



Benthic assemblages associated with native and non-native oysters are similar



Nadescha Zwerschke^{a,*}, Mark C. Emmerson^{a,b}, Dai Roberts^{a,b}, Nessa E. O'Connor^{a,b}

^a Queen's University Belfast Marine Laboratory, 12–13 The Strand, Portaferry BT22 1PF, Northern Ireland, United Kingdom

^b Queen's University Belfast, School of Biological Sciences, Belfast BT9 7BL, Northern Ireland, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 27 May 2016

Received in revised form 26 June 2016

Accepted 27 June 2016

Available online 2 July 2016

Keywords:

Crassostrea gigas

Ostrea edulis

Biodiversity

Functional similarity

Invasive species

ABSTRACT

Invasive species can impact native species and alter assemblage structure, which affects associated ecosystem functioning. The pervasive Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*, has been shown to affect the diversity and composition of many host ecosystems. We tested for effects of the presence of the invasive *C. gigas* on native assemblages by comparing them directly to assemblages associated with the declining native European oyster, *Ostrea edulis*. The presence of both oyster species was manipulated in intertidal and subtidal habitats and reefs were constructed at horizontal and vertical orientation to the substratum. After 12 months, species diversity and benthic assemblage structure between assemblages with *C. gigas* and *O. edulis* were similar, but differed between habitats and orientation, suggesting that both oyster species were functionally similar in terms of biodiversity facilitation. These findings support evidence, that non-native species could play an important role in maintaining biodiversity in systems with declining populations of native species.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Invasive species, non-native species which generate a negative impact on the local ecosystem, are thought to be a major contributor to global biodiversity loss and threaten native biological diversity (IUCN, 2000; Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005). Some invasive species that are considered ecosystem engineers (sensu Jones et al., 1997), however may provide structure and shelter for other species, which can occasionally lead to increased local species diversity (Crooks, 2002; Rodriguez, 2006). The zebra mussel *Dreissena polymorpha*, for example, an invasive ecosystem engineer in fresh water and estuarine habitat increases the diversity of other associated benthic consumers and primary producers, albeit by altering plankton communities (Higgins and Vander Zanden, 2015). Many previous studies examining the impacts of invasive ecosystem engineers, have examined the arrival of a novel functional group to the recipient community and identified positive as well as negative effects on diversity (Gribben et al., 2013; Lejart and Hily, 2011; Levin et al., 2006). Such invaders, which are taxonomically distinct and differ functionally from local species, are more likely to cause a greater impact on the recipient community (Ricciardi and Atkinson, 2004). It remains unclear whether such species, termed invasive on the basis of their impact on ecosystems lacking similar functional groups, have a strong, or any, impact on communities where

functionally similar native species are also present. Comparison of benthic macrofaunal communities associated with an invasive and a native habitat-forming cord grass, for example, showed no difference in assemblages, demonstrating that both species are functionally similar in terms of biodiversity facilitation (Neira et al., 2005).

The Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*, is a reef-forming ecosystem engineer, which is native to East Asia and has been introduced globally for aquaculture, which has led to the spread and establishment of wild populations in many regions of its introduction (Ruesink et al., 2005). *C. gigas* has spread to Atlantic coasts in Europe and regions such as the Wadden Sea, where benthic assemblages associated with *C. gigas* differ and are more diverse than those of native mussel, *Mytilus edulis*, beds and surrounding substratum (Kochmann et al., 2008; Markert et al., 2009). These different assemblages may be driven by differences in the structural properties of native mussel beds compared to the invasive oyster reefs (Lenihan, 1999; Lintas and Seed, 1994; Markert et al., 2009). Further, comparison of communities with *C. gigas* to the structurally similar Sydney rock oyster, *Saccostrea glomerata*, in Australia showed that effects of the invasive oyster on local assemblages was limited to the low shore, where environmental conditions are considered more benign (Wilkie et al., 2012). The importance of facilitative effects of biogenic structure is thought to be greater in harsh environmental conditions (Bruno et al., 2003). Here the abundance of complex structure, such as oyster reefs may help to increase diversity by mitigating physical stress (Bruno et al., 2003; Lejart and Hily, 2011).

