

## REVISTA BRASILEIRA DE Entomologia A Journal on Insect Diversity and Evolution www.sbe.ufpr.br/



# Spatial and temporal dynamics of drosophilid larval assemblages associated to fruits

### Renata Alves da Mata, Henrique Valadão, Rosana Tidon\*

Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Campus Darcy Ribeiro, Universidade de Brasília, Brasília, DF, Brazil

A	R	Т	I	С	L	E	I	Ν	F	0	

#### ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 31 August 2014 Accepted 11 November 2014 Associate Editor: Rodrigo F. Krüger

Keywords: Diptera; Diversity Drosophila Drosophilidae Neotropical The study of organisms and their resources is critical to further understanding population dynamics in space and time. Although drosophilids have been widely used as biological models, their relationship with breeding and feeding sites has received little attention. Here, we investigate drosophilids breeding in fruits in the Brazilian Savanna, in two contrasting vegetation types, throughout 16 months. Specifically, larval assemblages were compared between savannas and forests, as well as between rainy and dry seasons. The relationships between resource availability and drosophilid abundance and richness were also tested. The community (4,022 drosophilids of 23 species and 2,496 fruits of 57 plant taxa) varied widely in space and time. Drosophilid assemblages experienced a strong bottleneck during the dry season, decreasing to only 0.5% of the abundance of the rainy season. Additionally, savannas displayed lower richness and higher abundance than the forests, and were dominated by exotic species. Both differences in larval assemblages throughout the year and between savannas and gallery forests are consistent with those previously seen in adults. Although the causes of this dynamic are clearly multifactorial, resource availability (richness and abundance of rotten fruits) was a good predictor of the fly assemblage structure.

© 2015 Sociedade Brasileira de Entomologia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

#### Introduction

The family Drosophilidae, which includes the genus *Drosophila*, has a cosmopolitan distribution and includes about 4,000 species (Brake and Bächli, 2008). These small flies are abundant in various types of environments, are easily manipulated, have a short life cycle, and produce a large number of offspring (Markow and O'Grady, 2008). For these reasons, some species of this family have long been used as biological models, mainly in Genetics and Molecular Biology (Brookes, 2001; Clark et al., 2007). The relationships between these organisms and their environment, however, are relatively less understood; this is unfortunate, because "surveying *Drosophila* breeding site distribution can provide the basis for testing many evolutionary ecological hypotheses" (Markow and O'Grady, 2008).

There are currently 128 nominal species of the family Drosophilidae recorded in the Brazilian savanna (Blaunch and Gottschalk, 2007; Chaves and Tidon, 2008; Mata et al., 2008; Roque and Tidon, 2008; Roque and Tidon, 2013; Valadão et al., 2010), locally known as Cerrado (see methods). The drosophilids of this biome have been

\*Corresponding author.

systematically studied for 15 years by capturing adults with traps, thereby generating much information toward the understanding of the organization of these insects' communities (Mata and Tidon, 2013; Roque et al., 2013; Tidon, 2006). The large, natural heterogeneity of the Cerrado is expressed in the drosophilid assemblages at both the spatial and temporal dimensions.

For example, assemblages from forest and savannas are quite different, each one having a particular set of characteristic species. The drosophilid assemblages of the gallery forests are richer in species, mainly the rare species, than those of the savannas. Indeed, the majority of rare species is exclusively from or prefers forests. The abundance of flies, on the other hand, is typically higher in the savannas, which are dominated by exotic and widespread species. During the rainy season, when many plant species produce and disperse fleshy fruits (Oliveira, 1998), the richness and abundance of flies are high. On the other hand, in the dry season the populations of these flies suffer strong bottlenecks, and many species are no longer captured (Mata and Tidon, 2013; Roque et al., 2013; Tidon, 2006). However, as the niches of immature and adult flies differ greatly during their life cycles (Powell, 1997), it is unknown if the results obtained from adults apply to the immature stages.

Drosophilids breed on different types of substrata, including fungi, flowers, leaves, and even animal carcases (Carson, 1971). The few

E-mail: rotidon@pq.cnpq.br (R. Tidon).

studies focusing on larvae assemblages in the Brazilian savanna, however, have indicated that drosophilids are associated more with fruits. These flies were found especially breeding in wolf apples (*Solanum lycocarpum* A.St.-Hil., Solanaceae) (Leão and Tidon, 2004), moriche palms (*Mauritia flexuosa* L.f., Arecaceae) (Valadão et al., 2010), and *Emmotum nitens* (Benth.) Miers (Icacinaceae) (Roque et al., 2009). Although these studies have revealed some aspects of the population dynamics of the larvae, they were episodic and focused on only a few focal plant species.

The aim of this study was to investigate the drosophilid larval assemblages in fruits of two contrasting vegetations of the Cerrado, savanna (cerrado sensu stricto) and gallery forests, across four protected areas in the Central region of Brazil, over 16 months. Based on previous adult drosophilid data, we tested six predictions derived from comparisons between dry and rainy season and between savanna and gallery forest: (1) the abundance of drosophilid larvae is higher in the rainy season; (2) the richness of drosophilid species is higher in the rainy season; (3) the fluctuation of drosophilid abundance and richness is associated with fluctuation in resource availability throughout seasons; (4) the abundance of drosophilid larvae is higher in the savanna; (5) drosophilid richness is higher in forests, and (6) the relative abundance of exotic species is higher in the savanna. Besides the original conclusions obtained from these predictions, they also allowed the determination of whether the patterns of larvae assemblages are consistent with those found in adult assemblages.

