



Metallothionein in the freshwater gastropod *Melanopsis dufouri* chronically exposed to cadmium: A methodological approach

Rocío Ureña^a, Maria João Bebianno^b, Jose del Ramo^a, Amparo Torreblanca^{a,*}

^a Department of Functional Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Valencia, Dr. Moliner 50, 46100 Burjassot (Valencia), Spain

^b CIMA, Faculty of Marine and Environmental Sciences, University of Algarve, Campus de Gambelas, 8005-139 Faro, Portugal

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 7 April 2009

Received in revised form

5 January 2010

Accepted 7 February 2010

Available online 1 March 2010

Keywords:

Metallothionein

Gastropod

Differential pulse polarography

Silver saturation assay

Cadmium

ABSTRACT

Previous studies have demonstrated that the use of differential pulse polarography (DPP) for metallothionein (MT) determination in marine gastropod tissues, particularly the digestive gland, requires taking into account the presence of heat-stable high molecular weight compounds that exhibit polarographic signal. In the present paper, similar compounds were identified in tissues from the freshwater snail *Melanopsis dufouri* which also interfere with MT determination by DPP and, due to their silver binding capacity, also interfere in the silver assay for MT quantification. Ultrafiltration seems to be effective in removing these high molecular weight compounds from heat-denatured homogenate supernatant allowing direct MT quantification by DPP. A fully validated procedure for metallothionein determination in *M. dufouri* is described. In spite of a considerable accumulation of cadmium in the visceral complex of *M. dufouri* following exposure to 100 µg Cd L⁻¹ for 8 weeks (up to 37 µg g⁻¹) only a small increase in MT concentration was found.

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Molluscs have received great attention as sentinel organisms to pollutants both in marine and freshwater ecosystems (Flessas et al., 2000; Downs et al., 2001; Porte et al., 2001; Bebianno et al., 2004; Wepener et al., 2005). Their relatively limited mobility and higher availability are some of the advantages of the use of these animals in comparison to fish in pollution studies.

Metallothionein (MT) induction is one of the many biochemical responses that animals exhibit after being exposed to metals, particularly to Cd, and has been considered as a core biomarker in some biomonitoring programmes. Furthermore, MT has a pivotal role in metal detoxification by capturing the metal within the tissues in a non-toxic form.

Melanopsis dufouri is a common freshwater prosobranchia gastropod inhabiting most clean streams and rivers in the south and east of the Iberian Peninsula (Pujante, 1987; Pujante et al., 1998). The combination of metal pollution and low pH is leading to the disappearance of populations of this genus from the Guadiana River, SW Spain, at metal impacted points (Sola et al., 2004). Although several works have been published concerning cadmium effects on freshwater gastropoda (Lam, 1996a, 1996b; Lam et al., 1997; Cheung and Lam, 1998; Lefcort et al., 2000;

Cœurassier et al., 2003), metal handling and detoxification has scarcely been studied in freshwater prosobranchia (Leung et al., 2003). As far as we know there are no available data on the effectiveness/potency of metallothionein induction by cadmium in this group. Reliable quantification of individual proteins is regaining interest as differential expression of proteins detected by genomic or proteomic approaches need to be confirmed by alternative methods such as antibody-based techniques or specific assays.

Many intercalibration exercises, comparative studies and reviews of different MT quantification techniques have been reported (Geret et al., 1998; Ivankovic et al., 2003; Dabrio et al., 2002; Zorita et al., 2005; Amiard et al., 2006). The discrepancies found among these methods highlighted the need to validate the method of choice in each species and/or tissue prior to routine MT determination. Metal saturation and polarography have been the most used methods for MT quantification in gastropoda (Table 1). However, previous studies have demonstrated that the use of differential pulse polarography for MT determination in some gastropod tissues requires the taking into account of the presence of heat-stable high molecular weight sulphhydryl compounds which exhibit polarographic signals (Bebianno et al., 1992). Furthermore, the silver saturation method relies on the selective heat-stability of MT and on the high silver binding affinity to this protein and has been fully validated for measuring MT in mammals (Scheuhammer and Cherian, 1986) and crustaceans (Martínez et al., 1993) and may be suitable for measuring MT in gastropoda if chromatographic fractionation demonstrates a specific binding of silver to MT.

* Corresponding author. Fax: +34 6 3543202.

E-mail addresses: Rocío.Ureña@uv.es (R. Ureña), mbebianno@ualg.pt (M. João Bebianno), Juan.J.Ramo@uv.es (J. del Ramo), Amparo.Torreblanca@uv.es, torrebla@uv.es (A. Torreblanca).

