



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Continental Shelf Research

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/csr](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/csr)

## Research papers

## Sediment transport in a surface-advected estuarine plume

H.Y. Yao<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, N. Leonardi<sup>b,c</sup>, J.F. Li<sup>a</sup>, S. Fagherazzi<sup>b</sup><sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Estuarine and Coastal Research, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, China<sup>b</sup> Department of Earth and Environment, Boston University, 675 Commonwealth Avenue, Office STO 141C, Boston, MA 02215, USA<sup>c</sup> Department of Geography and Planning, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZT, UK

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 13 February 2015

Received in revised form

17 January 2016

Accepted 23 January 2016

Available online 26 January 2016

## Keywords:

Estuarine plume

Sediment transport

Coastal current

Freshwater transport

Flood

## ABSTRACT

The interplay between suspended-sediment transport and plume hydrodynamics in a surface-advected estuarine plume is studied using a three-dimensional numerical model. Our analysis focuses on the formation of a sediment-rich alongshore current and on the effect of sediments on the structure of the recirculating freshwater bulge. We introduce the ratio  $Y$  between the traveling time of sediment along the bulge edge and the settling timescale. When  $Y < 1$ , suspended sediments enter the alongshore coastal current. When  $Y > 1$  the sediments are deposited within the bulge. We find that a critical range of settling velocities exist above which no transport in the coastal current is allowed. Critical settling-velocity values increase with river discharge. Therefore, low magnitude and long-lasting floods promote sediment sorting in the continental shelf. We further find that, for a given flood duration, intermediate flood magnitudes at the limit between subcritical and supercritical flow maximize the alongshore sediment transport. Similarly, for a fixed input of water and sediments, intermediate discharge durations maximize alongshore sediment transport.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Buoyant fresh water discharges are one of the main drivers for coastal and shelf currents and their hydrodynamics determine the fate and transport of sediments, nutrients and pollutants entering the ocean (Geyer et al., 2004a; Liu et al., 2007; Lohrenz et al., 1999; Warne et al., 2002; Wright, 1977). Sediment plumes are thus crucial for the morphodynamic evolution of coastal areas, and understanding their complex dynamics would promote a more effective management of these environments.

Many buoyant inflows remain trapped at the surface of shelf water, forming a thin plume on top of ambient water and maintaining little or no contact with the shelf bottom while spreading offshore (Geyer et al., 2004b; Yankovsky and Chapman, 1997). A well-documented feature of surface-trapped river flows is their deflection by the Coriolis force which triggers a flow circulation within the plume (Geyer et al., 2004b; Yu, 2006). Near the river mouth, flow inertia can be considered the main hydrodynamic driver. Farther from it, earth rotation causes the river outflow to evolve into a coastal current flowing in the direction of a propagating Kelvin wave (i.e. with the shore on the right in the Northern hemisphere, see (Geyer et al., 2004b; Nof and Pichevin, 2001).

Numerical results using a semi-analytical 2.5D model indicate that in marine environments where the depth of water exceeds the Ekman scale (typically 10–30 m), the direction of suspended sediment transport in the lower water column is different from the depth integrated water flow direction because of velocity veering induced by the Coriolis effect (Shapiro, 2004).

Numerical (e.g. Chao and Boicourt, 1986; Marsaleix et al., 1998), and empirical (e.g. Masse and Murthy, 1992) investigations confirm that the river plume can be divided into two main regions: a baroclinic eddy that forms near the river mouth (the recirculating bulge) and an alongshore coastal current (Fig. 1). Among others, noticeable examples of anti-cyclonic rotating bulges are those discharged from Chesapeake Bay and Delaware Bay in the USA and the Yangtze River in China (Geyer et al., 2004b; Yu, 2006). Freshwater bulge grows in time and as long as its radius is relatively low it remains attached to the coast with a large freshwater fraction delivered to the coastal current. When the bulge size exceeds a critical limit, it separates from the coast and cyclically regrows, causing the flow in the coastal current to be intermittent (Horner-Devine et al., 2006). The higher is the discharge the bigger is the freshwater bulge and the faster the bulge becomes unstable. For supercritical flow (densimetric Froude number higher than one) the bulge becomes unstable after 5 rotations (e.g. after around 5 days, Horner-Devine et al., 2006). Fig. 2 shows example of freshwater bulge at the end of the 5th numerical day and for different discharge conditions; in panel D we show an example of unstable bulge starting to detach from the coast: the location

\* Corresponding author at: State Key Laboratory of Estuarine and Coastal Research East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, China  
E-mail address: [jason.a.yao@hotmail.com](mailto:jason.a.yao@hotmail.com) (H.Y. Yao).

