

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Applied Catalysis B: Environmental

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apcatb

Structure sensitivity of selective catalytic reduction of NO with propylene over Cu-doped $Ti_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_{2-\delta}$ catalysts



Jie Liu^a, Qidong Zhao^a, Xinyong Li^{a,b,c,*}, Junhong Chen^{b,**}, Dongke Zhang^{c,**}

^a Key Laboratory of Industrial Ecology and Environmental Engineering and Key Laboratory of Fine Chemicals, School of Environmental Science and Technology, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China

^b Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee. WI 53211. USA

^c Centre for Energy (M473), The University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history Received 23 July 2014 Received in revised form 22 September 2014 Accepted 11 October 2014 Available online 30 October 2014

Keywords: Cu-doped Ti_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_{2-δ} Hierarchical morphology In situ DRIFTS Selective catalytic reduction Structure sensitive

ABSTRACT

The structure sensitivity of selective catalytic reduction (SCR) of NO with propylene over Cu-doped Ti_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_{2-δ} catalysts was investigated systematically in a series of characterizations and in situ DRIFT spectroscopy. A Cu-doped $Ti_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_{2-\delta}$ catalyst with a hierarchical structure was fabricated successfully using a hydrothermal method (Hy-Sample), and shown to exhibit excellent SCR performance with high reaction rate and turnover frequency (TOF). The physico-chemical properties, mass transfer, and SCR activity of the catalyst depended on the preparation method. Another sample of Cu-doped $Ti_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_{2-\delta}$ catalyst prepared using a co-precipitation method (Co-Sample) exhibited a disordered, irregular morphology, whose SCR activity, as determined in a fixed bed reactor, was significantly lower than that of Hy-Sample. In comparison, Hy-Sample possessed an enhanced redox property, and its highly ordered morphology greatly promoted the generation of active sites, including the fine-dispersed CuO species and surface adsorbed oxygen. Consequently, NO and C₃H₆ were readily adsorbed and activated over Hy-Sample and induced the formation of important intermediates with high reactivity, such as isocyanate (-NCO) and cyanide (-CN) species. However, the activation capacity of Co-Sample toward reactants was very weak, and the sequential deficiency of N-containing organics could be the primary reason for the poor SCR activity of Co-Sample.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Ever-increasing environmental concerns in the last decades have spurred both academic and industrial research, and development effort to devise new methods for elimination of NO_x originating from stationary and mobile sources. For the removal of NO_x from vehicles, selective catalytic reduction of NO_x with hydrocarbons (HC-SCR) is considered as a potential technology from the view of economy, energy-saving and safety as it can eliminate the NO_x and un-burnt hydrocarbons in the exhaust simultaneously [1–3]. However, the current HC-SCR technology is still insufficient

Corresponding authors.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/i.apcatb.2014.10.038 0926-3373/© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. for commercial application, and further fine-tuning of catalytic materials is essential to gain satisfactory SCR performance.

As a second generation catalyst support after SiO₂, TiO₂ has been employed commercially in the SCR reactions, such as the well-known catalysts V_2O_5 -Ti O_2 and V_2O_5 (WO_x or MoO_x)/Ti O_2 [4,5]. However, some crystal transformation of TiO₂ occurs at high temperatures, resulting in catalyst deactivation [6]. To enhance the thermal stability of TiO₂, many studies have been carried out. Recently, due to the excellent stability against thermal treatments, ZrO₂ as a doping component has been shown to improve the performance of TiO₂ [7–9]. On the other hand, owning to the ionic radius difference between Zr⁴⁺ (0.87 Å) and Ti⁴⁺ (0.64 Å), the partial substitution of Ti by Zr could lead to lattice distortion of TiO₂ and then induce the generation of abundant surface defects. Consequently, the gas-phase NO_x can be more readily adsorbed on the Zr-doped TiO₂ catalysts during the SCR reaction [10–13]. Furthermore, the weak acidic and basic sites on the surface of ZrO₂ also improve the tolerance of catalysts against SO_2 poisoning [6,14,15].

