Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Sustainable Environment Research

journal homepage: www.journals.elsevier.com/sustainableenvironment-research/

Original research article

TEnv

Mixing-assisted oxidative desulfurization of model sulfur compounds using polyoxometalate/H₂O₂ catalytic system



Angelo Earvin Sy Choi^a, Susan Roces^a, Nathaniel Dugos^a, Meng-Wei Wan^{b,*}

^a Chemical Engineering Department, De La Salle University, Manila 0922, Philippines

^b Department of Environmental Resources Management, Chia Nan University of Pharmacy and Science, Tainan 71710, Taiwan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 23 June 2015 Received in revised form 9 September 2015 Accepted 23 November 2015 Available online 21 April 2016

Keywords: Benzothiophene Dibenzothiophene Mixing-assisted oxidative desulfurization Phosphomolybdic acid Phosphotungstic acid Silicotungstic acid

ABSTRACT

Desulfurization of fossil fuel derived oil is needed in order to comply with environmental regulations. Dibenzothiophene and benzothiophene are among the predominant sulfur compound present in raw diesel oil. In this study, mixing-assisted oxidative desulfurization of dibenzothiophene and benzothiophene were carried out using polyoxometalate/ H_2O_2 systems and a phase transfer agent. The effects of reaction time (2–30 min) and temperature (30–70 °C) were examined in the oxidation of model sulfur compounds mixed in toluene. A pseudo first-order reaction kinetic model and the Arrhenius equation were utilized in order to evaluate the kinetic rate constant and activation energy of each catalyst tested in the desulfurization process. Results showed the order of catalytic activity and activation energy of the different polyoxometalate catalysts to be $H_3PW_{12}O_{40} > H_3PM_{12}O_{40} > H_4SiW_{12}O_{40}$ for both dibenzo-thiophene and benzothiophene.

© 2016 Chinese Institute of Environmental Engineering, Taiwan. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

In the last three decades, the consumption of fossil fuel derivedoil drastically increased in demand due to rapid technological advancements and increasing world population. Moreover, heat and power generation in various sectors currently uses fossil fuels that would result in risking the stability and sustainability of the environment [1-3]. The use of fossil fuels such as petroleum and its fraction contains organic sulfur compounds (OSCs) which possess an environmental concern. OSCs in untreated diesel oil composed mainly of dibenzothiophene (DBT), benzothiophene (BT) and its alkylated derivatives [4]. In transportation fuels, upon combustion OSCs contributes to corroding parts of internal combustion in engines and poisoning catalytic converters. The presence of OSCs also leads to the formation of sulfur oxides (SO_x) and sulfate particulate matters which is a major source of air pollution [4-6]. SO_x is also known as a major precursor to acid rain as well as secondary particulate matter which adds up to the importance of desulfurization. Therefore, stringent environmental regulations are implemented in recent years. The United States Environmental Protection Agency has set regulations to reduced sulfur content in gasoline and diesel oil to 30 [7] and 15 ppm [8], respectively. European Union issued sulfur concentrations regulation of 10 ppm in diesel oil [9].

The conventional technology, hydrodesulfurization (HDS), is used as a large-scale chemical process in industries to produce lowsulfur diesel fuel [10]. The process of HDS utilizes hydrogen with a catalyst such as Ni–Mo or Co–Mo in heated oil [11]. The C–S bond in HDS breaks which produces H₂S and a sulfur free hydrocarbon. However, there are several problems present in HDS. First, this process has low reactivity towards OSCs such as DBT and BT [4,12]. Second, severe reaction conditions such as high operating pressure (690–3450 kPa), reaction temperature (300–400 °C) and a long residence time are required to achieve low sulfur concentrations in HDS. Consequently, this implies that HDS requires high operating cost in order to comply with low-sulfur containing diesel fuel. Alternative desulfurization processes or techniques are of necessity in order to complement the conventional technology into achieving an efficient way upon compliance to environmental regulations.

Oxidative desulfurization (ODS) has drawn significant attention in recent years due to its capacity to operate at mild operating conditions as compared to HDS [2]. In the ODS process, OSCs can be selectively oxidized to form sulfones (1-oxides) and/or sulfoxides (1,1-dioxide) without breaking the C–C bond due to the strong

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.serj.2015.11.005

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: peterwan@mail.cnu.edu.tw (M.-W. Wan).

Peer review under responsibility of Chinese Institute of Environmental Engineering.

^{2468-2039/© 2016} Chinese Institute of Environmental Engineering, Taiwan. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

affinity of sulfur towards the electrophilic addition of oxygen [13,14]. Oxidized sulfur compounds are substantially more polar compared to the hydrocarbons which can easily be removed through adsorption, solvent extraction or distillation. To date, various oxidants and/ or oxidation systems such as Fenton's reagent [15], ferrate [16,17], hydrogen peroxide [4,10,18,19], ozone [20] and superoxides [21] have been investigated. Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) is preferred over the other oxidation systems due to its oxidation capacity, low cost, and environment friendly water by-product formation. However, an important consideration upon using H_2O_2 in fuel oil is its formation of a biphasic system. This requires attention in order to further improve desulfurization performance.

