

# A non-preemptive scheduling algorithm for soft real-time systems

Wenming Li, Krishna Kavi \*, Robert Akl

*The University of North Texas, Computer Science and Engineering, P.O. Box, 311366, Denton TX 76203, United States*

Received 2 December 2005; accepted 10 April 2006

Available online 25 July 2006

---

## Abstract

Real-time systems are often designed using preemptive scheduling and worst-case execution time estimates to guarantee the execution of high priority tasks. There is, however, an interest in exploring non-preemptive scheduling models for real-time systems, particularly for soft real-time multimedia applications. In this paper, we propose a new algorithm that uses multiple scheduling strategies for efficient non-preemptive scheduling of tasks. Our goal is to improve the success ratio of the well-known Earliest Deadline First (EDF) approach when the load on the system is very high and to improve the overall performance in both underloaded and overloaded conditions. Our approach, known as group-EDF (gEDF) is based on dynamic grouping of tasks with deadlines that are very close to each other, and using *Shortest Job First* (SJF) technique to schedule tasks within the group. We will present results comparing gEDF with other real-time algorithms including, EDF, Best-effort, and Guarantee, by using randomly generated tasks with varying execution times, release times, deadlines and tolerance to missing deadlines, under varying workloads. We believe that grouping tasks dynamically with similar deadlines and utilizing a secondary criteria, such as minimizing the total execution time (or other metrics such as power or resource availability) for scheduling tasks within a group, can lead to new and more efficient real-time scheduling algorithms. © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** Soft real-time systems; Non-preemptive real-time scheduling; Earliest Deadline First (EDF); Shortest Job First (SJF); Best-effort scheduling; Group-EDF

---

## 1. Introduction

The Earliest Deadline First (EDF) algorithm is the most widely studied scheduling algorithm for real-time systems [1]. For a set of preemptive tasks (be they periodic, aperiodic, or sporadic), EDF will find a schedule if a schedule is possible [2]. The application of EDF for non-preemptive tasks is not as widely investigated. It is our contention that non-preemptive scheduling is more efficient, particularly for soft real-time applications and applications designed for multithreaded systems, than the preemptive approach since the non-preemptive model reduces the overhead needed for switching among tasks (or threads) [3,4]. EDF is optimal for sporadic

---

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [kavi@cse.unt.edu](mailto:kavi@cse.unt.edu) (K. Kavi).

non-preemptive tasks, but EDF may not find an optimal schedule for periodic and aperiodic non-preemptive tasks. It has been shown that scheduling periodic and aperiodic non-preemptive tasks is NP-hard [5–7]. However, non-preemptive EDF techniques have produced near optimal schedules for periodic and aperiodic tasks, particularly when the system is lightly loaded. When the system is overloaded, however, it has been shown that the EDF approach leads to very poor performance (low success rates) [8]. In this paper, a system load or utilization is used to refer to the sum of the execution times of pending tasks as related to the time available to complete the tasks. The poor performance of EDF is due to the fact that, as tasks that are scheduled based on their deadlines miss their deadlines, other tasks waiting for their turn are likely to miss their deadlines also – an outcome sometimes known as the domino effect. It should also be remembered that Worst-Case Execution Time (WCET) estimates for tasks are used in most real-time systems. We believe that, in practice, WCET estimates are very conservative, and more aggressive scheduling schemes based on average execution times for soft real-time systems using either EDF or hybrid algorithms can lead to higher performance.

While investigating scheduling algorithms, we have analyzed a variation of EDF that can improve success ratios (that is, the number of tasks that have been successfully scheduled to meet their deadlines), particularly in overloaded conditions. The new algorithm can also decrease the average response time for tasks. We call our algorithm group-EDF, or gEDF, where the tasks with “similar” deadlines are grouped together (i.e., deadlines that are very close to one another), and the Shortest Job First (SJF) algorithm is used for scheduling tasks within a group. It should be noted that our approach is different from adaptive schemes that switch between different scheduling strategies based on system load; gEDF is used in overloaded as well as underloaded conditions. The computational complexity of gEDF is the same as that of EDF. In this paper, we will evaluate the performance of gEDF using randomly generated tasks with varying execution times, release times, deadlines and tolerance to missing deadlines, under varying loads.

We believe that gEDF is particularly useful for soft real-time systems as well as applications known as “anytime algorithms” and “approximate algorithms,” where applications generate more accurate results or rewards with increased execution times [9,10]. Examples of such applications include search algorithms, neural-net based learning in AI, FFT and block-recursive filters used for audio and image processing. We model such applications using a tolerance parameter that describes by how much a task can miss its deadline, or by how much the task’s execution time can be truncated when the deadline is approaching.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present related work. In Section 3, we present our real-time system model. Numerical results are presented in Section 4. Conclusions are given in Section 5.

## 2. Related work

The EDF algorithm schedules real-time tasks based on their deadlines. Because of its optimality for periodic, aperiodic, and sporadic preemptive tasks, its optimality for sporadic non-preemptive tasks, and its acceptable performance for periodic and aperiodic non-preemptive tasks, EDF is widely studied as a dynamic priority-driven scheduling scheme [5]. EDF is more efficient than many other scheduling algorithms, including the static Rate-Monotonic scheduling algorithm. For preemptive tasks, EDF is able to reach the maximum possible processor utilization when lightly loaded. Although finding an optimal schedule for periodic and aperiodic non-preemptive tasks is NP-hard [6,7], our experiments have shown that EDF can achieve very good results even for non-preemptive tasks when the system is lightly loaded. However, when the processor is overloaded (i.e., the combined requirements of pending tasks exceed the capabilities of the system) EDF performs poorly. Researchers have proposed several adaptive techniques for handling heavily loaded situations, but they require the detection of the overload condition.

A Best-effort algorithm [8] is based on the assumption that the probability of a high value-density task arriving is low. The value-density is defined by  $V/C$ , where  $V$  is the value of a task and  $C$  is its worst-case execution time. Given a set of tasks with defined values for successful completion, it can be shown that a sequence of tasks in decreasing order by value-density will produce the maximum value as compared to any other scheduling technique. The Best-effort algorithm admits tasks based on their value-densities and schedules them using the EDF policy. When higher value tasks are admitted, some lower value tasks may be deleted from the schedule or delayed until no other tasks with higher value exist. One key consideration in implementing such a policy is the estimation of current workload, which is either very difficult or very inaccurate in most

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/454180>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/454180>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)