The native European oyster, *Ostrea edulis*, is an economically important species and an ecosystem engineer, which increases diversity at a local scale (Smyth et al., 2009). It has been eradicated throughout much of its

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: nzwerschke01@qub.ac.uk (N. Zwerschke), m.emmerson@qub.ac.uk (M.C. Emmerson), dai-roberts@outlook.com (D. Roberts), n.oconnor@qub.ac.uk (N.E. O'Connor).

native range (Laing et al., 2006; Lockwood, 2001). Remaining populations in the UK, Ireland and Scandinavia may be threatened by the introduction of the invasive *C. gigas* (Zwerschke et al., in review-a). Until recently it was assumed that *C. gigas* and *O. edulis* occupy intertidal and subtidal habitats respectively, but not overlapping habitats. More recent surveys show, however, that in Ireland *O. edulis* has always and still is, albeit at reduced densities, present in both intertidal and subtidal habitats (Kennedy and Roberts, 1999; Smyth et al., 2009; personal observation). Further concerns have been raised when *C. gigas* has been found on existing subtidal *O. edulis* populations (Tully and Clarke, 2012; personal observation). *C. gigas* has been found to affect *O. edulis* adversely and thus may displace the native species, potentially also altering associated diversity and functioning (Beck et al., 2011; Ruesink et al., 2005; Smyth and Roberts, 2010; Zwerschke et al., in review-a).

To make better informed management decisions and to improve predictions of the impacts of invasive species it is important to understand how impacts of invasive species compare to similar (equivalent) native species. Although *O. edulis* and *C. gigas* co-occur occasionally, the composition of their associated communities is poorly understood. This study therefore examined differences in diversity and assemblage structure of benthic communities which developed over 12 months in association with the native oyster, *O. edulis*, and the non-native oyster, *C. gigas* to predict how the spread of *C. gigas* might affect species diversity in *O. edulis* communities. The underpinning aim was to test the hypothesis that seemingly morphologically similar species can be considered as functionally inter-changeable across different habitats (intertidal, subtidal) and at different orientations of attachment substratum (vertical and horizontal).

2. Methods

An experiment was conducted on a sheltered rocky shore at Ballyhenry Bay, Strangford Lough, Northern Ireland (54°29.945'N 5°35.294'W), where recently increasing wild populations of *C. gigas* have been found and local *O. edulis* populations are in decline (Kennedy and Roberts, 1999; Smyth et al., 2009). Experimental treatments were established in the intertidal and the adjacent subtidal habitat at 12 m depth. During the experiment, temperature was estimated with HOBO® Pendant® temperature loggers (Onset Computer Corporation, Bourne, USA) and ranged from $-1\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ to $34.5\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ (mean \pm S. D. = $11.48\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 3.57$) in the intertidal and from $6.5\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ to $15.1\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ ($11.01\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 2.48$) in the subtidal habitat.

2.1. Experimental design

The factorial experiment had three fixed factors: (i) oyster treatment (three levels: only *C. gigas* present, only *O. edulis* present, and both species present); (ii) habitat (two levels: intertidal and subtidal); and (iii) oyster orientation (two levels: vertical and horizontal). Each treatment was replicated five times and the experiment ran for 12 months from September 2013.

Populations of *C. gigas* and *O. edulis* are not sufficiently abundant at Strangford Lough to collect the required amount of oysters with similar morphological features without potentially impacting these populations. To ensure consistent bivalve morphology within experimental treatments, juvenile oysters were obtained from local hatcheries. Using juvenile *C. gigas* (mean length \pm S. D. = $14.10\text{ mm} \pm 0.15\text{ mm}$) and *O. edulis* ($15.10\text{ mm} \pm 0.18\text{ mm}$) also increased scope for settlement and growth of other associated species as more space for settlement was available (Dayton, 1971). The density of oysters was selected to mimic their presence in the field (Zwerschke et al., in review-b). To establish experimental treatments, juvenile oysters were fixed to perspex plates ($15 \times 15\text{ cm}$) using Milliput® (Dolgellau, UK) and Gorilla Super Glue® (Euxton, UK), to mimic hard bottom substratum which closely resembles natural conditions and provide a removable experimental unit (McGuinness, 1989). Each plate had ten

