



## Review

## State of the art satellite and airborne marine oil spill remote sensing: Application to the BP Deepwater Horizon oil spill

Ira Leifer <sup>a,\*</sup>, William J. Lehr <sup>b</sup>, Debra Simecek-Beatty <sup>b</sup>, Eliza Bradley <sup>c</sup>, Roger Clark <sup>d</sup>, Philip Dennison <sup>e</sup>, Yongxiang Hu <sup>f</sup>, Scott Matheson <sup>e</sup>, Cathleen E. Jones <sup>g</sup>, Benjamin Holt <sup>g</sup>, Molly Reif <sup>h</sup>, Dar A. Roberts <sup>c</sup>, Jan Svejkovsky <sup>i</sup>, Gregg Swayze <sup>d</sup>, Jennifer Wozencraft <sup>h</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Marine Science Institute, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA, United States

<sup>b</sup> NOAA Office of Response and Restoration, Seattle, WA, United States

<sup>c</sup> Geography Department, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA, United States

<sup>d</sup> US Geological Survey, Denver, United States

<sup>e</sup> Department of Geography and Center for Natural and Technological Hazards, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, United States

<sup>f</sup> NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA, United States

<sup>g</sup> Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, United States

<sup>h</sup> US Army Corp of Engineers, Kiln MS, United States

<sup>i</sup> Ocean Imaging Corporation, Solana Beach, CA, United States

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 21 July 2011

Received in revised form 20 March 2012

Accepted 24 March 2012

Available online 12 June 2012

## Keywords:

Oil spill

Deepwater Horizon

Remote sensing

Lidar

Near infrared

Thermal infrared

Satellite

Airborne remote sensing

Synthetic aperture radar

MODIS

Hyperspectral

Multispectral

Expert system

False positives

Technology readiness

Operational readiness

Visible spectrum

Oil/water emulsions

Spill response

AVIRIS

Synthetic aperture radar

UAVSAR

Fire

CALIPSO

Oil slick thickness

Laser fluorescence

## ABSTRACT

The vast and persistent Deepwater Horizon (DWH) spill challenged response capabilities, which required accurate, quantitative oil assessment at synoptic and operational scales. Although experienced observers are a spill response's mainstay, few trained observers and confounding factors including weather, oil emulsification, and scene illumination geometry present challenges. DWH spill and impact monitoring was aided by extensive airborne and spaceborne passive and active remote sensing.

Oil slick thickness and oil-to-water emulsion ratios are key spill response parameters for containment/cleanup and were derived quantitatively for thick (>0.1 mm) slicks from AVIRIS (Airborne Visible/Infrared Imaging Spectrometer) data using a spectral library approach based on the shape and depth of near infrared spectral absorption features. MODIS (Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer) satellite, visible-spectrum broadband data of surface-slick modulation of sunglint reflection allowed extrapolation to the total slick. A multispectral expert system used a neural network approach to provide Rapid Response thickness class maps.

Airborne and satellite synthetic aperture radar (SAR) provides synoptic data under all-sky conditions; however, SAR generally cannot discriminate thick (>100 μm) oil slicks from thin sheens (to 0.1 μm). The UAVSAR's (Uninhabited Aerial Vehicle SAR) significantly greater signal-to-noise ratio and finer spatial resolution allowed successful pattern discrimination related to a combination of oil slick thickness, fractional surface coverage, and emulsification.

In situ burning and smoke plumes were studied with AVIRIS and corroborated spaceborne CALIPSO (Cloud Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observation) observations of combustion aerosols. CALIPSO and bathymetry lidar data documented shallow subsurface oil, although ancillary data were required for confirmation.

Airborne hyperspectral, thermal infrared data have nighttime and overcast collection advantages and were collected as well as MODIS thermal data. However, interpretation challenges and a lack of Rapid Response Products prevented significant use. Rapid Response Products were key to response utilization—data needs are time critical; thus, a high technological readiness level is critical to operational use of remote sensing products. DWH's experience demonstrated that development and operationalization of new spill response remote sensing tools must precede the next major oil spill.

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [Ira.Leifer@bubbleology.com](mailto:Ira.Leifer@bubbleology.com) (I. Leifer).

