Aquatic Botany 93 (2010) 135–139

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Aquatic Botany

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/aquabot

Short communication

Molecular phylogenetics and taxonomic reassessment of four Indian representatives of the genus *Nymphaea*

Jeremy Dkhar[a], Suman Kumaria[a,*], Satyawada Rama Rao[b], Pramod Tandon[a]

[a] *Plant Biotechnology Laboratory, Centre for Advanced Studies in Botany, North Eastern Hill University, Shillong 793022, Meghalaya, India*
[b] *Department of Biotechnology and Bioinformatics, North Eastern Hill University, Shillong 793022, India*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 9 December 2009
Received in revised form 22 March 2010
Accepted 22 March 2010
Available online 27 March 2010

Keywords:
Phylogenetic relationship
Taxonomic revision
ITS
matK
trnK intron
Bayesian inference
Maximum parsimony

ABSTRACT

Because the classification of *Nymphaea* in India has been reported to be confusing, molecular taxonomic revision of four Indian representatives of the genus namely *N. nouchali*, *N. pubescens*, *N. rubra* and *N. tetragona* based on ITS, *trnK* intron and *matK* gene is presented and discussed. Molecular evidence provided here is in disagreement about the taxonomic identity of one specimen of *N. nouchali* and indicated a probable misidentification of *N. tetragona*. Interestingly, sequence analysis revealed lack of or low sequence divergence between *N. pubescens* and *N. rubra*. Phylogenetic relationship among members of *Nymphaea* subg. *Lotos*, represented by all known species viz. *N. lotus*, *N. petersiana*, *N. pubescens* and *N. rubra* was also conducted. Maximum parsimony analysis of the combined data matrix depicted two clades with *N. petersiana* and *N. lotus* forming one, *N. pubescens* and *N. rubra* representing the other. Bayesian inference showed *N. petersiana* as first branching, followed by *N. lotus* with *N. pubescens* and *N. rubra* emerging as a separate clade. The results indicated no close association between *N. petersiana* and *N. nouchali*, thereby, contradicting the morphology-based treatment of placing *N. petersiana* in synonymy under *N. capensis* and *N. nouchali*, respectively.

1. Introduction

Nymphaea is the most diverse genus in the order Nymphaeales and is well represented globally. The genus, comprising 45–50 species, has been classified into five subgenera viz. *Anecphya*, *Brachyceras*, *Hydrocallis*, *Lotos* and *Nymphaea* with each subgenus exhibiting distinct distribution. In India, ten species of *Nymphaea*, both wild (*N. alba*, *N. candida*, *N. nouchali*, *N. pubescens*, *N. rubra* and *N. tetragona*) and cultivated (*N. caerulea*, *N.* × *marliacea*, *N. micrantha* and *N. alba* var. *rubra*), are reported (Mitra, 1990). *N. alba* and *N. candida* are restricted to the state of Jammu and Kashmir whereas *N. tetragona* is confined to the state of Meghalaya. However, the classification of *Nymphaea* in India has been reported to be confusing with some names inaccurately used (Cook, 1996).

Borsch et al. (2007) conducted the first molecular phylogenetic analysis of *Nymphaea* based on chloroplast *trnT-trnF* region. In their study, three well-supported major lineages within *Nymphaea* are resolved with subg. *Nymphaea* emerging as the first branch followed by a clade comprising subg. *Hydrocallis* and subg. *Lotos*, and another clade comprising subg. *Anecphya* and *Brachyceras*. *Nymphaea* subg. *Lotos* is the smallest among all five subgenera of *Nymphaea*, consisting of four species namely *N. pubescens*, *N. lotus*, *N. rubra* and the recently added *N. petersiana*. The *trnT-trnF* based study of Borsch et al. (2007) depicts *N. pubescens* as sister to *N. lotus* and provides a clear distinction between these two species. The inclusion of *N. petersiana*, earlier treated in synonymy under *N. capensis* and *N. nouchali* (Conard, 1905; Verdcourt, 1989), revealed a close relationship between this taxon and members of subg. *Lotos*. However, genetic relatedness among these species is yet to be investigated. Furthermore, *N. rubra* was not included in any of the previous studies and its relationship with other members of the group is still unclear.

The main aim of the study is to reassess the taxonomical identity of four Indian wild species namely *N. nouchali*, *N. pubescens*, *N. rubra* and *N. tetragona* by adopting a phylogenetic-based approach. We also intend to establish the interspecific relationship among members of *N.* subg. *Lotos* and confirm the close association between *N. petersiana* and this subgenus with the inclusion of *N. nouchali*.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant material and taxon sampling

Exploratory trips were conducted to survey and collect plants of the genus *Nymphaea* from the states of Meghalaya and Assam (North-East India). Out of ten species reported from India, seven

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 364 272 2210; fax: +91 364 255 0150.
E-mail address: sumankhatrikumaria@hotmail.com (S. Kumaria).

0304-3770/$ – see front matter © 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
doi:10.1016/j.aquabot.2010.03.010

Table 1
Specimen voucher, place of collection and GenBank accession numbers of deposited sequences of all the four Indian *Nymphaea* species investigated. Sequence data retrieved from GenBank are also listed.

