Atmospheric Environment 45 (2011) 2781-2788

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Atmospheric Environment

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/atmosenv



Evaluation of ambient SO₂ measurement methods at roadside sites

Xiaohong Yao^{a,b,*}, Colin J. Lee^a, Greg J. Evans^{a,**}, Amanda Chu^a, Krystal J. Godri^{a,1}, Maygan L. McGuire^a, Andy C. Ng^c, Clarissa Whitelaw^c

^a Southern Ontario Centre for Atmospheric Aerosol Research, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5S 3E5, Canada

^b Key Lab of Marine Environmental Science and Ecology, Ministry of Education, College of Environmental Science and Engineering, Ocean University of China,

238 Songling Road, Qingdao 266100, China

^c Environmental Monitoring and Reporting Branch, Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Canada

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 27 July 2010 Received in revised form 27 January 2011 Accepted 28 January 2011

Keywords: NOx SO₂ GP-IC Roadside Artifact

ABSTRACT

Accurate measurements of SO2 at low ambient concentrations are needed in order to investigate the role of SO₂ in particle nucleation events and the long-term impact of reductions in sulfur emissions in recent decades. In this study, artifacts in SO₂ concentration measurements were investigated using two identical ion chromatography-based instruments (the Gas Particle Ion Chromatograph, GP-IC, Dionex Corporation) and two identical UV fluorescence-based SO₂ analyzers (the TECO 43CTL, an industry standard). The SO₂ concentration values measured with the GP-IC at roadside sites were compared with simultaneous sideby-side measurements made with the fluorescence analyzers. The SO₂ concentration measured with the GP-IC had an \sim 30% negative calibration artifact. When the GP-ICs were calibrated using an improved procedure developed in the course of this study, only a $\pm 5\%$ difference from the TECO analyzers remained, except under high NO concentration conditions. The fluorescence analyzers exhibited a positive artifact under elevated NO concentration conditions. Sulfur oxidation ratios were calculated based on the GP-IC-measured SO₂ and SO₄²⁻ concentrations and used to help identify potential emission sources. The SO2 concentrations measured with the GP-IC were also compared to data obtained from a National Air Pollution Surveillance (NAPS) speciation sampler equipped with a Na₂CO₃-coated denuder. Good correlation between SO₂ data from the two methods was seen during five months of measurement, but the GP-IC SO₂ data were \sim 30% lower than the NAPS data. Deposition of SO₂ within an urban street canyon is discussed as a possible explanation for this difference.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

To study gas-particle interactions and gas-aerosol equilibria, a variety of gas-particle instruments based on ion chromatography have been introduced that allow semi-continuous analysis of species of interest in both the gas and the particulate phase. These include the Gas and Aerosol Monitoring System (GAMS), the Wet-Annular Denuder/Steam-Jet Aerosol Collector (WAD/SJAC), the

Dionex Gas Particle Ion Chromatograph (GP-IC), and the Ambient Ion Monitor (AIM) (Loflund et al., 2001; Trebs et al., 2004; Ullah et al., 2006; Wu and Wang, 2007). The Dionex Gas Particle Ion Chromatograph (GP-IC) is a commercial instrument that can measure concentrations of HCl, HNO₂, HNO₃, SO₂, and NH₃ in the gas phase and Cl^- , NO_3^- , SO_4^{2-} , and NH_4^+ in $PM_{2.5}$ with a 15 min resolution (Dionex Corporation, 2005). In these instruments, ion concentrators are commonly installed upstream of the ion chromatograph. The advantage of using ion concentrators is that it allows injection of a large volume of sample solution (several millilitres), making it possible to analyze low concentration samples. However, this design has the disadvantage that both the injection volume and the concentration of standard solution are required to perform mass calibration of ionic species. Errors in the injection volume of the standard solutions produce equivalent errors in the mass of ionic species measured by the GP-IC (Yao et al., 2009).

Yao et al. (2009) developed a calibration procedure that accurately quantifies the injection volume of the particle channel in the GP-IC. Using the real injection volume significantly reduced the difference



^{*} Corresponding author. Key Lab of Marine Environmental Science and Ecology, Ministry of Education, College of Environmental Science and Engineering, Ocean University of China, 238 Songling Road, Qingdao 266100, China. Tel.: +86 532 66782565.

^{**} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 416 978 1821.

E-mail addresses: xhyao@ouc.edu.cn (X. Yao), greg.evans@utoronto.ca (G.J. Evans).

¹ Current address: MRC-HPA Centre for Environment and Health, School of Biomedical Sciences, King's College London, 150 Stamford Street, London SE1 9NH, United Kingdom.

^{1352-2310/\$ –} see front matter \odot 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.01.070

between particulate sulphate concentrations measured with the GP-IC and those from filter samples, from about 30% to 6–9%. The same magnitude of error (about 30%) caused by the miscalculated injection volume should also appear in GP-IC measurements of gaseous species, although this has not been reported. The calibration procedure first presented in Yao et al. (2009) can be used to quantify the injection volume and thereby eliminate the corresponding artifact in measured SO₂ concentrations. Once this large artifact has been corrected, it is possible to examine other potential artifacts such as the denuder collection efficiency of SO₂, the conversion efficiency of SO₂ into sulphate, and the particle loss on the denuder.

Kulmala et al. (2004) found that SO₂ gas is the major precursor of nucleation formation of new particles in the atmosphere. When these nucleation events occur in populated regions, they can increase human exposure to ultra fine particulate matter. Accurate measurements of SO₂ in the atmosphere would help to isolate SO₂-induced nucleation from non-SO₂-induced particle nucleation events (Shi et al., 2001; Qian et al., 2007). In addition, since sulfur content in fuel and lubricant oil has been reduced in recent decades, accurate measurement of lower ambient SO₂ concentrations has become important for the investigation of the long-term impact of these reductions (Molina and Molina, 2004; Chan and Yao, 2008).

