

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/watres

Phosphorus in soil treatment systems: Accumulation and mobility





David Eveborn ^{a,b,*}, Jon Petter Gustafsson ^{a,c}, Elin Elmefors ^b, Lin Yu ^d, Ann-Kristin Eriksson ^c, Emelie Ljung ^b, Gunno Renman ^a

^a Division of Land and Water Resources Engineering, Royal Institute of Technology, Teknikringen 76, SE-100 44 Stockholm, Sweden

^b JTI — Swedish Institute of Agricultural and Environmental Engineering, Box 7033, S-750 07 Uppsala, Sweden

^c Department of Soil and Environment, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Box 7014, S-750 07

Uppsala, Sweden

^d Centre for Environmental and Climate Research (CEC), Lund University, SE-22362 Lund, Sweden

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 1 February 2014 Received in revised form 19 June 2014 Accepted 23 June 2014 Available online 2 July 2014

Keywords: On-site wastewater treatment Septic system Phosphorus leakage Column studies Removal mechanisms X-ray absorption spectroscopy

ABSTRACT

Septic tanks with subsequent soil treatment systems (STS) are a common treatment technique for domestic wastewater in rural areas. Phosphorus (P) leakage from such systems may pose a risk to water quality (especially if they are located relatively close to surface waters). In this study, six STS in Sweden (11-28 years old) were examined. Samples taken from the unsaturated subsoil beneath the distribution pipes were investigated by means of batch and column experiments, and accumulated phosphorus were characterized through X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) analysis. At all sites the wastewater had clearly influenced the soil. This was observed through decreased pH, increased amounts of oxalate extractable metals and at some sites altered P sorption properties. The amount of accumulated P in the STS were found to be between 0.32 and 0.87 kg m^{-3} , which in most cases was just a fraction of the estimated P load (<30%). Column studies revealed that high P concentrations (up to 6 mg L⁻¹) were leached from the material when deionized water was applied. However, the response to deionized water varied between the sites. As evidenced by XANES analysis, aluminium phosphates or P adsorbed to aluminium (hydr)oxides, as well as organically bound P, were important sinks for P. Generally soils with a high content of oxalate-extractable Al were also less vulnerable to P leakage.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Phosphorus (P) discharge from anthropogenic sources is a crucial factor for eutrophication of many inland aquatic systems worldwide (Smith, 2003). In most areas, agricultural

activities are believed to account for the majority of the P discharge on an annual basis (e. g. Smith et al., 2005; Brandt et al., 2009). The contribution from onsite wastewater treatment systems (OWTs) is smaller but they can still be a relevant P source, especially in areas such as the Baltic sea region

^{*} Corresponding author. Division of Land and Water Resources Engineering, Royal Institute of Technology, Teknikringen 76, SE-100 44 Stockholm, Sweden. Tel.: +46 8 790 73 28.

E-mail addresses: eveborn@kth.se, david.eveborn@jti.se (D. Eveborn).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.06.034

^{0043-1354/© 2014} Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

where the reduction of P loads is of high priority (Boesch et al., 2006; Wulff et al., 2007).

Among OWTs, the use of septic tanks with subsequent soil treatment systems (STS) is the most predominant treatment technique for domestic wastewater. The use of STS is extensive in rural parts of Australia, North America, Canada and parts of Europe (Butler and Payne, 1995; USEPA, 2002; Beal et al., 2005; Weiss et al., 2008; Gill, 2011; Motz et al., 2012). In STS, the unsaturated subsoil beneath the soil trench and above the water table can be defined as the overall treatment system (Gill et al., 2009). In clay soils (which are not suitable for infiltration) the STS can be constructed using imported sand. The wastewater then has to be drained out at the bottom of the system and piped to a surface recipient.

Phosphorus removal in STS is attributed to chemical precipitation and sorption processes in the soil matrix. Formation of Al(III) and Fe(III) (hydr)oxide surface complexes or precipitation of Al(III), Fe(III) and/or Ca phosphates are all possible attenuation mechanisms (Robertson, 2003; Eveborn et al., 2012). In addition Fe(II) precipitates may form at low redox potential (Zanini et al., 1998).

From a recipient perspective it has been shown that OWT systems can be a significant factor for the P status of freshwaters under certain conditions (Macintosh et al., 2011; Withers et al., 2011); these authors suggested that the observed impacts are attributed to poor design or insufficient maintenance of the treatment systems rather than general leakage. However, in the scientific literature there has been observations of both high, variable and low P removal (e.g. Carroll et al., 2006; Lowe and Siegrist, 2008; Robertson, 2008; Eveborn et al., 2012; Robertson, 2012).