**List of parameters**

Parameters Meaning, Units

$B$	River mouth width, M	$S(x, y)$	Surface salinity at $(x, y)$ , Psu
$C$	Suspended sediment concentration in the plume, $\text{kg}/\text{m}^3$	$S_0$	Salinity of ambient sea water, Psu
$C_r$	Concentration of suspended sediment, $\text{kg}/\text{m}^3$	$S_r$	River salinity, Psu
$C(x, y)$	Surface suspended sediment concentration at $(x, y)$ , $\text{kg}/\text{m}^3$	$\Delta S$	Salinity anomaly between river discharge and ambient sea water, psu
$f$	Coriolis parameter, $\text{s}^{-1}$	$T_{st}$	Sediment transport time scale, s
$G'$	Reduced gravitational acceleration with sediment, $\text{m}^2/\text{s}$	$T_{os}$	Sediment settling time scale, s
$g$	Gravitational acceleration, $\text{m}^2/\text{s}$	$v$	Flow velocity, $\text{m}/\text{s}$
$g'$	Reduced gravitational acceleration without sediment, $\text{m}^2/\text{s}$	$v_r$	River flow velocity, $\text{m}/\text{s}$
$H$	River mouth depth, M	$x_f$	Horizontal distance from freshwater center to shoreline, m
$h$	Plume depth, M	$Y$	Sediment time scale ratio
$h_0$	Plume depth at the coast, M	$y_f$	Longitudinal distance from freshwater center to extension cord of mouth, m
$\bar{h}$	Average plume depth, M	$x_i$	Horizontal distance from calculated point to shoreline, m
$L$	Plume width, M	$y_i$	Longitudinal distance from calculated point to extension cord of mouth, m
$L_i$	Inertial radius, M	$\alpha$	Constant coefficient
$m$	Constant coefficient	$\beta$	Constant coefficient
$Q$	River discharge, $\text{m}^3/\text{s}$	$\gamma$	Constant coefficient
$Q_{fcc}$	Freshwater transport in the coastal current with sediment, $\text{m}^3/\text{s}$	$\rho_0$	Density of ambient sea water, $\text{kg}/\text{m}^3$
$Q_{fcc0}$	Freshwater transport in the coastal current without sediment, $\text{m}^3/\text{s}$	$\rho_r$	River discharge density without sediment, $\text{kg}/\text{m}^3$
$\Delta Q_{fcc}$	Decrease of freshwater transport in the coastal current, $\text{m}^3/\text{s}$	$\rho_s$	Density of suspended sediment, $\text{kg}/\text{m}^3$
$Q_{ssc}$	Sediment transport in the coastal current, $\text{kg}/\text{s}$	$\Delta\rho$	Density anomaly between river fluid and ambient sea water without sediment, $\text{kg}/\text{m}^3$
$R_f$	Distance from freshwater center to mouth, M	$\Delta\rho'$	Density anomaly between plume and ambient sea water with sediment, $\text{kg}/\text{m}^3$
$R_c$	Distance from sediment distributional center to mouth, M	$\theta_f$	Angle between freshwater center-mouth and shoreline, $^\circ$
$r_s$	Bulge radius, M	$\theta_c$	Angle between sediment distributional center-mouth and shoreline, $^\circ$
$S$	Salinity at calculated point, Psu	$\omega_s$	Settling velocity of suspended sediment, $\text{mm}/\text{s}$
		$\omega_{cs}$	Critical settling velocity, $\text{mm}/\text{s}$

where the outer bulge current impinges on the wall has moved toward the inlet, cutting off the flow of the alongshore current.

Numerous studies have been carried out to gain physical insight into the dynamics of surface trapped river plumes using either laboratory experiments (Avicola and Huq, 2003a, b; Horner-Devine et al., 2006), field measurements (Hickey et al., 1998; Masse and Murthy, 1992), and analytical and numerical models (Beardsley and Hart, 1978; Chao and Boicourt, 1986; Fong and Geyer, 2002; Nof and Pichevin, 2001). However, these studies mainly focused on the structure and dynamics of the freshwater bulge and of the coastal current. Less attention has been paid to the effect of Coriolis forces on sediment dispersal and transport (see Hetland and Hsu, 2013).

The aim of this study is to extend previous work on the hydrodynamic of surface trapped river plumes to systems where fine sediments are also present. Specifically, we use numerical experiments to analyze the reciprocal interactions between sediment transport and plume hydrodynamics. We focus on the effect of sediments on the structure of the recirculating freshwater bulge and coastal current and on the effect of centrifugal forces on sediment delivery to the ocean. Special attention is given to the study of the alongshore sediment transport in the coastal current. We further focus on fine cohesive sediments that do not deposit in proximity of the river mouth and are more likely transported within the freshwater bulge and in the alongshore current.

For fine cohesive sediments, the settling velocity also depends on flocculation at high sediment concentrations (Van Leussen,

1988). The relationship between suspended sediment concentration and settling velocity has been explored by many researchers (Gibbs, 1985; Krone, 1962; Winterwerp, 2002; You, 2004) and it was found that settling velocity is independent of suspended sediment concentration  $C$  when  $C < 0.3 \text{ kg}/\text{m}^3$ , while it increases as a function of concentration for  $0.3 < C < 4.3 \text{ kg}/\text{m}^3$  due to flocculation (You, 2004). However, flocculation likely affects only a part of the sediment load, and a fraction of very fine sediments can be still transported far from the river mouth. For example, suspended sediment concentrations in the turbidity maximum zone of the Yangtze Estuary varies from 2 to  $10 \text{ kg}/\text{m}^3$ , with flocculation trapping large amounts of sediment inside the river mouth (Li and Zhang, 1998). Based on calculations performed by Milliman (1985) and Liu (2007), it is believed that about 30% of total sediment flux discharged from the Yangtze River is transported several hundred kilometers southward and deposits along the Zhejiang–Fujian coastal zone. Our results are mainly relevant for the sediment fraction that is transported far from the river mouth and contributes to the along-shelf sediment diffusion (Driscoll and Karner, 1999). The manuscript is organized as follow: after describing the numerical model and numerical-model setup, we define a series of variables and parameters used in the investigation. The results section is divided into two main parts: in the first part we focus on the effect of sediments on the structure and geometry of the freshwater bulge and freshwater transport in the alongshore coastal currents. This section explores the effect of changes in water density caused by sediments, in analogy with salinity

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4531614>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4531614>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)