FISEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Science of the Total Environment

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/scitotenv



Comparison of different critical load approaches for assessing streamwater acid-sensitivity to broadleaf woodland expansion

Z. Gagkas a, K.V. Heal a,*, T.R. Nisbet b, N. Stuart c

- ^a School of GeoSciences, The University of Edinburgh, Crew Building, West Mains Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3JN, UK
- ^b Forest Research, Alice Holt Lodge, Farnham, Surrey, GU10 4LH, UK
- ^c School of GeoSciences, The University of Edinburgh, Drummond Street, Edinburgh, EH8 9XP, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 17 June 2009 Received in revised form 6 November 2009 Accepted 8 December 2009 Available online 13 January 2010

Keywords:
Acid-sensitivity
Acid neutralising capacity
Broadleaf woodland
Critical loads
First-order Acidity Balance model
Steady-State Water Chemistry model

ABSTRACT

Due to its potential adverse effects on freshwater acidification, risk assessments of the impacts of forest expansion on surface waters are required. The critical load methodology is the standard way of assessing these risks and the two most widely used models are the Steady-State Water Chemistry (SSWC) and Firstorder Acidity Balance (FAB) models. In the UK the recommended risk assessment procedure for assessing the impact of forest expansion on freshwater acidification uses the SSWC model, whilst the FAB model is used for guiding emission policy. This study compared the two models for assessing the sensitivity of streamwater to acidification in 14 catchments with different proportions of broadleaf woodland cover in acid-sensitive areas in the UK. Both models predicted the exceedance of streamwater critical loads in the same catchments, but the magnitudes of exceedance varied due to the different treatment of nitrogen processes. The FAB model failed to account for high nitrogen leaching to streamwater, attributed to nitrogen deposition and/or fixation of nitrogen by alder trees in some study catchments, while both models underestimated the influence of high seasalt deposition. Critical load exceedance in most catchments was not sensitive to the use of different acid neutralising capacity thresholds or runoff estimates, probably due to the large difference between critical load values and acidic deposition loadings. However, the assessments were more sensitive to differences in calculation procedure in catchments where nitrogen deposition was similar to the availability of base cations from weathering and/or where critical load exceedance values were $<1~keq~H^+ha^{-1}~yr^{-1}$. Critical load exceedance values from both models agreed with assessments of acid-sensitivity based on indicator macroinvertebrates sampled from the study catchments. Thus the methodology currently used in the UK appears to be robust for assessing the risk of broadleaf woodland expansion on surface water acidification and ecological status.

Crown Copyright © 2009 Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Freshwater acidification resulting from deposition of atmospheric sulphur (S) and nitrogen (N) has resulted in adverse impacts on aquatic ecology worldwide, most notably the depletion of salmonid fish (e.g. Driscoll et al., 2001; Stevens et al., 1997). The impact of freshwater acidification has been extensive in the UK, affecting thousands of km of river length in the 1980s and 1990s, resulting in estimated annual economic losses in fisheries of millions of pounds (Jenkins and Ferrier, 2000). To address these problems international agreements are in place to reduce atmospheric emissions of S and N. The critical load concept has been widely accepted as the basis for the development of air pollution control strategies in Europe (Gregor et al., 2001), and has been used for assessing the sensitivity of freshwaters to acidification in 24 countries in Europe and North America (UBA, 2004). The critical load of acidity for

surface waters is "the highest deposition of acidifying compounds that will not cause chemical changes leading to long term harmful effects on ecosystem structure and function" (Nilsson and Grennfelt, 1988) and is calculated as the pre-acidification availability of base cations, estimated from present-day water chemistry, minus a required level of buffering or acid neutralising capacity (ANC) to maintain suitable conditions. An appropriate ANC threshold is selected to maintain acceptable conditions for specified aquatic organisms (usually fish). Surface waters that receive acid deposition greater than the critical load are termed "exceeded" and at risk of biological damage. Although emission reduction has led to significant chemical recovery in previously acidified waters (Davies et al., 2005), biological recovery has been more limited (Monteith et al., 2005). Acidification is still a serious issue in parts of the UK; e.g. 22% of the rivers in the Wales/England cross-border Dee River Basin District are at risk of failing to achieve good ecological status by 2015 due to acidification (Environment Agency, 2008).

Since one of the contributory factors to acidification is forestry, the Forests & Water Guidelines produced by the Forestry Commission (2003) require assessment of the risk of new planting or restocking of

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +44 131 650 5420; fax: +44 131 662 0478. E-mail address: k.heal@ed.ac.uk (K.V. Heal).

existing forests enhancing acidification in acid-sensitive catchments in the UK. The Forestry Commission has adopted the Steady-State Water Chemistry (SSWC) model (Henriksen et al., 1986) to assess freshwater acid-sensitivity, whilst the First-order Acidity Balance (FAB) model (Posch et al., 1997) is used in the UK to calculate freshwater critical loads to guide emission policy (UK National Focal Centre, 2004). The SSWC model was initially developed to address the impact of S deposition and is the simplest such model, requiring water chemistry measurements and annual runoff (usually estimated from annual rainfall since runoff data are rarely available) to calculate critical loads. The FAB model attempts to model explicitly the fate of incoming N deposition and leaching to waters and requires additional catchment data. In the UK different ANC thresholds to protect target sensitive freshwater organisms have been selected. The Forests & Water Guidelines have adopted an ANC threshold of $0 \mu eq l^{-1}$ whilst the National Focal Centre normally uses an ANC value of 20 μ eq l⁻¹, although 0 μ eg l⁻¹ is applied if site-specific data suggest that the preindustrial value was lower (UK National Focal Centre, 2003).