In this study, the injection volume of the gas-side channel of the GP-IC was quantified and the SO₂ concentration was corrected using the actual injection volume. The corrected SO₂ concentration was compared with the concentration measured by two UV fluorescence SO₂ analyzers at different sites. The differences between the data from these two instruments are discussed. Sulfur oxidation ratios were further calculated based on the GP-IC-measured SO₂ and SO₄²⁻ concentrations and used to help identify potential emission sources. In addition, the SO₂ concentrations measured with the GP-IC were compared to data obtained from the National Air Pollution Surveillance network (NAPS) in downtown Toronto. The NAPS data were obtained with a speciation sampler equipped with a Na₂CO₃-coated denuder to collect SO₂. A possible explanation for the ~ 30% difference is discussed.

2. Experimental

2.1. Instruments

The Dionex GP-IC combines two high-efficiency wet denuders and a particle collector with two ion chromatographs to measure concentrations of HCl, HNO₂, HNO₃, SO₂, and NH₃ in the gas phase and Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, SO₄²⁻, and NH₄⁺ in PM_{2.5} every 15 min. Details of the instrument are provided by Al-Horr et al. (2003), Dionex Corporation (2005) and Godri et al. (2009). This study focussed on artifacts in SO₂ concentrations measured by the GP-IC.

The SO₂ detection method of the GP-IC is described below:

- 1) For the sample: During the 15 min sampling stage, SO₂ gas is collected using a diffusion wet denuder 45 cm in length, with 0.5 mM H₂O₂ used as the denuder liquid to convert SO₂ into sulphate. The denuder effluent is then sequentially passed through cation and anion concentrator columns. The SO₄²⁻ is absorbed by the anion concentrators. During the 15 min analysis period, 15 mM NaOH is used to elute SO₄²⁻ captured by the anion concentrator column, and the SO₄²⁻ is analyzed directly online using a Dionex ICS-2000 system.
- 2) For the standard: When a calibration is performed, no air is drawn through the denuder. During the 15 min sampling stage, the injection valve switches to loading status to allow loading of (NH₄)₂SO₄ solution standards. The injection valve then switches to injection status. The injection loop is used to quantify the injection volume of the standards. During the 15 min analysis

period, the standard is analyzed using the same procedure as applied to the test sample.

Based on the procedure described above, the injection volume of the samples is unknown, but it is much larger than that of the standard. Thus, a mass calibration of sulphate should be performed instead of a concentration calibration. The injection mass of the sulphate in a standard is the product of the injection volume and the sulphate concentration.

Yao et al. (2009) combined two calibration procedures to quantify the volume of standard solution injected into the GP-IC. In Procedure 1, the conventional way to calibrate an ion chromatograph, the standard solution was injected through the fixed volume loops on the GP-IC. In Procedure 2, the particle collector was disassembled from the particle side and connected between the water stream from the gas-side denuder and the injection valve of the gas side. Standard solution of the same concentration was added to the particle collector using an autopipette. Injecting the same mass of a chemical species using Procedure 1 or 2 should cause the same area response, so

Area_{Procedure 1}/(
$$V_{Procedure 1}$$
* $C_{Procedure 1}$)

$$= \text{Area}_{\text{Procedure } 2} / (V_{\text{Procedure } 2} * C_{\text{Procedure } 2})$$
(1)

where Area_{Procedure 1} and Area_{Procedure 2} are the area responses for sulphate injected into the GP-IC using Procedures 1 and 2, respectively; V_{Procedure 1} and V_{Procedure 2} are the volumes of standard injected; and C_{Procedure 1} and C_{Procedure 2} ($\mu g m l^{-1}$) are the mass concentrations of sulphate in the standard.

When $C_{Procedure 1} = C_{Procedure 2}$,

 $V_{Procedure 1} = V_{Procedure 2} / (Area_{Procedure 2}) * Area_{Procedure 1}$ (2)

Since V_{Procedure 2}, Area Procedure 2, and Area Procedure 1</sub> can be measured directly, V_{Procedure 1} can be obtained from Eq. (2). A mass calibration using the product of V_{Procedure 1} and C_{Procedure 1} versus Area Procedure 1 can then be performed to obtain the proportionality constant K:

$$V_{\text{Procedure 1}} * C_{\text{Procedure 1}} = K * \text{Area}_{\text{Procedure 1}}$$
(3)

Thus, the mass of SO_2 diffused through the denuder during sampling can be obtained from

where $Area_{samples}$ represents the area response for SO₂ for samples measured by the GP-IC.

2.2. Sampling sites

Three sampling sites were selected for comparison of SO₂ concentrations measured by two identical GP-ICs and the SO₂ analyzers. The first sampling site (Toronto West) was located in west Toronto about 190 m from Highway 401 (Fig. 1). This major highway has the highest traffic density in Canada and is heavily travelled by heavy-duty vehicles. Two identical GP-ICs were deployed in two mobile labs parked side by side, 5 m apart, during the measurement period (August 17-28, 2007). They will be referred to as GP-IC-UoT (University of Toronto) and GP-IC-MoE (Ministry of the Environment). The wet denuder for the gas channel of the two GP-ICs, was connected directly with ambient air using a sampling tube. An SO₂ analyzer (TECO 43CTL) was deployed in a mobile lab and will be referred to as SO₂-TECO-43c-MoE1. A filter was installed upstream of the gas analyzer. The SO₂ analyzer was calibrated every 6–8 weeks, following the standard calibration procedure. The zero drifting was 0.1 ppbv while the standard deviation was 3%. Daily calibration with an internal permeation source also confirmed the analyzer's stability Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4439988

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4439988

Daneshyari.com