Among the alternatives of active components examined for the low-temperature SCR reaction, copper has been widely explored

^{*} Corresponding author at: Key Laboratory of Industrial Ecology and Environmental Engineering and Key Laboratory of Fine Chemicals, School of Environmental Science and Technology, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China. Tel.: +86 41184707733; fax: +86 41184707733.

E-mail addresses: xinyongli@hotmail.com (X. Li), jhchen@uwm.edu (J. Chen), dongke.zhang@uwa.edu.au (D. Zhang).

due to its efficacy and relatively low cost [16–19]. It is well known that the SCR performance of catalysts depends on the dispersion, nuclearity and oxidation state of the active components, which could be controlled by tailoring catalyst preparation method efficiently [6,12].

In the present study, we designed a new type Cu-doped $Ti_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_{2-\delta}$ mixed oxide catalyst using a facile hydrothermal method. The as-prepared catalyst was shown to possess a special hierarchical morphology assembled by hollow spheres. Meanwhile, another sample of Cu-doped $Ti_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_{2-\delta}$ mixed oxide was prepared by a co-precipitation method and used as a contrast to investigate the relationship between the properties of catalyst and the synthesis method. A series of physical-chemical characterizations were performed to help reveal the structure sensitivity of the SCR reaction over the Cu-doped $Ti_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_{2-\delta}$ catalysts. Moreover, the in situ DRIFT spectroscopy was also used to investigate the structure sensitivity of the SCR reaction by examining the reactant adsorption as well as the formation and transformation of intermediates during the SCR process. The findings in this work would contribute to the rational design of SCR catalysts by fine-tuning the surface fabrication.

2. Experimental

2.1. Catalyst preparation

Two Cu-doped $Ti_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_{2-\delta}$ catalysts were synthetize using the hydrothermal and co-precipitation methods, respectively. In the hydrothermal process, certain amounts of titanium tetrachloride (TiCl₄) and zirconium oxychloride (ZrOCl₂·8H₂O) were dissolved in deionized water in ice-water bath, and then cupric acetate (Cu(CH₃COOH)₂·2H₂O), ammonium sulfate ((NH₄)₂SO₄) and urea $(CO(NH_2)_2)$ were added. After stirring for 2 h, an equal amount of ethanol (EtOH) was added dropwise into the above solution. The molar composition of TiCl₄:ZrOCl₂. 8H₂O:Cu(CH₃COOH)₂·2H₂O:H₂O:(NH₄)₂SO₄:CO(NH₂):EtOH was controlled to be 1:1:0.16:146:2:36:46. The final mixed solution was then transferred into an autoclave, sealed, heated to and maintained at 95 °C for 24 h. In the co-precipitation process, this same final mixed solution was reflux condensed in a thermostatic oil bath at 95 °C for 24 h. Both slurries obtained in the two methods were filtered after cooling to the room temperature, washed with deionized water and ethanol, and dried at 80 °C for 12 h. The resultant powders were calcinated at 400 °C for 4 h with a heating rate of $2 \degree C \min^{-1}$. The Cu-doped Ti_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O_{2- δ} samples prepared using the hydrothermal and co-precipitation methods were labeled as Hy-Sample and Co-Sample, respectively. Moreover, to investigate the high-temperature treatment effect on Hy-Sample, another two samples were prepared by hydrothermal method also, and these samples were calcinated at 600 and 800 °C for 4 h, respectively, by the same heating rate of $2 \circ C \min^{-1}$.

2.2. Characterization

The exact copper content in the samples was determined with an inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometer (ICP-AES, Perkin-Elmer Optima 3300 DV apparatus). The specific surface area, pore volume and average pore diameter of catalyst were measured using physical adsorption of N₂ at -196 °C with NOVA 1200 (Quanta Chrome). The catalyst morphology was characterized by scanning electron microscope (SEM, FEI-Quanta 450), transmission electron microscope (TEM, FEI-TECNAI G20), and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM, FEI-TECNAI G20). Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) analysis was performed at room temperature using a Bruker (A200-9.5/12) operating at the X band (~9.8 GHz). The magnetic field was modulated at 100 kHz and the g value was determined from the precise frequency and magnetic field values. Hydrogen-temperature programed reduction (H₂-TPR) analysis was carried out on a Chembet PULSAR TPR/TPD (p/n 02139-1), and the H₂ consumption in the effluent was monitored with a TCD detector. X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) were recorded using a Thermo ESCALAB 250XI electron spectrometer. Binding energies of Cu 2p, O 1s and Zr 3d were calibrated using C 1s (BE = 284.8 eV) as a standard.