## Contents

1.	Introduction . . . . .	186
1.1.	Overview . . . . .	186
1.2.	Background: oil slick science . . . . .	187
1.2.1.	Marine oil sources . . . . .	187
1.2.2.	Oil slick processes . . . . .	187
1.2.3.	Oil spill response . . . . .	187
1.2.4.	Oil slick remote sensing for oil spill response . . . . .	188
1.3.	Oil slick remote sensing of the DWH . . . . .	188
2.	Passive remote sensing of oil slicks . . . . .	189
2.1.	Background: oil slick spectroscopy . . . . .	189
2.1.1.	Visible appearance of oil slicks . . . . .	189
2.1.2.	Visible spectrum oil slick assessment . . . . .	190
2.1.3.	Visible spectrum oil slick appearance: underlying spectroscopy . . . . .	191
2.1.4.	Near infrared oil slick appearance: underlying spectroscopy . . . . .	191
2.1.5.	Thermal infrared oil slick appearance (emissivity) . . . . .	193
2.2.	Passive oil slick remote sensing . . . . .	194
2.2.1.	Multispectral (visible and thermal) expert system . . . . .	194
2.2.2.	Quantitative oil slick imaging spectroscopy . . . . .	194
2.2.3.	Satellite visible oil slick remote sensing . . . . .	194
2.2.4.	Satellite thermal infrared oil slick remote sensing . . . . .	195
2.3.	Oil slick passive remote sensing of DWH . . . . .	195
2.3.1.	Airborne oil slick remote sensing data collection . . . . .	195
2.3.2.	Multispectral oil slick thickness classification of DWH . . . . .	196
2.3.3.	Hyperspectral quantitative oil slick mapping of the DWH . . . . .	197
2.3.4.	Airborne thermal infrared oil slick mapping of the DWH . . . . .	197
2.3.5.	Satellite oil slick remote sensing of the DWH . . . . .	198
3.	Active oil slick remote sensing . . . . .	198
3.1.	Background: active oil slick remote sensing . . . . .	198
3.1.1.	Synthetic aperture radar oil slick observations . . . . .	198
3.1.2.	Airborne and spaceborne synthetic aperture radar . . . . .	199
3.1.3.	Laser oil slick remote sensing . . . . .	199
3.2.	Active remote sensing of the DWH . . . . .	200
3.2.1.	Airborne Synthetic aperture radar remote sensing of the DWH . . . . .	200
3.2.2.	Satellite synthetic aperture radar remote sensing of the DWH . . . . .	201
3.2.3.	Airborne and spaceborne laser remote sensing of the DWH . . . . .	201
4.	Oil spill impacts . . . . .	201
4.1.	Background: oil slick impact remote sensing . . . . .	201
4.1.1.	Vegetation and ecosystem impacts . . . . .	201
4.1.2.	Fire and oil spills . . . . .	202
4.1.3.	Oil spill fire aerosols . . . . .	202
4.1.4.	Oil slick volatiles . . . . .	202
4.2.	Oil slick ecosystem impact remote sensing of the DWH . . . . .	204
4.2.1.	In situ burning and well flaring . . . . .	204
4.2.2.	Oil slick trace gases remote sensing of the DWH . . . . .	205
4.3.	Vegetation and ecosystem impacts remote sensing of the DWH . . . . .	205
5.	Discussion . . . . .	205
5.1.	Oil slick remote sensing . . . . .	205
5.1.1.	Passive airborne oil slick remote sensing . . . . .	205
5.1.2.	Passive satellite oil slick remote sensing . . . . .	206
5.1.3.	Active satellite and airborne oil slick remote sensing . . . . .	206
5.2.	Applications of quantitative oil slick thickness mapping . . . . .	206
5.3.	Real world application of remote sensing technology to oil slicks . . . . .	206
6.	Conclusions . . . . .	207
	Acknowledgments . . . . .	207
	References . . . . .	207

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Overview

Marine petroleum affects the environment, economy, and quality of life for coastal inhabitants leading to concerns that include resource exploration, recovery, transportation, and resultant oil spill contingency planning, mitigation, and remediation (Jensen et al., 1990). Traditionally, remote sensing has played a secondary support role in oil spill response and monitoring. However, recent technological

advancements and sensor availability have enabled a more important role for remote sensing. During the Deepwater Horizon (DWH) spill, several remote sensing technologies rapidly moved up the technological readiness scale (Ramirez-Marquez & Saurer, 2009), propelled by the spill's scale and urgency.

In this review, we summarize and discuss the role of remote sensing technologies used in the DWH response with varying degrees of effectiveness. This paper has five sections: 1) oil spill processes relevant to oil spill response and remote sensing interpretation, 2) passive oil-spectroscopy and remote sensing, 3) active oil remote sensing, 4) remote

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4458998>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4458998>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)