#### Material and methods

#### Area of study

This study was conducted in four protected areas of the Distrito Federal, Brazil: Estação Ecológica de Águas Emendadas - ESECAE (15°34'26" S, 47°34'58" W), Parque Nacional de Brasília - PNB (15°43'56" S, 47°55'53" W), Jardim Botânico de Brasília - JBB (15°52'42" S, 47°50'17" W), and Reserva Ecológica do IBGE (15°56'31" S, 47°52'41" W). In each protected area, two gallery forest areas and two savanna areas (*cerrado sensu stricto*), approximately 400 m<sup>2</sup> each and at least 200 m away from each other, were sampled monthly between October 2010 and January 2012.

The landscape of the Cerrado is a mosaic of vegetation types, ranging from grasslands and savannas to forests (Ratter et al., 1997). The savanna vegetation shows highly variable structure on the welldrained interfluves, while gallery forests, or other wetland vegetation follow the watercourses (Oliveira and Marquis, 2002). There is a predominance of a savanna vegetation type, called *cerrado sensu stricto*, which harbours a unique array of drought- and fire-adapted plant species. Therefore, most gallery forests are embedded in an open vegetation matrix, and the transition between them is usually sharp.

The Cerrado climate is highly seasonal, characterized by a well-defined dry season from May to September. The average annual rainfall is 1500 mm, but the rains are heavily concentrated between November and March (Eiten, 1972). The Cerrado is, therefore, a very heterogeneous biome due to the interacting effects of seasonality, topography, and edaphic features, as well as climate fluctuations during the Quaternary and human disturbance (Oliveira and Marquis, 2002). This system combines a set of ecological and historical contexts of special interest to those studying the complexities of tropical communities.

#### Data collection

During each collection event, two collectors searched for 30 minutes for fallen fruits on the ground in each area, for a sampling effort total of eight hours each month, and 128 hours throughout the study

for each collector. On these occasions, fruits of various species were collected, intact or partially degraded in different stages of decay. No more than 50 fruits from each plant species were collected in each area/day, but they rarely found more than this amount of fruit. As each fruit represents a portion of the entire resource (a species of fruit) and the larvae in each fruit is not able to disperse to other fruits, each fruit was considered a fragment of the resource. Fruits were identified using field guides (Silva-Júnior, 2005; Silva-Júnior and Pereira, 2009; Kuhlmann, 2012), and in some cases a specialist was consulted. In the laboratory, the fruits were weighed and stored individually in plastic containers with vermiculite moistened with a solution of Nipagin®, an inhibitor of filamentous fungi. These containers were covered with a thin, translucent piece of cloth to retain the adults that emerged from the fruit. All fruits were stored at a constant temperature (25 °C). The adults that emerged from the fruits were removed every other day and stored in microtubules with 70% alcohol. The identification of the flies was based on taxonomic keys (Burla and Pavan, 1953; Freire-Maia and Pavan, 1949), descriptions (Chassagnard and Tsacas, 1993), and on the male terminalia in the case of cryptic species (Vilela and Bächli, 1990). Voucher specimens were deposited in the drosophilid collection of the Laboratório de Biologia Evolutiva of the Instituto de Ciências Biológicas of the Universidade de Brasília. The authorship of drosophilid species can be found at TaxoDros (Bächli, 2014).

#### Analyses

Comparisons of abundance and richness between the two seasons were performed visually, using graphs and tables. Statistical analysis was not used at this stage because the sample sizes were extremely low in the dry season months. To quantify and test the associations between resource availability and fly community, Pearson's correlations were analysed in four contexts: (1)  $\text{Log}_{10}$  of the fruit relative abundances x  $\text{Log}_{10}$  of the drosophilid relative abundances; (2)  $\text{Log}_{10}$  of the fruit relative abundances x  $\text{Log}_{10}$  of the drosophilid species richness; (3)  $\text{Log}_{10}$  of the fruit relative weights x  $\text{Log}_{10}$  of the drosophilid relative abundances, and (4)  $\text{Log}_{10}$  of the relative fruit weights x  $\text{Log}_{10}$  of drosophilid richness. The variables evaluated were pooled for each month, standardized by the total (with the exception of Drosophilidae richness), and  $\text{log}_{10}$  transformed to minimise the drastic differences between months and, consequently, maximize the detection of associations between variables.

To test for differences in fly richness between the two habitats, individual-based rarefaction curves were made for the forests and savannas, with individual fragments of fruit considered the sampling unit (EstimateS 9.0; Colwell, 2013). In addition, rank-abundance plots were obtained for forests and savanna species to investigate the species abundance distribution pattern in these environments.

Each month, the relative abundance of exotic and neotropical species in the two environments was compared with a chi-square test. The Bonferroni correction was used to prevent the spread of Type 1 error. To adjust the data to the test, these comparisons were made only for months when drosophilids were present in both habitats (total abundance = 1).

The drosophilid species (individually) were standardised and fourth-root transformed, in order to minimise the large differences in the number of drosophilid specimens among samples (fruit species) and to weight the rare species. Next, a similarity matrix between samples, based on the Bray-Curtis index, was calculated. Then, this matrix was submitted to the permutation multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) (Anderson, 2001; Anderson et al., 2008) to test for significant differences in the structure of drosophilid assemblages between forests and savannas.

The principal coordinates analysis (PCO) ordination was used to illustrate the dissimilarity relationships of the drosophilid assemblages of fruit species, classified according to the habitats where Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4501682

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4501682

Daneshyari.com