# Atmospheric Pollution Research



www.atmospolres.com

## Modeling and experimental analysis of packed column for SO<sub>2</sub> emission control process

#### Chenniappan Maheswari, Kasilingam Krishnamurthy, Rathinasamy Parameshwaran

Department of Mechatronics Engineering, School of Building and Mechanical Sciences, Kongu Engineering College, Perundurai, Tamil Nadu, India

#### ABSTRACT

Sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) emissions from chemical process plants are increasing at an alarming rate. It is necessary to implement the best methodology to reduce the SO<sub>2</sub> emissions. This paper presents physical modeling, computational fluid dynamics (CFD) analysis, and experimental analysis of a packed column used for flue gas desulfurization (FGD) process to reduce SO<sub>2</sub> emission at a greater extent. The packed column parameters such as liquid/gas (L/G) ratio, diameter, packed height and total height were determined using physical modeling with two–film gas–liquid absorption theory. Simulation model of the packed column is developed by GAMBIT 2.2.30 and analysis is carried out by FLUENT 6.2.16. In CFD analysis, hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) (with different concentrations) was used as an absorbent. CFD simulation result ensures that when H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is used as a reactant, better removal efficiency is obtained. Based on the physical modeling and CFD analysis, a lab scale packed column was developed. Experimental result showed that 95% SO<sub>2</sub> removal efficiency is achieved for 0.1 M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as a reactant. Experimental results agreed excellently with the developed CFD model and can be used for designing industrial packed columns.

Keywords: Packed column, CFD analysis, SO<sub>2</sub> emission control process, hydrogen peroxide  $(H_2O_2)$ 



Corresponding Author: Chenniappan Maheswari

☎ : +91-9865210838
 ⊠ : maheswarikec@gmail.com

#### Article History:

Received: 05 January 2014 Revised: 15 March 2014 Accepted: 15 March 2014

#### doi: 10.5094/APR.2014.054

#### 1. Introduction

This section reviews background information on the various approaches, methods and materials that are currently being used to control  $SO_2$  emissions. In Industry, coal and oil emits  $SO_2$  as a flue gas during combustion process. The increasing usage of fossil fuel has resulted in an increase of world total SO<sub>2</sub> emission rate during recent years. It poses a number of environmental and human health effects such as wheezing, chest tightness, shortness of breath, etc.. Control techniques are incorporated in the recent years, which reduces the SO<sub>2</sub> emissions and it is investigated by Lonsdale et al. (2012). Flue gas desulfurization (FGD) is the effective and reliable SO<sub>2</sub> removal methodology and it is classified as dry, semi-dry, and wet FGD. Among these techniques, wet FGD is the cost effective method (Cofala et al., 2004) and produces 60-95% removal efficiency (Liu et al., 2008). In wet FGD process, the desulfurization takes place due to mass transfer and chemical reactions of liquid and gas phases. Different absorbents are used in FGD such as lime (Liu et al., 2008), water with NH<sub>3</sub> (Ipek et al., 2008), and NaOH (Schultes, 1998). Ruitang et al. (2008) investigated lime based FGD process, in which lime reacts with SO<sub>2</sub> and produces  $\ensuremath{\text{CO}}_2$  as a secondary pollutant to the atmosphere. Hence an alternative solution is given by Colle et al. (2003), and they investigated the FGD process with  $H_2O_2$  added with  $H_2SO_4$  as an absorbent. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> produces adverse effect on SO<sub>2</sub> absorption with  $H_2O_2$ . FGD process with  $H_2O_2$  as a reactant has already patented by Copenhafer and Pfeffer (2011). When  $H_2O_2$  is used as a reactant, it produces highly concentrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> as a by-product after continuous recirculation. Hence, FGD process with  $H_2O_2$  as a reactant is considered as an absorbent for this present work. The study given

by Maheswari et al. (2013) ensures that when  $H_2O_2$  is used as an absorbent enhances the  $SO_2$  removal efficiency.

