Science of the Total Environment 449 (2013) 184–188

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Science of the Total Environment

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/scitotenv

Bibliometric approach of factors affecting scientific productivity in environmental sciences and ecology

Cristian Mihai Dragos [a,b,*], Simona Laura Dragos [b,c]

[a] Babes-Bolyai University of Cluj Napoca, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, Department of Statistics, Mathematics and Forecasting, 58-60 Teodor Mihali Street, 400591 Cluj Napoca, Romania
[b] Université d'Orléans, Laboratoire d'Economie d'Orléans, Rue de Blois-BP 26739, 45067 Orleans Cedex2, France
[c] Babes-Bolyai University of Cluj Napoca, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, Department of Finance, 58-60 Teodor Mihali street, 400591 Cluj Napoca, Romania

HIGHLIGHTS

- We model the correlation between academic output in environmental sciences and its influence factors.
- We discuss the position of 92 countries by scientific productivity and Environmental Performance Index.
- We propose a ranking of countries considering the concern about the environment.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 4 December 2012
Received in revised form 19 January 2013
Accepted 19 January 2013
Available online 17 February 2013

Keywords:
Bibliometric analysis
Academic output
Environmental Performance Index (EPI)

ABSTRACT

Different academic bibliometric studies have measured the influence of economic, political and linguistic factors in the academic output of countries. Separate analysis in different fields can reveal specific incentive factors. Our study proves that the Environmental Performance Index, computed by Yale University, is highly significant ($p<0.01$) for the productivity of research and development activities in environmental sciences and ecology. The control variables like education financing, publishing of ISI Thomson domestic journals and the English language are also significant. The methodology uses Ordinary Least Squares multiple regressions with convincing results ($R^2=0.752$). The relative positions of the 92 countries in the sample are also discussed. We draw up a ranking of the countries' concern for the environment, considering evenly the scientific productivity and the environment quality. We notice huge differences concerning the number of inhabitants and population income between the countries that dominate the classification and those occupying the last positions.

1. Introduction

In the last decades, more and more countries have aimed for scientific and technological development. This endeavour enables the development of a competitive economy with a structure which favours the highly qualified labour force sectors. The extreme importance of research and development activities (R&D) requires a rigorous pursuit of results, factors and efficiency from all angles. Scientometrics has thus appeared, often using in practice the bibliometric analysis as a measurement of the impact of scientific publications. The mechanisms that generate scientific productivity at a country level (Gantman, 2012), field (Campanario et al., 2006; Hu et al., 2010) or particular topic (Almind and Ingwersen, 1997) can be described through general and specific statistical methods.

The benefits of implementing the scientific output were analysed from the viewpoint of productivity, with positive consequences on the economic activities (Cole and Phelan, 1993) and using an approach based on comparative differences across countries (Leydesdorff and Gauthier, 1996). Some studies lay more emphasis on the factors that influence scientific output. Gantman (2012) conducted an investigation of 147 countries showing that the research financing opportunities influence all research fields. Instead, linguistic, political and motivational factors have only a selective influence, with major differences between social-humanities sciences and natural sciences. For some research areas we can easily identify motivational incentives (Agricultural Sciences, for example) while for other areas this mechanism is more difficult to identify (Mathematics).

As a consequence, a different bibliometric approach for scientific sectors can be useful. Some articles evaluate research issues in specific topics in environmental sciences and ecology: solid waste (Fu et al., 2010), atmospheric environment (Brimblecombe and Grossi, 2009), quality of drinking water (Hu et al., 2010), climate change (Li et al., 2011) and

* Corresponding author at: Babes-Bolyai University of Cluj Napoca, Department of Statistics, Mathematics and Forecasting, 58-60 Teodor Mihali Street, 400591 Cluj Napoca, Romania. Tel.: +40 264 41 86 52; fax: +40 264 41 25 70.
E-mail addresses: cristian.dragos@econ.ubbcluj.ro (C.M. Dragos), simona.dragos@econ.ubbcluj.ro (S.L. Dragos).

0048-9697/$ – see front matter © 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.01.057

aerosol research (Xie et al., 2008). Other studies treat the academic output in environmental sciences altogether, but focus on certain geographic areas (Acosta et al., 2009 for Europe) or even on a specific country (Karki, 1990 for India).

Our study investigates the assumption that both research and environment protection are priority issues for developed countries. The reasoning shows that the government decisions supporting environment programmes can also be decisive in promoting academic output. As shown by bibliometric empirical studies, the research is correlated almost exclusively with the financing level. However, the mechanism by which a good quality of the environment can be obtained in a country is much more complex. The main factors that influence the environmental performance are often analysed in publications:

- unequal distribution of incomes as measured by a Gini index coefficient — Boyce (1994), Boyce et al. (1999), Maganani (2000), Gawande et al. (2001) and Bimonte (2002);
- accumulation of human capital, ecological behaviour and education expenses — Brasington and Hite (2005), Yang et al. (2011), and Dinu (2012);
- population and population density — Cronshaw and Requate (1997), and Harte (2007);
- structure of sectors within the economy — Arrow et al. (1995), and Eriksson and Persoon (2003);
- government policies for sustaining the environment — Juntti et al. (2009), Garau et al. (2011), and Moghimi and Alambeigi (2012).