The ultrasound-assisted oxidative desulfurization (UAOD) is a well-established technology in which the oxidation efficiency is enhanced through the use of an ultrasonicator as compared to conventional ODS process [2,4,10,15,16,18]. However, several disadvantages of UAOD such as the difficulty to up-scale in industries due to high energy utilization and high capital cost due to additional sono-reactor, amplifier and function generator remain its limitation [18]. Lu et al. [18] showed an alternative process to UAOD in which a high shear mixer is utilized and showed desulfurization efficiencies matching that of an ultrasonicator. This process is called mixing-assisted oxidative desulfurization (MAOD). The development of this innovative desulfurization technology improves the efficiency of the ODS process. MAOD has shown a promising and efficient oxidation reaction performance [18,22,23]. Using H₂O₂ requires good dispersion between the organic and aqueous phase in order to accelerate oxidation reaction. The MAOD process essentially provides an enhanced fluid/ fluid interfacial area through molecular diffusion due to the utilization of a high-shear mixer. The organic phase can easily breakdown to smaller droplets which improves the mass transfer to the oxidant or aqueous phase [24]. Rapid mixing provides an adequate contact between the biphasic system which improves the desulfurization in ODS.

In recent years, polyoxometalate (POM) catalysts have shown to attract wide attention due to being a well-known green catalyst and its effective combination with H_2O_2 in the ODS process [4,10,13,18,22,25,26]. POMs contain early transition metal-oxygen clusters anions. There are a wide variety of applications in POMs but catalysis is considerably the most important [26]. However, the application of different POM catalysts in the MAOD process has not yet been report. Furthermore, there is also limited information in the comparative assessment of the oxidation kinetics for DBT and BT in MAOD.

The main objective of this study is to determine and compare oxidation performance of DBT and BT compounds using different POM/H₂O₂ systems at different reaction time and temperature. Various POM catalysts to be investigated include phosphotungstic acid (PW), phosphomolybdic acid (PMo), and silicotungstic acid (SiW). Furthermore, the kinetic rate constant and activation energy of the different POM catalysts were determined through a kinetic model and Arrhenius equation, respectively.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals and materials

Chemicals used were analytical grade without further purification treatment. The model sulfur compounds BT (97% purity) and DBT (99% purity) were procured from Acros Organics (Taiwan) and Alfa Aesar (Taiwan), respectively. Toluene (0.99 mass fractions) was purchased from Merck (USA). Tetraoctylammonium bromide (98% purity) was acquired from Hungyao (Taiwan). Hydrogen peroxide (50% concentration) and PW hydrate (H₃PW₁₂O₄₀, 98% purity) were obtained from G-Watt Co. (Taiwan). PMo (H₃PM₁₂O₄₀) was obtained from Ferak Berlin GmbH (Germany) while SiW (H₄SiW₁₂O₄₀, 99.9% purity) was procured from Sigma–Aldrich (Wisconsin, USA).

2.2. Experimental method

Synthetic oil containing model sulfur compounds of DBT and BT were dissolved separately in toluene in order to acquire a 500 ppm stock solution. The MAOD experiments were carried out using a glass reactor adding equal amounts of synthetic oil and hydrogen along with tetraoctylammonium bromide and peroxide 0.0694 mmol of POM catalyst. The catalyst loading was based on the study of Wan et al. [27]. The mixture was agitated at 10,000 rpm from 2 to 30 min using a high-shear mixer (IKA Ultra Turrax T25 digital). Reaction temperature was maintained by a water bath from 30 to 70 °C. This is to test the oxidation capacity of the MAOD process from ambient temperature of 30 °C up to a limit of 70 °C in order to avoid side reactions due to H₂O₂ thermal decomposition [28,29]. After cooling, the emulsified samples were subjected to centrifugation for 10 min in order to separate the emulsion. The organic phase containing the synthetic oil was drawn out for further analysis.

2.3. Instrumental analysis

A gas chromatography (Agilent GC, 7890A, California, USA) is coupled with a sulfur chemiluminescence detector was used to detect the presence of sulfur compounds in the sample before and after oxidation. The initial GC oven temperature was set at 150 °C for 1 min, heated at a rate of 20 °C min⁻¹ to 220 °C and retained for 1 min for the analysis of BT. Concurrently, the column temperature setting for the analysis of DBT was set at 200 °C for 1 min and then heated at a rate of 20 °C min⁻¹ to 280 °C for 1 min.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Catalytic oxidation of sulfur compounds

POMs containing transition metals like Ti, Mo, V and W are effective catalysts used in conjunction with H_2O_2 . The derivatives of the transition metals readily react with H_2O_2 that forms peroxocomplexes in the system [30]. The peroxocomplexes are stronger oxidants than the parent peroxides and result to be highly selective and effective oxidants of both substrates that are organic and inorganic [30]. The electron density for the oxidation of sulfur atom using H_2O_2 at mild conditions is from 5.716 to 5.739 [31]. This makes the oxidation of DBT and BT possible due to having an electron density of 5.739 and 5.758, respectively [31].

The oxidation of DBT and BT in the POM/H₂O₂ system of the MAOD process involves the following mechanism. In the aqueous phase, the presence of excess H_2O_2 reacts with the POM catalyst. This is peroxidized and disaggregated forming peroxocomplexes that are effective species for expoxidation [4,27]. The tetraocty-lammonium bromide used as the phase transfer agent (PTA) brings the peroxocomplexes to the organic phase which activates the oxidation reaction of DBT and BT. The peroxocomplexes are reduced to its original form after oxidation and dissociates with PTA which are transferred back to the aqueous phase.

3.2. Comparison of POM catalysts

The MAOD process was applied in the oxidation of model sulfur compounds DBT and BT to compare different POM catalysts. The catalyst loading was based from a previous study where the Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4435375

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4435375

Daneshyari.com