There are several types of scrubbers proposed by researchers for FGD process such as bubbling jet reactor (Zheng et al., 2003), combined packed and spray tower absorber (Gomez et al., 2007), cable bundle wet scrubber, and packed column (Colle et al., 2004). Packed column is taken for analysis because of its increased absorption rate by providing a good contact with liquid and gas. FGD processes with different absorbents are simulated using computational fluid dynamics (CFD) by several researchers (Ebrahimi et al., 2003; Marocco, 2010). CFD modeling and optimization of scrubber parameters is revealed by Ruitang et al. (2008). The study by Gomez et al. (2007) have reported that 90%  ${\rm SO}_2$  removal efficiency was achieved in a real plant developed based on CFD modeling. Bravo et al. (2002) developed CFD model for SO<sub>2</sub> absorption and they investigated that the concentration of SO<sub>2</sub> across gas-liquid interface did not vary with the operating temperature. Literature rarely focused on the simulation studies of FGD process with  $H_2O_2$  as a reactant. Thus, in this work, CFD analysis was used to find transport behavior between gas and liquid in the packed column for maximum SO<sub>2</sub> removal efficiency when  $H_2O_2$  is used as a reactant. Absorption of  $SO_2$  in  $H_2O_2$  is a complex process which involves both chemical and mass transfer analysis. Mass transfer is an important phenomenon that should be described properly for packed column design. Hence the present study focused on the following:

 Determination of L/G ratio, packed height, diameter and height of the packed column based on physical modeling,

- (2) Development of CFD model for a packed column based on physical modeling and analysis for maximum  $SO_2$  removal efficiency,
- (3) Comparative study with CFD simulation results and experimental results.

#### 2. Physical Modeling

The performance of the column depends on the maintenance of good liquid and gas distribution throughout the surface area of the column. The oxidation reaction that occurs inside the packed column is expressed in Equation (1). The reaction produces sulfuric acid as the end product.

$$SO_2 + H_2O_2 \rightarrow H^+ + HSO_4^- \rightarrow 2H^+ + SO_4^{2-}$$
 (1)

The packed column was selected for  $SO_2$  removal, since packed area in the column is used to develop larger interfacial area between gas and liquid which increases the absorption rate. Random packing was used in this packed column since high randomness in packing gives high removal efficiency (Coulson and Richardson, 1991).

#### 2.1. Determination of minimum L/G ratio

During the mass transfer between  $SO_2$  and  $H_2O_2$  in the packed column,  $H_2O_2$  becomes aqueous sulfuric acid solution. Though  $H_2O_2$  is used as a reactant initially, once it is circulated through the packed column, it becomes an aqueous sulfuric acid. Hence, aqueous sulfuric acid is taken as the liquid for physical modeling. The experiment by Hayduk et al. (1988), detailed the absorption of  $SO_2$  in to aqueous sulfuric acid, and the solubility data taken from this study is shown in Table 1.

Mole fraction of  $SO_2$  in liquid phase X (in aqueous sulfuric acid) is calculated as:

$$X = \frac{Moles \ of \ SO_2 \ in \ H_2SO_4}{Moles \ of \ SO_2 \ in \ H_2SO_4 + Moles \ of \ H_2SO_4}$$
(2)

The mole fraction of SO<sub>2</sub> in gas phase (Y) is computed by dividing the partial pressure of SO<sub>2</sub> ( $P_{SO2}$ ) by the total pressure ( $P_{TOT}$ =101 KPa) of the packed column. Equation (3) is used to express the equilibrium solubility of gas–liquid systems:

$$Y = HX \tag{3}$$

From the tabulated values (Table 1), equilibrium diagram is plotted between X and Y. The slope between X and Y in the equilibrium line gives solubility constant H. The equilibrium line is straight; hence the value obtained from the slope of the equilibrium line is used to predict the solubility of  $SO_2$  in to aqueous sulfuric acid solutions (Manyele, 2008). For different values of X and Y, calculated H values are shown in Table 1. Also, the slope of the equilibrium line is used to calculate minimum L/G ratio by taking mass transfer characteristics across liquid and gas in the packed column. Two–film theory proposed by Whitman (1923) is the simplest theory designed for mass transfer analysis and it is expressed in Equation (4):

