

## Special Section on Visual Analytics

## A matter of time: Applying a data–users–tasks design triangle to visual analytics of time-oriented data



Silvia Miksch, Wolfgang Aigner

Institute of Software Technology and Interactive Systems, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 30 August 2013

Accepted 6 October 2013

Available online 16 November 2013

## Keywords:

Visual analytics

Interactive visualization

Interaction design

Temporal data mining

Time-oriented data

## ABSTRACT

Increasing amounts of data offer great opportunities to promote technological progress and business success. Visual analytics (VA) aims at enabling the exploration and the understanding of large and complex data sets by intertwining interactive visualization, data analysis, human–computer interaction, as well as cognitive and perceptual science. We propose a design triangle, which considers three main aspects to ease the design: (1) the characteristics of the data, (2) the users, and (3) the users' tasks. Addressing the particular characteristics of time and time-oriented data focuses the VA methods, but turns the design space into a more complex and challenging one. We demonstrate the applicability of the design triangle by three use cases tackling the time-oriented aspects explicitly. Our design triangle provides a high-level framework, which is simple and very effective for the design process as well as easily applicable for both, researchers and practitioners.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Due to the proliferating capabilities to generate and collect vast amounts of heterogeneous data and information we face the challenge that users and analysts get lost in irrelevant or inappropriately processed or presented information. The aim of visual analytics (VA) is to support the information discovery process from potentially large volumes of complex and heterogeneous data and information [1,2]. Time itself is an exceptional data dimension with distinct characteristics (e.g., scale, scope, structure, viewpoint, and granularity [3]). In order to design and develop VA methods that effectively deal with the complexity of time, these special characteristics need to be considered within the intertwined interactive visualization and automated analysis process to explore trends, patterns, and relationships. Furthermore, to facilitate the design process, it is crucial to consider (1) the characteristics of the *data*, (2) the *users*, and (3) the users' *tasks* (cmp. Fig. 1).

In the following, we first elaborate on this design triangle that is intended to ease the design process and covers data, users, and their tasks. Based on this, we illustrate its applicability by three examples from our own research.

## 2. Design triangle: data–users–tasks


The design space for VA solutions is manifold, because there is usually already a plethora of potential interactive visualization and automated analysis techniques available. Often it is not clear to the

designer(s), which techniques eventually will lead to the intended results. We guide the designers in providing a design triangle framework, which addresses three main questions:

- What kinds of data are the users working with? (*data*)
- Who are the users of the VA solution(s)? (*users*)
- What are the (general) tasks of the users? (*tasks*)

The answers to these questions largely determine which visual representation, analytical, and interaction methods are suitable. Along the sides of the design triangle shown in Fig. 1, some of the major quality criteria for visualization are listed. These need to be satisfied in order to obtain useful results. *Expressiveness* refers to the requirement of showing exactly the information contained in the data; nothing more and nothing less must be visualized [4]. *Effectiveness* primarily considers not only the degree to which visualization addresses the cognitive capabilities of the human visual system, but also the task at hand, the application background, and other context-related information, to obtain intuitively recognizable and interpretable visual representations [4]. Finally, *appropriateness* involves a cost-value ratio in order to assess the benefit of the visualization process with respect to achieving a given task [5].

**Data - characterizing data and time:** It is of fundamental importance to consider the characteristics of the data to design appropriate VA solutions. The available data characterization and modeling approaches are manifold and range from considering continuous to discrete data models (see [6]). According to Aigner et al. [3], we can distinguish between *scale* (quantitative vs.



**Fig. 1.** Design triangle: data–users–tasks. Major factors to be considered during the design and implementation of interactive VA methods.

qualitative), *frame of reference* (abstract vs. spatial), *kind of data* (events vs. states), and *number of variables* (univariate vs. multivariate).

Time itself is an inherent data dimension that is central to the tasks of revealing trends as well as identifying patterns and relationships in the data. Time and time-oriented data have distinct characteristics that make it worthwhile to treat such data as a separate data type [3,7]. Due to the importance of time-oriented data, its design aspects have been studied in numerous scientific publications (such as [3,8,9]). Aigner et al. [3] divided the aspects of time-oriented data into *general aspects* required to adequately model the time domain as well as hierarchical organization of time and definition of concrete time elements, also called *human-made abstractions*. We use the most important aspects in our design triangle: *arrangement* (linear vs. cyclic) and *time primitives* (instant vs. interval vs. span). *Linear time* corresponds to an ordered model of time, i.e., time proceeds from the past to the future. *Cyclic time domains* are composed of a finite set of recurring time elements (e.g., the seasons of the year). Basically, time primitives can be divided into anchored (absolute) and unanchored (relative) primitives. *Instant* and *interval* are primitives that belong to the first group, i.e., they are located on a fixed position along the time domain. In contrast to that, a *span* is a relative primitive, i.e., it has no absolute position in time and is a duration (of intervals).

