Food Control 20 (2009) 105-112

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Food Control



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/foodcont

# Antimicrobial activity of malic acid against *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella Enteritidis* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in apple, pear and melon juices

Rosa M. Raybaudi-Massilia, Jonathan Mosqueda-Melgar, Olga Martín-Belloso\*

Department of Food Technology, University of Lleida, UTPV-CeRTA, Av. Alcalde Rovira Roure, 191, 25198 Lleida, Spain

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 25 October 2007 Received in revised form 24 February 2008 Accepted 29 February 2008

*Keywords:* Malic acid Pathogenic microorganisms Fruit juices

#### ABSTRACT

Minimal inhibitory (MIC) and minimal bactericidal (MBC) concentrations of malic acid against *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella Enteritidis* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 inoculated in apple, pear and melon juices stored at 5, 20 and 35 °C were evaluated. MICs and MBCs against *L. monocytogenes*, *S. Enteritidis* and *E. coli* O157:H7 were significantly affected by storage temperature, juice characteristics and type of microorganism. Malic acid was more effective at 35 and 20 °C than at 5 °C in all studied fruit juices. *E. coli* O157:H7 was more resistant to malic acid than *S. Enteritidis* and *L. monocytogenes*. Apple, pear and melon juices without malic acid were inhibitory to *E. coli* O157:H7, *S. Enteritidis* and *L. monocytogenes* at 5 °C, whereas, MBCs of 1.5% (v/v) of malic acid in apple and pear juices, and 2% (v/v) in melon juice at 5 °C were needed to reduce *E. coli* O157:H7, those concentrations being higher than those required to reduce *S. Enteritidis* and *L. monocytogenes* in those fruit juices. In addition, concentrations of 2%, 2.5% and 2.5% (v/v) of malic acid added to apple, pear and melon juices, respectively, were required to inactivate the three pathogens by more than 5 log cycles after 24 h of storage at 5 °C. Transmission electron microscopy showed that malic acid produced damage in the cell cytoplasm of pathogens without apparent changes in the cell membrane.

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

The consumption of unpasteurized fruit juices defined as the product obtained by pressing or squeezing of the fruits (Harris et al., 2003) has increased in recent years presumably due, in part, to their characteristics of freshness, high vitamins content, low calorie contribution, and an active promotion of fruits and their derivatives as important components of a healthy diet. However, foodborne disease outbreaks caused by Escherichia coli O157:H7 and different serovars of Salmonella have been associated with unpasteurized fruit juices (CDC, 2007; Harris et al., 2003) demonstrating that those products can serve as a vehicle for pathogenic microorganisms. In addition, incidence or survival/growth of Listeria monocytogenes, Listeria innocua, Salmonella serovars and Escherichia coli O157:H7 in fruit juices and apple cider has been demonstrated (Ceylan, Fung, & Sabah, 2004; Harris et al., 2003; Ingham, Schoeller, & Engel, 2006; Miller & Kaspar, 1994; Raybaudi-Massilia, Mosqueda-Melgar, & Martín-Belloso, 2006). In response to the high number outbreaks caused by these pathogenic microorganisms following consumption of fresh products, the Regulatory Organizations have recommended the use of good cleaning and sanitation practices (Garcia, Henderson, Fabri, & Oke, 2006) as well as the application of a hazard analysis and critical control point program for juices production (McLellan & Splittstoesser, 1996). Likewise, the Food and Drug Administration has established regulations for juice manufacturing, indicating that treatments for commercial preparation of fresh juices should be capable of reducing pathogenic loads by a minimum of 5.0log (Derrickson-Tharrington, Kendall, & Sofos, 2005; USFDA, 2002).