Species	Specimen voucher[a]	Place of collection	GenBank Accession no.	
			trnK and *matK*	ITS
N. nouchali Burm.f.	JD 02	Guwahati, Kamrup District, Assam	FJ597752	FJ597740
N. nouchali Burm.f.	JD 06	Paikan, Goalpara District, Assam	FJ597751	FJ597742
N. pubescens Willd.	JD 09	Guwahati, Kamrup District, Assam	FJ597753	FJ597743
N. rubra Roxb. ex Andrews	JD 10	Nongpoh, Ri-Bhoi District, Meghalaya	FJ597754	FJ597744
N. tetragona Georgi	JD 01	Nongkrem, East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya	FJ597755	FJ597745
N. tetragona Georgi			NA	EU428056
N. tetragona Georgi			NA	AY707899
N. amazonum Mart. & Zucc.			DQ185543	FM242149
N. jamesoniana Planch.			DQ185544	FM242152
N. lotus Linn.			DQ185547	FM242153
N. petersiana Klotzsch			DQ185548	FM242156
Nuphar advena (Aiton) W.T. Aiton			DQ185531	FM242145

[a] Specimen vouchers deposited at the Herbarium, Department of Botany, North Eastern Hill University, Shillong. NA: not available.

Nymphaea species viz. *N. alba* var. *rubra*, *N. caerulea*, *N.* × *marliacea*, *N. nouchali*, *N. pubescens*, *N. rubra* and *N. tetragona* were found. Two morphologically distinct plants of *N. nouchali* (*N. nouchali* JD 02 and *N. nouchali* JD 06) found at two different locations: Paikan, Goalpara District, Assam (26°02′N–90°38′E) and Guwahati, Kamrup District, Assam (26°10′N–91°46′E) were identified. The seven *Nymphaea* species were identified at the Botanical Survey of India, Eastern Circle, Shillong. Although all the molecular sequence data (ITS, *matK* and *trnK*) are available for the seven species, we intend to include only four natural species of the genus *Nymphaea*, i.e. *N. nouchali*, *N. pubescens*, *N. rubra* and *N. tetragona* in the present study, excluding the cultivated forms. Information pertaining to the four *Nymphaea* species is summarized in Table 1. To meet the objectives of the study, we have retrieved sequence data of relevant species from GenBank (Table 1).

2.2. DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

Total genomic DNA was isolated from fresh leaves after thorough cleansing in running tap water. The Doyle and Doyle (1987) method of DNA extraction was used with the addition of the saturated phenol extraction step prior to ethanol precipitation. Polymerase chain reaction was used to amplify the *trnK* intron (including the entire *matK* gene) and ITS region. Universal PCR primers trnK3914F and trnK2R of Johnson and Soltis (1995) were used to amplify the *trnK* region; sequencing was done utilizing these and other internal primers (NytrnKJD689-R 5′-GGGGAGGATT TCTTGGGTTA-3′; NymatKJD1853-F 5′-CCTCTGATTGGATCGTTGGT-3′; NymatKJD1995-R 5′-CACCCGAATCGAGCAATAAT-3′; NytrnKJD525-F 5′-TCGGGTTGCAAAAATAAAGG-3′). The PCR primers ITS 4 and ITS 5 of White et al. (1990) were used to amplify the ITS region (ITS 1, 5.8S, ITS 2) utilizing these same primers for sequencing. DNA amplification was performed in an Applied Biosystems Gene Amp® PCR System 2700. Amplified PCR products were purified using QIAQuick gel extraction kit (QIAGEN, Germany) and sequenced at Bangalore Genei, India and Axygen Scientific Pvt. Ltd., India.

2.3. Sequence alignment and indel coding

The boundaries of the ITS region, *matK* gene and *trnK* intron for all four species of *Nymphaea* were determined by comparison with published sequences (Goremykin et al., 2004; Woods et al., 2005; Löhne et al., 2007). Sequences, thus obtained, including those retrieved from GenBank were subjected to multiple sequence alignment using Clustal X program (Thompson et al., 1997) with default settings. A separate alignment matrix for each genomic region was produced. Clustal X generated alignments were further re-aligned manually. Gaps were included into analysis and coded automatically in a binary matrix using SeqState v.1.21 (Müller, 2005) applying the simple indel coding strategy (Simmons and Ochoterena, 2000). Alignments of all genomic regions were then combined to a single Phylip/nexus file comprising several data partitions.

In addition, genetic closeness between the generated ITS sequence of *N. tetragona* and those retrieved from GenBank (Accession no. AY707899, presumed to be a specimen from China; Accession no. EU428056, a specimen from Russia) was evaluated.

2.4. Phylogenetic analyses

Prior to phylogenetic analyses, sequence characteristics of all genomic regions were calculated using MEGA version 4 (Tamura et al., 2007).

Maximum parsimony (MP) method was used to analyze the aligned sequence data matrix. MP trees were constructed using Phylip (Felsentein, 1989). Bootstrap analysis was carried out with 999 random seed and 1000 replicates to examine the relative level of support for individual clades on the cladograms of each search. Several MP analyses were conducted to compare nodal support provided by separate and combined dataset.

Besides MP analysis, Bayesian inference (BI) of phylogeny was conducted for the combined dataset using MRBAYES v.3.1.2 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003). BI analyses were performed for 1,000,000 generations applying the default settings (MCMC, two runs with four chains each, heating temperature 0.2, saving one tree every 100 generations). The best model of molecular evolution for each datasets was determined using jModelTest 0.1 (Posada, 2008). The GTR model of molecular evolution with gamma-distributed rate variation across sites was assigned to the ITS and *trnK* data, respectively, whereas *matK* was assigned the GTR model. The binary (restriction site) model was applied to the indel partition. All trees were viewed with the program Tree View 1.5 (Page, 1996).

2.5. Outgroup selection

The morphology-based classification of *N. petersiana* has received unconvincing treatments. Conard (1905) and Verdcourt (1989) has placed this taxon in synonymy under *N. capensis* and *N. nouchali* of subg. *Brachyceras*, respectively. In view of these treatments, we have included *N. nouchali* of subg. *Brachyceras*. *Nymphaea amazonum* and *N. jamesoniana* of subg. *Hydrocallis* have been included as ingroup members. *Nuphar advena*, reported as a