Agricultural Water Management 174 (2016) 61–65

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Agricultural Water Management

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/agwat

# Economic evaluation of using surge valves in furrow irrigation of row crops in Louisiana: A net present value approach

Naveen Adusumilli[a,*], Stacia Davis[a], Dan Fromme[b]

[a] Louisiana State University Agricultural Center, Red River Research Station, Bossier City, LA 71112, United States
[b] Louisiana State University Agricultural Center, Dean Lee Research Station, Alexandria, LA 71602, United States

ARTICLE INFO

*Article history:*
Received 14 October 2015
Received in revised form 22 April 2016
Accepted 27 April 2016
Available online 4 May 2016

*Keywords:*
Irrigation
Efficiency
Net present value
Profitability
Surge irrigation

ABSTRACT

Addressing irrigation water efficiency continues to emerge as one of the potential solutions to minimize irrigation water use, improve water quality, and enhance soil health. Despite the clear importance of financial information in decision-making regarding adoption of irrigation tools, little information is available regarding the profitability outlook of such adoption. Lack of assessment of costs and returns could lead to producers resisting adoption citing profit reductions. Hence, a cost-assessment using the financial information is necessary to evaluate the tools' economic outlook. By using long-term projections of input prices, crop yield, and crop prices, this paper develops a financial analysis of irrigation using surge valves by calculating annual cash flows and net present value. The analysis is based on demonstration plot results and anecdotal estimates related to water savings and yield improvements in corn and soybeans in Louisiana and Mississippi. The positive estimates for net present value indicate that an investment in irrigation efficiency improvement is an economically sound choice. These estimates can enhance the adoption of irrigation-efficiency improvement practices by providing an initial understanding of the overall profitability independent of short-term management decisions.


## 1. Introduction

Gravity systems are the most common irrigation method in Louisiana. There are approximately 0.5 million hectares that receive water delivered through gravity systems, of which approximately half of those are irrigated through polypipe and the remaining half by open surface ditches and above surface pipes (USDA-FRIS, 2014). It is well documented that irrigation water application efficiency is relatively lower in furrow irrigation (Amosson et al., 2011) compared to other application methods such as center pivots/linear systems and drip irrigation. Conversion to one of the more efficient systems is an option; however, such a change often involves substantial upfront costs. Improving irrigation efficiency[1] using new technologies is becoming an important aspect of gravity systems. Any improvements in water management provide a diversity of benefits, not just to food production (Knox et al., 2010). Agriculture sits at the interface between the environments and society. Improving irrigation efficiency could mean saving water and promoting environmental sustainability.

Irrigation using surge valves in furrow irrigation of row crops is the focus of the analysis in this paper. A surge valve is used in furrow irrigation to run water down the field with on and off cycles of water delivered at the head of the furrow (Izuno and Podmore, 1986; Schaible and Aillery, 2012). Surge valves have been proven to improve irrigation water use efficiency in gravity systems (Horst et al., 2007; Shock et al., 1997). The method of irrigation has demonstrated its merits by reducing irrigation time, increasing infiltration uniformity (Podmore and Duke, 1982), and reducing nutrient loss to runoff from agricultural fields (Evans et al., 1995). On-farm demonstration of irrigation using surge valves in the Lower Rio Grande Valley has realized water savings as high as 50% in sugarcane and around 25% in cotton and corn compared to continuous irrigation (TexasAWE, 2013). Research comparing surge to continuous watering in furrow irrigation in fine loam soils has shown water savings in the range of 40–50% (Mitchell and Stevenson, 1993). Another research has shown water savings in the range of 20–30% (Varlev et al., 1998). Better soil moisture distribution along the furrow is one of the principal advantages claimed for irrigation using surge valves (Purkey and Wallender, 1988). Higher water application uniformity provides better soil nutrient distribution and consequently leads to higher crop yields (Pang et al., 1997). In a recent

* Corresponding author.
*E-mail addresses:* nadusumilli@agcenter.lsu.edu (N. Adusumilli), sdavis@agcenter.lsu.edu (S. Davis), dfromme@agcenter.lsu.edu (D. Fromme).

[1] One of the challenges in demonstration plot research is the issue of farmers imitating extension agents' practices and timing of production practices. Such imitation could lead to minimal differences in irrigation water use, irrigation timing, and crop yields.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2016.04.024
0378-3774/

demonstration study in Mississippi, the use of irrigation using surge valves in corn produced a seven percent increase in yield coupled with a nine percent increase in returns (Krutz, 2014). The increase in returns accounts mostly from a reduction in irrigation costs, i.e., energy costs and irrigation labor costs. In Louisiana, a corn demonstration plot produced an 8.2 kg per hectare increase in yield with irrigation using surge valves compared to continuous irrigation (Burns, 2014). Studies have produced yield increases in the range of 29–40% in cotton under irrigation using surge valves compared to continuous flow furrow irrigation (Ünlü et al., 2007). The literature strongly suggests that irrigation using surge valves has its benefits in terms of reduction in irrigation water use, reduction in energy use, an increase in crop yields, and increase in net crop returns.

Despite the extensive amount of literature on irrigation efficiency, there has been a minimal effort to disseminate results from relevant research to help farmers make a sound economic assessment. Most farmer's concept of water efficiency improvements is linked to maximizing net returns, i.e., a financial view to water use. Using financial criteria for evaluating water efficiency appears to be a reasonable approach. The results are expected to provide a profitability outlook of irrigation using surge valves in the major row crops that are produced in Louisiana with an expectation that the results could be easily extended to other states in the region.

