



Inhibition of photosystem II activities in soybean (*Glycine max*) genotypes differing in chilling sensitivity

G.H.J. Krüger^{a,*}, M.F. De Villiers^a, A.J. Strauss^{a,2}, M. de Beer^a, P.D.R. van Heerden^{a,3}, R. Maldonado^d, R.J. Strasser^{a,b,c}

^a Unit for Environmental Sciences and Management: North–West University, Potchefstroom 2520, South Africa

^b Laboratory of Bioenergetics, University of Geneva, CH-1254, Switzerland

^c Weed Research Laboratory, Agricultural University Nanjing, China

^d MediaSoft Web Solutions, 112 Rue de Lyon, 1203 Geneva, Switzerland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 December 2013

Received in revised form 3 June 2014

Accepted 13 July 2014

Available online 19 September 2014

Edited by AK Cowan

Keywords:

Chilling tolerance

Chlorophyll a fluorescence

Dark chilling

JIP-test

Oxygen evolution complex

Cooperativity

Grouping

Soybean

ABSTRACT

Due to chilling sensitivity, minimum night temperatures represent the main constraint in soybean production in South Africa. In vivo quantification of photosystem II (PSII) function by direct chlorophyll fluorescence revealed that dark chilling (8°) inhibited PSII function in the extreme chill sensitive genotype, Java 29 (JAs) mainly by deactivating reaction centers and inhibiting the conversion of excitation energy to electron transport and electron transfer from reduced plastoquinone to the PSI end electron acceptors. Further analysis of the normalized fast fluorescence transients, revealed that in JAs, upon dark chilling, disengagement of the oxygen evolution complex (ΔV_K band) occurred which coincided with a concomitant decrease in O_2 evolution measured in vitro. The chilling resistant Maple Arrow (MAR), though one night cold stress lead to a decrease in fluorescence emission at 2 ms ($-\Delta V_J$ band) indicating a decrease in the Q_A^- concentration due to cold-induced slow-down of electron donation from P_{680} , however showed clear signs of recovery after the second and third cold nights. The moderate chill sensitive genotype, Fiskeby V (FBm) responded in a fashion intermediate to above-mentioned extremes. A second experiment showed that in JAs the inhibitory effect increased with increasing time of exposure to light following dark chilling. Our data demonstrated that significant differences exist in the cold tolerance of different soybean genotypes: (a) In respect to activity criteria, expressed by the quantum yields for primary photochemistry $\varphi_{Po} = TR_o/ABS$, for electron transport from photosystem II to photosystem I as $\varphi_{Eo} = ET_o/ABS$ and the efficiency, $\varphi_{Ro} = RE_o/ABS$, to reduce the end electron acceptors of photosystem I up to NADP; (b) In respect to stability criteria, dependent on structure and conformation, such as the capability of energetic cooperativity (grouping) among photosynthetic units quantified by the grouping probability for exciton movements within the energetically connected group of entire photosynthetic units. Therefore analyzing the O–J–I–P fluorescence transient according to the JIP-test offers a practical and sensitive in vivo screening test for dark chilling tolerance in soybean.

© 2014 SAAB. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Soybean (*Glycine max* L Merr.) is a crucial source of food for both humans and animals. In South Africa, the demand for soybean oil cake exceeded the supply recently, creating the incentive for increased production (Smit, 1998). However, due to high altitude, in soybean producing areas of South Africa the daily minimum temperature is critically

low. Since soybean is chilling sensitive, with growth, development and yield being affected negatively at temperatures below 15 °C (Gass et al., 1996), chilling stress proves to be the most important constraint in soybean production in South Africa. McKersie and Leshem (1994) showed that even a brief chilling event could lead to symptoms gradually appearing after a plant has been returned to optimal growing conditions.

Chilling stress is known to limit a wide range of physiological processes in soybean, including photosynthesis (Allen and Ort, 2001; Caulfield and Bunce, 1988; Strauss et al., 2007; Van Heerden and Krüger, 2002). The temperature sensitivity of photosynthesis is dependent on both plant species and time of exposure to the stress temperature regime. Lundmark et al. (1988) and Nie et al. (1992) pointed out that inhibition of photosynthesis by low temperature cannot fully be accounted for by stomatal limitations under light saturating conditions.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: gert.kruger@nwu.ac.za (G.H.J. Krüger).

¹ School of Biological Sciences, North–West University, Potchefstroom 2520, South Africa. Fax: +27 18 299 2370.

² Current address: Botany Department, Rhodes University, Grahamstown, 6140, South Africa.

³ Current address: South African Sugarcane Research Institute, Mount Edgecombe 4300, South Africa.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4520640>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4520640>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)