2.3. Catalytic test

The SCR activity measurement was carried out in a fixed-bed quartz tube reactor (6 mm internal diameter) containing 200 mg catalyst (40-60 mesh). Prior to an experiment, the catalyst was pretreated at 250 °C for 1 h in an Ar stream, and the activity test was performed from 150 °C to 450 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹. The typical reactant gas composition was as follows: 1000 ppm NO, 1000 ppm C₃H₆, 10 vol.% O₂, 10 vol.% H₂O (when used) and 100 ppm SO₂ (when used), with He as balance. The total flow rate was 100 ml min⁻¹, which corresponded to an hourly space velocity (GHSV) of approximately $30,000 h^{-1}$. The concentrations of NO, NO₂ and CO in the inlet and outlet gases were measured using a chemiluminescence gas analyzer (Testo 350). The concentrations of N₂, N₂O and C₃H₆ were analyzed using a gas chromatograph (Agilent 7890 A), and the corresponding columns were 5A molecular sieve and Paropak Q columns with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and a 6X-104D column with a flame ionization detector (FID), respectively.

The NO conversion, C_3H_6 conversion, NO_2 yield, N_2 yield, N_2O yield and CO yield were calculated as follows:

$$NO \text{ conversion} = \frac{[NO]_{in} - [NO]_{out}}{[NO]_{in}} \times 100\%$$
(1)

$$C_{3}H_{6} \text{ conversion} = \frac{[C_{3}H_{6}]_{in} - [C_{3}H_{6}]_{out}}{[C_{3}H_{6}]_{in}} \times 100\%$$
(2)

$$NO_2 \text{ yield} = \frac{[NO_2]}{[NO]_{in}} \times 100\%$$
(3)

$$N_2 \text{ yield} = \frac{[N_2] \times 2}{[NO]_{in}} \times 100\%$$
(4)

$$N_2 O yield = \frac{[N_2 O] \times 2}{[NO]_{in}} \times 100\%$$
 (5)

$$CO yield = \frac{[CO]/3}{[C_3H_6]_{in}} \times 100\%$$
(6)

The reaction rate and turnover frequency (TOF) for NO conversion were calculated according to the following equations:

$$R_{\rm NO} = X_{\rm NO} \nu / m_{\rm cat} / S_{\rm BET} \,(\text{mol s}^{-1} \,\text{m}^{-2}) \tag{7}$$

$$\text{TOF} = \frac{(P_{\nu}/R_T)X_{\text{NO}}}{m_{\text{cat}}\beta_{\text{Cu}}/M_{\text{Cu}}} \cdot (s^{-1})$$
(8)

where X_{NO} (%) is the NO conversion, $\nu \pmod{s^{-1}}$ the flow rate of NO, m_{cat} (g) the mass of the catalyst, S_{BET} (m² g⁻¹) the BET specific surface area, *P* the standard atmospheric pressure (1.01 × 10⁵ Pa), *R* the proportional constant (8.314 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹), *T* (K) the reaction temperature, β_{Cu} (%) the Cu loading calculated from the XPS spectra, and, finally, M_{Cu} the molar mass of Cu (63.54 g mol⁻¹).

2.4. In situ DRIFT study

In situ DRIFT spectra were recorded using an FTIR spectrometer (Bruker VERTEX 70-FTIR) equipped with a liquid-N₂-cooling high-sensitivity MCT detector and DRIFT cell. Prior to each experiment, the sample (\sim 50 mg) was pretreated in He stream (50 ml min⁻¹)

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/45583

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/45583

Daneshyari.com