## 2. Materials and methods

The cropping system considered for analysis is a corn-soybean rotation on a 32-ha farm. This production system is the most common rotation pattern across Louisiana for corn and soybeans. Both crops are widely grown across the state and are mostly irrigated. Corn and soybean production area in 2014 was 160,000 and 566, 560 ha, respectively (USDA-FSA, 2014). The production area of these crops has steadily increased over the years across the state. Gross farm value of corn and soybeans in 2014 is valued at $298 million and $988 million, respectively (Westra and Nui, 2015).

For the financial analysis, Net Present Value (NPV) is used to determine the overall profitability of using surge valves for irrigation. NPV is commonly used to make agriculture decisions, especially when making first-time investment decisions. NPV, a long-term financial tool helps an individual or business decide whether to make an investment. Below is the mathematical representation of NPV calculation

$$NPV = -C_0 + \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{C_t}{(1+r)^t} \quad (1)$$

where,

$-C_0$ = *Initial investment*; in US Dollars ($)

$C_i$ = *Cash flow in year t*; in US Dollars ($)

$r$ = *Discount rate*;

$t$ = *Time period*; in years

$NPV$ = *Net present value*; in US Dollars ($).

The first step in NPV calculation is to determine annual cash flow over a given period. For the analysis, a period of 10 years is used, beginning in the year 2015 and extending to the year 2024. To determine cash flow, net crop returns are estimated taking into account all crop-related expenses in the form of direct expenditures and crop revenues. Production cost values were obtained from the most recent Louisiana crop budgets published by Louisiana State University Agricultural Center (Deliberto et al., 2015a,b). Other cost categories, such as cost estimates for a surge valve and a controller are obtained from local irrigation dealers. Two surge valves and two controllers are assumed necessary for the 32-ha farm.[2] Initial cost, life, salvage value, depreciation, and taxes are accounted to estimate the per hectare cost of surge valves and controllers. The cost estimates for surge valves and controllers were obtained from Natural Resources Conservation Services' most recent cost document and as well as from local irrigation equipment dealers. The annual costs of adding two surge valves and controllers were $19 per hectare.

After determining the costs, the next step is to account for any savings because of using surge valves for irrigation. The Riparian Doctrine of water rights in Louisiana empowers the owner to use surface and ground water, the cost to use any such water is limited to the energy used to withdraw and apply the water to the field. Hence, water savings from irrigation using surge valves are converted to energy savings using Natural Resource Conservation Service's Irrigation Energy Cost Estimator (USDA-NRCS, 2012). Soybeans in the south require about 8.0–9.0 in. of irrigation water during their growing period (Heatherly, 2014; Kebede et al., 2014). Similarly, corn requires 13 inches of irrigation water during its growing period (Kebede et al., 2014). Accounting for the efficiency of furrow irrigation systems around 50% (Amosson et al., 2011), 16 and 26 in. of water needs to be pumped to deliver the necessary irrigation water required for soybeans and corn, respectively. On-farm demonstrations in the Mississippi delta region have shown water savings in row crops from using surge valves in furrow irrigation. Irrigation water savings were 50% in mixed to heavy soil types, whereas 40% in water savings in silt loam soils (Krutz, 2014). Water savings from surge valves in furrow irrigation in Northeast Louisiana is expected to be in the same range, mostly due to the fact that it the soils and crop production practices are similar to those in the delta region of the Mississippi state. As a result, water saving estimates in the range of 25% were used for the economic analysis, indicating that water savings from using surge valves account to approximately 4.0 and 6.5 in. for soybeans and corn, respectively.[3] These water saving estimates are used to generate energy savings.

The savings in energy costs are accounted during estimation of net returns. The cost savings are converted to current prices using consumer price index. Net annual crop returns are then estimated taking into account the most recent yield estimates and crop price received and all the related production costs. Change in input prices, crop yield, and crop prices from year to year is captured through crop indices reported by Food and Agricultural Policy Research Institute (Westhoff, 2015). The indices are estimates of baseline long-run projections for the U.S. agricultural sector. The most recent report provides projections up to the year 2024.

To determine discounted cash-flow (i.e., converting future annual crop net returns to present value terms), a discount rate of 5% is used for the analysis. The present values are aggregated to obtain the NPV estimate, which provides an indication of the overall farm profitability of adopting surge valves for irrigation. Finally, based on whether the investment needed to purchase surge valves and controllers is borrowed, a payment schedule is calculated for the time-period considered for the analysis. The payment

[2] Agricultural extension agents in Louisiana are promoting moving surge valves around the farm as a cost-saving measure. In other words, large farms can use one or two surge valves because these valves could be detached and connected to the other irrigation well risers across the farm.

[3] Demonstration plot research in the previous two years was affected by untimely rains followed by moderate drought, which affected proper measurement of water savings from surge irrigation. The water savings reported in this paper represent an average across demonstration plots, which are expected to provide a general understanding of potential water savings. Although the estimates reflect averages, the water savings realized are in the range that has been recorded on farms in Louisiana, Mississippi, and Arkansas. Moreover, there was no significant difference in water savings across demonstration plots.