If the existence of the mechanisms highlighted in our study is proved, it immediately follows that these mentioned factors affect decisively the academic output in environmental sciences and ecology.

2. Materials and methods

The data sample includes 92 countries and for each all the variables are available. Data is collected from:

- Essential Science Indicators (Web of Knowledge) — the number of articles and citations in journals indexed by Thomson ISI for each country;
- World Development Indicators (World Bank, 2012) for economic and demographic variables;
- Yale University for Environmental Performance Index.

We use the following variables in regressions:

PPP_i publication per population. It represents the number of articles in *Environmental Sciences and Ecology* published by country *i* in journals indexed by Thomson ISI between January 1st, 2008 and June 30th, 2012 in reference to 1 million inhabitants. In the literature, there are some journals with a significant contribution, like *Ecology* or *Water*, that are not indexed by Thomson ISI. Nevertheless, their contribution, expressed as the total number of articles published, is insignificant compared to the total number of articles published in the 322 journals considered. Furthermore, the proportion of each country's contribution is approximately the same as the one from the journals indexed by Thomson ISI. As a consequence, including other journals into the study would not change the results significantly. For this reason and because of their accuracy too, we will use the data published by Thomson ISI;

CPP_i citation per population. The number of citations accumulated by the researchers in a country in Thomson ISI journals between January 1st, 2008 and June 30th, 2012 again in reference to 1 million inhabitants;

EPI_i Environmental Performance Index (2010). It is a composed index computed by researchers from Yale University (2010). The following aspects regarding the environmental quality were considered: environmental burden of disease (25%), climate change (25%), air pollution (effects on humans 12.5%, effects on ecosystem 4.167%), water (effects on humans 12.5%, effects on ecosystem 4.167%), biodiversity and habitat (4.167%), forestry (4.167%), fisheries (4.167%), and agriculture (4.167%);

$ExpEDUC_i$ expenditure on education per capita ($). Results of our computations using public spending on education (% of GDP) and GDP per capita ($) — World Bank, 2012. We used average values for the 2006–2009 period, mainly because of a usual delay between investment in education and the academic output;

ISI_DUMMY_i dummy variable; ISI_DUMMY = 1 if country *i* edits at least one journal indexed by Thomson ISI; ISI_DUMMY = 0 if otherwise. All categories from *Environmental Sciences and Ecology* field were considered;

DOM_JOURN_i domestic journals. Number of published journals in the 2008–2012 period in country *i* related to 1 million inhabitants. All the journals indexed by Thomson ISI in *Environmental Sciences and Ecology* field were taken into consideration;

DOM_ARTIC_i domestic articles. Number of articles published in the 2008–2012 period by the journals corresponding to the variable DOM_JOURN_i;

$ENGL_i$ dummy variable; $ENGL_i = 1$ if English is the official language; $ENGL_i = 0$ if otherwise. In some countries, in which English coexists as an official language or is employed in the educational system we use $ENGL_i = 0.5$.

The research methodology uses OLS Multiple Regression with PPP as the endogenous variable. The other variables characterizing agricultural, technical and economic potential of the countries in the representative sample are considered exogenous.

3. Results and discussion

Some bibliometric studies across countries consider two dimensions of the academic output: a quantitative and a qualitative one (Davarpanah, 2010; Nejati and Hosseini Jenab, 2010). The quantitative dimension is measured generally by the total number of published articles, the number of articles related to population, the growth rate of the number of articles etc. The qualitative dimension is measured by the same type of indicators, but with reference to the number of citations, or by the average number of citations related to an article. The scientific performance is evaluated using cluster analysis, identifying three types regarding the publishing behaviour. In environmental sciences and ecology, the two dimensions are strongly correlated: $R^2 = 0.959$ (see Fig. 1). This finding allows a bibliometric analysis of the sample countries, considering as an endogenous variable only *Publication per population* (PPP). The replacement of PPP with the variable CPP does not affect the mechanisms highlighted in this article.

The results of the regressions are given in Table 1. Overall, the variables EPI, ExpEDUC and ENGLISH are highly statistically significant regardless of the specifications chosen for the function. The positive signs show their direct correlation with the number of published articles. For the influence of the domestic journals we have chosen four different specifications, but none is conclusive. The best specification indicates a correlation with the square rooted number of domestic journals (equation 2). In general, if a country increases the number of its domestic journals, the proportion of local authors diminishes. Thus, the correlation with PPP is not linear. The use of other two explicative variables Sqrt_DOM_ARTIC and ISI_DUMMY (equations 3 and 4) leads to even less relevant results. Some countries with smaller contribution (Bulgaria, Greece, Iran, Poland, Venezuela, etc.) edit their own independent journals where they publish mainly local authors. For these countries, the existence of domestic journals influences significantly the number of published articles. However, at a global level, these variables are not significant because the