individual oysters, which were either, all *C. gigas*, or all *O. edulis*, or a mixture of five *C. gigas* and five *O. edulis* placed randomly on each plate. The use of experimental plates, rather than manipulating oyster abundance directly on bedrock, was unavoidable for sampling thus, care should be taken when interpreting results according to general methodological caveats (Kennelly, 1983; Lathlean and Minchinton, 2012; Nozawa et al., 2011). Although we did not have plates without any oysters attached to compare to natural rock we can compare directly for effects of experimental treatments within similar experimental conditions. The plates were placed inside cages (mesh size: 0.5 cm) to limit predation on juvenile oysters during the experiment. Experimental treatments were allocated randomly to plates. Orientation of substratum can affect epibiotic assemblages, which may be further altered by the presence of biogenic structure (Fraser et al., 2014; Glasby and Connell, 2001). Therefore intertidal plates were bolted directly on to boulders, randomly chosen in the mid to low intertidal zone, in a horizontal or vertical orientation, and all were at least 1 m apart. The subtidal plates were attached, either horizontally or vertically, to five steel frames ($180\text{ cm} \times 130\text{ cm} \times 50\text{ cm}$) placed on the seafloor. Each of the frames, which were 10 m apart, housed six plates. All plates were photographed immediately before the deconstruction of the experiment to account for the possible loss of any species during the disassembling process. Destructive samples were taken at the end of the experiment and all benthic taxa on each plate were identified to the highest taxonomic resolution practicable (Hayward and Ryland, 2008; Hayward et al., 2007; WoRMS, 2015). The abundance of all taxa was quantified by estimating %-cover on each plate.

2.2. Statistical analysis

Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA; Anderson, 2001; McArdle and Anderson, 2001) was used to test for differences in assemblage structure among experimental treatments. Multivariate analyses were based on Bray-Curtis similarity matrices calculated from un-transformed and fourth-root transformed data to distinguish between the effects of rare and dominant species (Clarke and Warwick, 2001) and were done with 9999 permutations of the residuals under a reduced model. The presence of *O. edulis* and *C. gigas* was not included as response variable for multivariate analyses to avoid confounding independent (manipulated) and dependent (response) variables (Huston, 1997). Analyses were carried out on all taxa initially and subsequently data were also classified into taxonomical groups (class, order) and re-analyzed, to test for general effects on functional diversity based on taxonomically distinct groups. A dummy species was added to each sample to correct for the erratic behavior of the Bray Curtis coefficient with zero inflated data (Clarke et al., 2006), because a number of species were found in low percentages. Similarity of Percentage (SIMPER) analysis was used to identify the most important taxa driving differences between significant treatments. A 50% cut-off was used for the cumulative dissimilarity between groups, because, thereafter, remaining taxa individually contributed to <2% of overall differences in assemblage structure.

Simpson's diversity index (C) was estimated, for all taxa, because this metric is less likely to over- or under-estimate diversity when samples have a low number of individuals (Lepretre and Mouillot, 1999). Simpson's diversity index was tested for normality, homogeneity of variance and collinearity of co-variables. Analysis of co-variance (ANCOVA) was used to test for differences among experimental treatments (oyster species treatment, habitat and orientation). A linear regression showed a significant increase of diversity with increasing percentage cover of oysters ($F_{1,54} = 10.63$, $R^2 = 0.17$, $P = 0.002$), thus total oyster percentage cover was added as a covariate in the analysis, to account for any differences in oyster cover which could affect diversity and could have been confounded in treatments (where different combinations of oysters grew faster during the experiment; Table 1). PERMANOVA was performed with PRIMER, version 6 (Clarke and Warwick, 2001) and all other data analyses were completed using R 3.1.2 (R Core Team, 2014).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4476317>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4476317>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)