As support within management of decentralized wastewater sources, knowledge regarding long term P removal in STS and the P immobilization/mobilization mechanisms involved is important. Eveborn et al. (2012) used a mass balance approach to assess the P removal capacity of the unsaturated subsoil in a Swedish STS. The study gave evidence for a very poor P removal (~12%), but was limited to four sites with comparably high P loads. The aim of this study was to explore the validity of the results by performing additional (simplified) mass balance calculations and investigate both accumulation and mobility of P in the unsaturated subsoil of old STS. Specific aims were to:

- 1. Investigate the overall removal capacity in the unsaturated subsoil of the systems by calculating the amount of accumulated P.
- Study the P leaching and P removal potential of soil materials from STS through pilot scale column experiments with reconstructed bed profiles.
- Investigate the mechanisms behind the observed P retention and P release by evaluation of data from batch experiments and physical/chemical characterization (including X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) measurements).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Investigated sites

Six STS located in various parts of Sweden were investigated: Tullingsås (Tu) near Östersund N 63° 49.17', E 15° 31.09', Biverud/Glanshammar (Gl) near Örebro N 59° 19.95', E 15° 27.90', Knivingaryd (Kn) near Nybro N 56° 54.45', E 15° 57.44', Luvehult (Lu) near Nybro N 56° 52.59', E 16° 6.95', Ringamåla (Ri) near Karlshamn N 56° 21.94', E 14° 44.26' and Halahult (Ha) near Karlshamn N 56° 14.05', E 14° 58.06'. Among these sites Lu and Gl were traditional single-household systems whereas the other ones served between 40 and about 200 persons each (Table 1). The age of the sites varied between 11 and 28 years, the hydraulic load was between 0.9 and 33 cm d⁻¹ and the estimated P load was between 30 and 540 g m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Table 1). At the Kn site a lined pond (open to the air) was used as a pre-

Table 1 – Description of studied soil treatment systems.					
Tu	Gl	Lu	Kn	Ri	На
225	5	5	75	150	100
n.a.	6	4	40	n.a.	n.a.
2 x 196	30	50	80	2 x 160	2 x 50
33	2.2	0.9	25	n.a.	30
370 [°]	80 ^b	30 ^b	200 ^b	n.a.	540 ^c
18	20	23	11	28	24
Septic tank	Septic tank	Septic tank	Lined pond	Septic tank	Septic tank
Gravity fed, open	Gravity fed,	Gravity fed,	Pump fed,	Pump fed,	Pump fed,
surface distribution	drain field	drain field	drain field	drain field	open surface
					distribution
>1	>1	>1	0.9	0.8	0.9
Drained to	Ground-water	Ground-water	Ground-water	Drained to	Drained
surface water				surface water	to surface water
	f studied soil treatm Tu 225 n.a. 2 x 196 33 370° 18 Septic tank Gravity fed, open surface distribution >1 Drained to surface water	f studied soil treatment systems.TuGl2255n.a.62 x 19630332.2370°80°1820Septic tankSeptic tankGravity fed, openGravity fed,surface distributiondrain field>1>1Drained toGround-water	f studied soil treatment systems.TuGlLu22555n.a.642 x 1963050332.20.9370°80°30°182023Septic tankSeptic tankSeptic tankGravity fed, openGravity fed, drain fieldGravity fed, drain field>1>1>1Drained to surface waterGround-waterGround-water	f studied soil treatment systems.TuGlLuKn2255575n.a.64402 x 196305080332.20.925370°80°200°1118202311Septic tankSeptic tankSeptic tankLined pondGravity fed, openGravity fed,Gravity fed,Pump fed,surface distributiondrain fielddrain fielddrain field>1>1>10.9Drained to surface waterGround-waterGround-water	f studied soil treatment systems.TuGlLuKnRi2255575150n.a.6440n.a.2 x 1963050802 x 160332.20.925n.a.370°80°200°n.a.1820231128Septic tankSeptic tankSeptic tankLined pondGravity fed, openGravity fed, drain fieldGravity fed, drain fieldPump fed, drain field>1>1>10.90.8Drained to surface waterGround-waterGround-waterBrained to surface water

^a Based on annual mean flows and active infiltration areas (where several beds are shifted). Mean flows for the sites Gl, Lu and Kn have been calculated based on a water usage equivalent to 180 L person⁻¹ d⁻¹ and 60% home attendance. Mean flows at other sites taken from Bylund (2003).

^b An estimation based on mean flows (as described above), total infiltration area and a 10 mg L⁻¹ P concentration in the wastewater (Jönsson et al., 2005).

^c Calculated from a dataset of ~50 inflow and P concentration measurements (Bylund, 2003) and total infiltration area.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4481428

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4481428

Daneshyari.com