Table 1

Concentrations of MT found in the current work and in the literature for different aquatic gastropods, together with the determination method used. DPP refers to differential pulse polarography and TTM refers to the tetrathiomolybdate method. The units of MT are expressed in $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ wet weight, except those indicated with asterisks (*ng MT mg^{-1} protein; **fluorescence intensity). Wet to dry weight ratio was considered to be 4 for unit conversion. All of the metal exposures compiled here are for Cd unless otherwise specified. Those exposure conditions expressed as $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ refer to wet weight of food (lettuce).

Species	Tissue	Method	Origin	Values	Induction	Author
<i>M. dufouri</i>	Visceral complex	DPP	Control $6 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ $100 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	1084.3 1089.5 1474.4	No No	Current study
<i>L. littorea</i>	Digestive gland	DPP	Control $4 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ $40 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ $400 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	2500 3375 2000 2650	No No No	Bebianno et al. (1992)
	Rest of tissues		Control $4 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ $40 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ $400 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	825 800 1125 1000	No Yes Yes	
<i>L. littorea</i>	Gills	DPP	Control $400 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	587.5 1985	Yes	Bebianno and Langston (1995)
	Kidney		Control $400 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	960 2565	Yes	
<i>P. aspera</i>	Whole animal	DPP	Natural	1225–2450	No	Bebianno et al. (2003)
<i>L. stagnalis</i>	Whole animal	Ag saturation	Control $0.01 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ $1000 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	125 150 375	No Yes	Leung et al. (2003)
<i>N. lapillus</i>	Whole animal	Ag saturation	Control $500 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	192 756	Yes	Leung and Furness (1999a)
	Branchia		Control $500 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	500 650	No	
	Leiblein gland		Control $500 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	400 1875	Yes	
	Kidney		Control $500 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	775 2025	Yes	
	Digestive gland		Control $500 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	800 900	No	Leung and Furness (1999b)
	Gonads		Control $500 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	875 975	Yes	
<i>L. littorea</i>	Whole animal	Ag saturation	Natural	125–250		Leung et al. (2001)
<i>N. lapillus</i>	Leiblein gland	Ag saturation	Natural	100–500		Leung and Furness (2001a)
<i>N. lapillus</i>	Leiblein gland	Ag saturation	Control $500 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	270 430	Yes	Leung and Furness (2001b)
	Leiblein gland	Ag saturation	Control $400 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	250 1300	Yes	
<i>N. lapillus</i>	Digestive –gonads	Ag saturation	Control $500 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	22 70	Yes	Leung et al. (2002)
	Leiblein gland		Control $500 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	52 105	No	
<i>N. lapillus</i>	Whole animal	Ag saturation	Natural	12–62		Leung et al. (2005)
<i>I. obsoleta</i>	Whole animal	ELISA	Control $560 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ $5600 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	14* 52* 70.2*	Yes Yes	Downs et al. (2001)
<i>H. trunculus</i>	Hepatopancreas	Fluorescence Cu-MT	Natural	400**–1000**	Yes	Siboni et al. (2004)
<i>M. tuberculata</i>	Whole animal	Spectrophotometric	Natural	0.02–0.04	No	Wepener et al. (2005)
<i>P. vulgata</i>	Whole animal	Spectrophotometric	Control $6.1 \text{ g L}^{-1} \text{ Cu}$	30 20	No	Brown et al. (2004)
<i>H. pomatia</i>	Digestive gland	Cd-chelex	control $36 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$	294 756	Yes	Berger et al. (1995)
<i>C. hortensis</i>	Digestive gland	Cd-chelex	Control $9.2 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ $64 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ Natural	325 2925 4550 130–1300	Yes Yes Yes	Dallinger et al. (2004a)
<i>H. pomatia</i>	Digestive gland	Cd-chelex	$1.2 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ $933 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$	285 2380	Yes	
<i>H. pomatia</i>	Digestive gland	Cd-chelex	Control $68 \mu\text{g g}^{-1} \text{ Cd}$ $132 \mu\text{g g}^{-1} \text{ Cu}$	406 1382 325	Yes No	Dallinger et al. (2004b)
	Mantle	TTM	Control $68 \mu\text{g g}^{-1} \text{ Cd}$ $132 \mu\text{g g}^{-1} \text{ Cu}$	487.5 406 568	No No	

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4421653>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4421653>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)