Due to differences in formulation and data requirements, assessments of freshwater acid-sensitivity may be affected by the choice of model and there is a need to examine the robustness of the Forestry Commission approach. This study focused on broadleaf woodland expansion which is encouraged by current policies in the UK but which may still exert a significant impact on the most acid-sensitive freshwaters (Alexander and Cresser, 1995). It compared the suitability of the SSWC and FAB models for assessing surface water acidification within acid-sensitive areas of the UK. The effects on calculated critical loads and exceedance values of different ANC thresholds and runoff estimates were also investigated as the latter reflect changes in

catchment water yield related to woodland expansion and are thus particularly relevant to the Forestry Commission approach. Finally, since the purpose of critical load assessments is to protect freshwater ecosystems from acidification, the outputs from both models were compared with the current status of macroinvertebrate populations as an indicator of freshwater acidity status.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study catchments

Fourteen study catchments with varying proportions of broadleaf woodland cover and no other confounding land uses were selected from across the main acid-sensitive areas of the UK as defined by falling either within 10 km × 10 km critical load exceedance squares or adjacent squares. Exceedance squares are those in which modelled atmospheric deposition of non-marine S and N for 1995-1997 exceeded the critical load calculated with the SSWC model from the chemical analysis of water samples from the most sensitive water body, usually a lake, within each square (Curtis and Simpson, 2001). Due to the relatively coarse spatial resolution of this approach waters in squares adjacent to those in which critical loads are exceeded are also considered at risk in the Forests & Water Guidelines. The catchments ranged from Glen Arnisdale in north Scotland, Loch Katrine in central Scotland and Ullswater in north-west England to Yarner Wood and Narrator Brook (part of the UK Acid Waters Monitoring Network (AWMN, Evans et al., 2000)) in Devon, southwest England. The catchment characteristics are summarised in Table 1 and detailed in Gagkas (2007). Catchment geologies and

Table 1
Characteristics of the study catchments in Glen Arnisdale (GA), Loch Katrine (LK), Ullswater (UL), Yarner Wood (YAR) and Narrator Brook (NAR). Broadleaf woodland cover calculated from the Forestry Commission (2001), catchment geology from the British Geological Survey (1995) and percentage cover of soil types from NSRI (1984) and MISR (1981). Tree species: downy birch (*Betula pubescens*), alder (*Alnus* spp.), and sessile oak (*Quercus petraea*). Main soil types: PZ = podzols, GL = gleysols, and LP = leptosols (after IUSS Working Group WRB, 2006). Critical load exceedance is from the 1995–97 dataset for UK freshwaters (see text for explanation).

Area	Geology of area	Main soil types of area	Catchment	Latitude (°N), longitude (°E) of catchment outlet	Land cover	Catchment area (ha)	Mean (min-max) altitude (m)	Mean slope (°)		Critical load exceedance class (keq H ⁺ ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)
Glen Arnisdale, north-west Scotland	Schists and gneisses of the	Histic podzols, histic gleysols,	GA1	57.123, - 5.506	27% natural downy birch	66.0	444 (84–640)	29	PZ (53) GL (17)	0.0-0.2
	Moine group	and sapric histosols	GA2	57.124, -5.516	25% natural downy birch	16.9	428 (53–611)	28	PZ (55) GL (19)	0.0-0.2
			GA3	57.123, -5.516	20% natural downy birch	53.5	338 (40–600)	29	PZ (37) GL (31)	0.0-0.2
			GACON	57.123, - 5.528	Acid grassland, blanket bog	35.6	272 (9–489)	26	PZ (33) GL (32)	0.0-0.2
Loch Katrine, southern	Dalradian schists, grits	Osteinic albic folic and histic podzols	LK1	56.272, 4.597	29% natural downy birch	103	412 (128–683)	26	PZ (90) GL (2)	0.5-1.0
Highlands, Scotland	and shales	·	LK2	56.289, 4.626	16% natural downy birch	132	461 (139–763)	23	PZ (81) GL (1)	0.5-1.0
			LK3	56.277, - 4.604	20% natural downy birch	20.9	367 (185–556)	24	PZ (93) GL (7)	0.5-1.0
			LK4	56.292, 4.644	10% natural downy birch	39.6	502 (182–726)	26	PZ (89) GL (4)	0.5-1.0
			LKCON	56.284, -4.616 54.595,	Purple moor grass, fen 54% mature, semi-	47.6	407 (134–681) 306	24	PZ (91) GL (5) GL (100)	0.5–1.0 Non-exceeded
Ullswater,	Ordovician slates	Histic gleysols	UL1	-2.823	natural alder	8.56	(204–401)	9	GL (100)	adjacent square
north-west	and silicic tuffs	and leptosols	UL2	54.595,	79% mature.	17.0	265	10	LP (3)	Non-exceeded
England	and office cano	ana reprosons	OLL	-2.831	semi-natural alder	17.0	(176–386)	22	LP (70)	adjacent square
			ULCON	54.589, -2.834	Wet heath, fen	8.99	313 (187–462)	22	PZ (15) GL (15)	Non-exceeded adjacent square
Devon, south-west England	Upper Carboniferous sandstones	Histic stagnic podzols and haplic dystric cambisols	YAR	49.967, - 3.696	50% semi-natural/ sessile oak	134	272 (108–411)	11	PZ (100)	0.2-0.5
	and slates Granite		NAR	49.959, - 3.979	2% oak woodland; acid grassland, blanket bog	255	366 (255–456)	18	PZ (67) GL (33)	0.2-0.5

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4430568

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4430568

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>