The use of organic acids is considered as a good alternative in the fruit processing industry because of their natural origin and preservative, antioxidant, flavoring and acidifying properties as well as their low cost. However, some important aspects such as kind of juice, characteristics of the spoilage or pathogenic flora and characteristics of the acid must be considered before selecting an acid as antimicrobial agent for fruit juices. Different studies in vitro about the pH effect on L. monocytogenes, S. Enteritidis and E. coli O157:H7 have shown that the inhibitory or bactericidal effect depends on the characteristics of the acid used to adjust the medium pH (Buchanan & Klawitter, 1990; Chung & Goepfert, 1970; Glass, Loeffelholz, Ford, & Doyle, 1992; Parish & Higgins, 1989). Thus, variations in effectiveness among acids depend on their molecular structure, size and pKa (Chung & Goepfert, 1970; Eswaranandam, Hettiarachchy, & Johnson, 2004; Parish & Higgins, 1989). In addition, the acid-tolerancy of microorganisms could also affect the effectiveness of organic acids as antimicrobial agents. Hence, studies that show the minimal inhibitory and bactericidal



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 973 702 593; fax: +34 973 702 596. *E-mail address:* omartin@tecal.udl.es (O. Martín-Belloso).

<sup>0956-7135/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.foodcont.2008.02.009

concentrations of specific organic acids against those pathogenic microorganisms in fruit juices may be of interest for the industry. Malic acid could be considered as not lipophilic according to its low partition coefficient  $-1.26\log$  octanol/water (Leo, Hansch, & Elkins, 1971), thus its mode of antimicrobial action was attributed mainly to reduction in lowering of the pH value (Beuchat & Golden, 1989). However, some authors have indicated that its low molecular size can permit a free diffusion across the cell membrane causing significant damage in the cell cytoplasm (Eswaranandam et al., 2004). Therefore, a better understanding about the mode of antimicrobial action of malic acid is still necessary.

The objective of the present study was to determine the minimal inhibitory (MIC) and minimal bactericidal (MBC) concentrations of malic acid against *L. monocytogenes, S. Enteritidis* and *E. coli* O157:H7 in apple, pear and melon juices stored at 5, 20 and 35 °C.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Fruits and juices preparation

"Fuji" apples (*Malus domestica* Borkh), "Flor de invierno" pears (*Pyrus communis* L.) and "Piel de sapo" melons (*Cucumis melo* L.) at commercial ripeness were purchased in a supermarket of Lleida (Spain) for preparing fruit juices. Each fruit was washed, peeled, cut into pieces and blended using an Ufesa blender (Model BP 4512, Vitoria, Spain). Fruit juices obtained were then centrifuged at 12,500 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C in an Avanti<sup>™</sup> J-25 Centrifuge (Beckman Instrument Inc., USA). Each supernatant juice was filtered, bottled and autoclaved in a Presoclave 75 (J.P. Selecta, S.A., Barcelona, Spain) at 121 °C for 15 min to obtain fruit juices free of microorganisms.

#### 2.2. Addition of malic acid to fruit juices

From a sterile solution of D-L-malic acid (Scharlau Chemie S.A., Barcelona, Spain) at 30%, final concentrations to 0%, 0.2%, 0.4%, 0.6%, 0.8%, 1.0%, 1.5%, 2.0% and 2.5% (v/v) of this acid were added to 100 ml of sterile apple, pear and melon juices individually bottled into 150 ml sterilized polypropylene containers with polyethylene screw-cap (Deltalab, Barcelona, Spain) under a horizontal laminar air flow cabinet (Telstar, S.A., Barcelona, Spain) in aseptic conditions. A pair of containers of each fruit juice and malic acid concentration was prepared. Experiments were carried out twice.