$$G_m[Y_1 - Y_2] = L_m [X_1 - X_2]$$
(4)

where,  $G_m$  is the inlet gas flow rate,  $L_m$  is the inlet liquid flow rate,  $X_1$  is the mole fraction of aqueous H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> leaving the column,  $X_2$  is the mole fraction of aqueous H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> entering the column,  $Y_2$  is the mole fraction of SO<sub>2</sub> in gas stream leaving the column, and  $Y_1$  is the mole fraction of SO<sub>2</sub> in the gas stream entering the column. The minimum liquid flow rate to the packed column was determined as 152.5 Lph, based on the Equations (3) and (4) by considering  $Y_1$ =0.05 mole fraction of SO<sub>2</sub> (5% SO<sub>2</sub> by volume),  $Y_2$ =0.005 mole fraction of SO<sub>2</sub>,  $G_m$ =40 m<sup>3</sup>/hr, Henry's constant=1.21 (selected from the Table 1), to obtain maximum of 99% (based on the assumption) SO<sub>2</sub> removal efficiency.

#### 2.2. Determination of the column diameter

Determination of column diameter plays a key role to ensure good liquid and gas interaction, to make the packed column, to withstand for high pressure drop across the walls and the packed area and to determine the capacity of the column. Pressure drop in the packed column must not exceed a certain level that is described as flooding. Column diameter should be determined so as to operate the column below 75% of the flooding velocity (Coulson and Richardson, 1991). Based on the generalized pressure drop correlations between flooding factor ( $K_4$ ) and pressure drop (or) abscissa ( $F_{LV}$ ), the column diameter is determined. Equation (5) is used to compute the pressure drop of the column:

$$F_{LV} = \frac{L_m}{G_m} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_G}{\rho_L}}$$
(5)

where,  $\rho_G$  and  $\rho_L$  are the densities of SO<sub>2</sub> gas and aqueous H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> respectively. Based on the Equation (5),  $F_{LV}$ =0.149 was calculated and corresponding flooding factor ( $K_4$ ) is calculated as 0.7. The percentage of flooding is 70% and it is satisfactory for further analysis.

Computation of column cross sectional area based on of gas mass flow rate  $(V_W)$  is given by the Equation (6) (Coulson and Richardson, 1991):

$$V_W = \left[\frac{K_4 \rho_v (\rho_L - \rho_v)}{13.1 F_P (\mu_L / \rho_L)^{0.1}}\right]^{0.5}$$
(6)

where,  $\rho_V$  is the SO<sub>2</sub> gas density at 20 °C,  $\rho_L$  is the liquid density,  $\mu_L$  is the liquid viscosity, and  $F_P$  is the packing factor for 25 mm polypropylene pall ring. Polypropylene pall ring was selected as the packing material with 25 mm diameter and its packing factor is determined as 170 (Coulson and Richarson, 1991).

$$A = \frac{G_m}{V_W} \tag{7}$$

Cross sectional area of the packed column was determined using Equation (7) and the required diameter of the column was computed as 152.5 mm.

Partial Pressure P <sub>so2</sub> (KPa)	Solubility g of SO₂/100 g of Aqueous H₂SO₄ Solution	Mole Fraction of the Solute (SO₂) in the Liquid Phase (X)	Mole Fraction of $SO_2$ in the Gas Phase (Y)	H=(Y/X) Henry's Law Constant
102.6	2.95	0.0295	0.0384	1.32
152.2	4.69	0.0469	0.0596	0.81
185.2	6.03	0.0603	0.0753	0.988
203.0	6.86	0.0686	0.0848	1.09
247.8	8.48	0.0848	0.103	1.21

(Source: Hayduk et al., 1988)

Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4434615

Download Persian Version:

### https://daneshyari.com/article/4434615

Daneshyari.com