**Users:** As VA is inherently user-driven, *meeting users' needs* is vital. In order to achieve this, a thorough understanding of users' needs, their tasks, and their work environment is needed. However, this is not easy to accomplish, since typical VA problems are not well defined, often ad hoc, and far from routine tasks. Users can be described in many ways. On one level, user groups can be characterized along their specific context such as their application domain (e.g., health-care or environmental research), the physical environment (e.g., poor lighting), social factors (e.g., collaborative work or cultural specifics), as well as technical specifics (e.g., hardware, screen resolution). For example, in the case of health-care, VA methods need to address the specific needs of health-care providers, physicians, nurses, patients, clinical researchers, or quality improvement analysts in different dimensions. In the case of environmental research there are environmental analysts, managers, and government representatives. On another level, we need to consider individual factors such as the level of technical and domain expertise (e.g., experts, apprentices, or novices), specific metaphors and mental models that are used, or disabilities (e.g., color-blindness).

**Tasks:** Supporting effective, expressive, and appropriate VA methods requires an understanding of what particular tasks need to be carried out during the analytical reasoning process. One of the big

challenges is to gain an understanding of the rich set of possible tasks during such processes. While there are a number of task taxonomies and typologies such as [10–12], there are only a few taxonomies available specifically for time-oriented visual data analysis [7,13]. MacEachren [13] proposed a low-level task description specifically addressing the temporal domain. The tasks are defined by a set of important questions that users might seek to answer with the help of visual representations, like *existence of data element*: does a data element exist at a specific time? or *rate of change*: how fast is a data element changing over time? The task typology by Andrienko and Andrienko [7] distinguishes between elementary and synoptic tasks on the first level. *Elementary* tasks address individual data elements. This may include not only individual values, but also individual groups of data. *Synoptic* tasks, on the other hand, involve a general view and consider sets of values or groups of data in their entirety. For example, elementary tasks are *direct lookup*: what is the value of glucose on March 21, 2013? or *direct comparison*: compare the value of glucose and the activity level on March 21, 2013. An example of synoptic tasks is *relation seeking*: find two contiguous months with opposite trends in the values of glucose.

In the next section we illustrate how this design triangle is applied in three different research projects, CareCruiser [14], ViENA [15], and TiMoVA [16].

### 3. Applying the design triangle: three examples

#### Care Cruiser - exploring the effects of medical treatment

**plans on a Patient's condition:** Medical treatment plans are recommendations for specific clinical situations containing precise instructions of clinical actions (e.g., the administration of a certain drug). The complex nature of these treatment plans calls for a plain and compact visualization of the underlying information. Furthermore, assessing the quality of such treatment plans is crucial to improve these plans. In particular, for the medical experts, there is a need to investigate the actual effects of the application of different treatment plans and clinical actions to optimize the choice of treatment actions.

Following the corners of the design triangle (Fig. 1), we have identified the corresponding requirements:

- **Data:** Patient data and treatment plans: data are quantitative, abstract, and multivariate, handling events and states; the time arrangement is linear and instants are used as time primitives.
- **Users:** Medical experts and physicians.
- **Tasks:** Exploring the effects of clinical actions on patient's conditions.

CareCruiser [14] uses different visualization techniques to represent relevant characteristics and temporal constraints of computer-interpretable treatment plans in combination with time-oriented patient data. It provides three different views to communicate specific information: (1) the logical view to visualize the logic of steps within treatment plans, (2) a hierarchical view to show the nested structure of these plans, and (3) the temporal view to represent time constraints of treatment plans as well as the progress of patient parameters over time (Fig. 2). CareCruiser provides several visual and interactive features to support a step-wise exploration: aligning clinical actions, color-coding curve events, filtering color-coded information, and a focus & context window for the detection of patterns of effects. Moreover, CareCruiser allows the comparison of multiple patients at the same time. Using color-coded distance information and slopes, CareCruiser supports the investigation of the effects of applied treatment plans on the condition of each patient.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/441925>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/441925>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)