estimated to be approximately 3.5 years (Olsen et al., 2007).

Human exposure to PFOS and PFOA occurs via a number of routes. Dietary exposure, including consumption of drinking water, has been recognised as possibly the major route (EFSA, 2008a). Food contamination may be of environmental origin and may also result from different production processes and/or cooking, due to contact with treated cookware that can release PFCs. Migration from food packaging, in particular from fast-food packaging (Begley et al., 2005; Tittlemier et al., 2006; Renner, 2007), has also been recognised as a potential source for PFOS-related precursors and PFOA. In addition, exposure may occur *via* dermal contact with personal care and cleaning products, as well as through ingestion and/or inhalation of contaminated dust. House dust in particular has been reported to contribute, together with treated carpeting and treated apparel, some 40% to the overall exposure in some countries (Tittlemeier et al., 2007).

The objective of the present study was to provide biomonitoring data to characterize the extent of exposure to PFCs of groups of the Italian general population residing in different urban locations. This study is part of ongoing activities carried out by the Italian National Institute for Health (Istituto Superiore di Sanità, ISS) with the Italian Ministry for the Environment, Land and Sea to characterise human exposure to POPs in Italy.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study participants

Analysis was carried out on blood samples collected in 2008 from 230 subjects residing in Rome, in the Lazio Region, Central Italy (182 subjects), and in Brescia, an industrial town located in the Lombardia Region, Northern Italy (48 subjects). Prior to blood withdrawal, each participant signed an informed consent form.

All enrolled subjects had been residing in the area for at least 15 years. Enrolled women (109 as a total) were nulliparous (71) or had not breast-fed in the last 15 years. The whole age range was 20–65 years. Study participants were distributed in three age groups: 20–35 years (62 subjects, 19 males and 43 females), 36–50 years (94 subjects, 60 males and 34 females), and 51–65 years (74 subjects, 42 males and 32 females).

2.2. Analysis

The analytical method used was adapted from a previously published method (Inoue et al., 2004). An aliquot of about 250 μL of each serum sample was fortified with a mixture of ^{13}C-labelled PFOS and PFOA (internal standards) and allowed to rest overnight at 4 °C. Extraction was performed with acetonitrile by manual shaking in a centrifuge tube. After centrifugation and separation of the two phases, the volume of the acetonitrile phase was reduced in a multiple samples evaporator system and transferred to an autosampler vial to undergo instrumental analysis. Instrumental analysis was carried out by HPLC interfaced to a mass spectrometer operated in the electrospray negative mode. Data were acquired using multiple reaction monitoring (MRM). The isotope dilution technique was applied throughout. Recovery ranges, were 70–110% for the ^{13}C-labelled internal standards. The analysis of blanks and control samples was systematically carried out to check the analytical reliability. The limits of detection for PFOS and PFOA were 0.05 ng g^{-1} and 0.1 ng g^{-1}, respectively.

2.3. Statistical analysis

Non-parametric tests (Median test, Mann–Whitney U test, Spearman test) were used to investigate the possible association of PFOS and PFOA serum concentrations with sex and age of the subjects, and between PFOS and PFOA concentrations (STATISTICA, version 6.0).

3. Results

PFOS and PFOA were detected and quantified in all samples. Serum concentrations of PFOS and PFOA are summarised in Table 1. PFOS concentrations ranged from 0.06 ng g^{-1} to 29.6 ng g^{-1}, PFOA concentrations from 0.22 ng g^{-1} to 51.9 ng g^{-1}. The medians, geometric means, arithmetic means were 6.31, 5.77, 6.86 ng g^{-1} for PFOS and 3.59, 3.32 and 4.15 ng g^{-1} for PFOA, respectively. On the whole, PFOS concentrations were consistently higher than those of PFOA in all age groups.

In order to assess a possible gender-related difference, the Mann–Whitney U test was applied to the entire PFOS and PFOA dataset and to the three age subgroups. For both PFOS and PFOA, significantly higher concentrations were observed in males in the age ranges 36–50 and 51–65 years, as well as in the entire dataset (Table 2). The difference between males and females was not significant in the age range 51–65 years.

The Median test (Table 3) confirmed a statistically significant difference ($p \ll 0.001$) between the three age groups for both PFOS and PFOA concentrations when applied to the entire dataset (males and females). When the test was applied to the two groups of males and females separately, a significant difference between the three age classes was observed for females ($p < 0.005$) but not for males ($p > 0.3$).

Results of the Spearman test applied to PFOS and PFOA concentrations showed a strong correlation between the levels of the two analytes throughout the dataset (Spearman $r = 0.42$, $p \ll 0.01$).

4. Discussion

Concentrations of PFOA and PFOS were higher in males than in females across all age groups.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4411497

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4411497

Daneshyari.com