#### 2.3. Cultures and inoculation process

L. monocytogenes 1.131 (CECT 932) and E. coli O157:H7 (CECT 4267) from the Spanish Type Culture Collection of the University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain, and S. Enteritidis 1.82 (NCTC 9001) from the National Collection of Type Culture of the Central Public Health Laboratory, London, UK, were maintained in tryptone soy agar (TSA) (Biokar Diagnostics, Beauvais, France) slants at 5 °C until use. Stock cultures of L. monocytogenes and E. coli O157:H7 were grown on tryptone soy broth (TSB) (Biokar Diagnostics) with 0.6% (w/v) yeast extract (YE) (Biokar Diagnostics); whereas, S. Enteritidis was cultured in TSB. E. coli O157:H7 and S. Enteritidis were incubated at 37 °C with continuous agitation for 11 h at 120 rpm, while L. monocytogenes was incubated at 35 °C with continuous shaking for 15 h at 200 rpm to obtain cells in early stationary growth phase. The maximum growth for L. monocytogenes, S. Enteritidis and E. coli O157:H7 was 10<sup>9</sup> colonies forming units/milliliter (CFU/ml). Concentrations were then adjusted to 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ml using saline peptone water (0.1% (w/v) peptone plus 0.85% (w/v) NaCl, Scharlau Chemie, S.A., Barcelona, Spain). An aliquot of 1 ml of bacterial suspension (L. monocytogenes, S. Enteritidis or E. coli O157:H7) at approximately 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ml was individually added to each fruit juice sample containing malic acid in different concentrations. A control of each juice (apple, pear and melon) without malic acid was also inoculated.

### 2.4. Determination of minimal inhibitory (MIC) and minimal bactericidal (MBC) concentrations

MICs and MBCs of malic acid against L. monocytogenes, S. enteritidis and E. coli O157:H7 were determined by the broth dilution method reported by Davidson and Parish (1989). For that, apple, pear and melon juices with or without malic acid added and individually inoculated with L. monocytogenes, S. Enteritidis and E. coli O157:H7 were incubated at 5  $^{\circ}$ C(temperature normally used for their preservation) for 120 h and, at 20 and 35 °C during 24 h to simulate abuse temperatures. Afterwards, an aliquot of 1 ml of those incubated fruit juices and serial decimal dilutions prepared from their were added to sterile petri plates, and then molten and cooled TSA medium was added to check viable bacteria. In addition, an aliquot of 500 µl of those incubated fruit juices were added to tubes with TSB medium (4.5 ml) to reconfirm cellular death. Those plates and tubes were incubated at 35 °C for 24 h. The MIC was considered as the lowest concentration to maintain or reduce ≤1 log CFU/ml the inoculum level, whereas, the MBC was considered as the lowest concentration where a reduction >1 logCFU/ml of the inoculated population was observed. Likewise, the necessary minimum concentration to inactivate more than 5 logCFU/ml of each microorganism was also established after examination of the plates and tubes.

#### 2.5. pH determination

The pH of apple, pear and melon juices with different concentrations of malic acid was determined (Table 1) using a Microprocessor pH meter Hanna Instruments PH210 (Vernon Hills, USA).

#### 2.6. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

Cells of *L. monocytogenes*, *S. Enteritidis* and *E. coli* O157:H7 were cultured in TSB medium as in Section 2.3, fruit juices (melon, pear and apple) and fruit juices with malic acid. Afterwards, they were fixed in glutaraldehyde (2.5% in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4) for 1 h, rinsed three times for 10 min with 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and post-fixed with 1% osmium tetraoxide for 2 h a 4 °C. After fixation, the cells were rinsed three times for 10 min with 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) and 95% acetone sequentially for 15 min each. Next, the cells were dehydrated three times for 30 min with 100% acetone. After dehydration, the cells were sequentially infiltrated with a mixture of propylene oxide:Durcupan's ACM epoxy resin (3:1, 1:1 and 1:3)

1		
waa af ammla	 	

Table

pH values of apple, pear and melon juices with different concentrations of malic acid

pH <sup>a</sup>	pH <sup>a</sup>		
Apple	Pear	Melon	
$3.94 \pm 0.01$	$4.60 \pm 0.03$	5.45 ± 0.21	
3.57 ± 0.01	3.73 ± 0.01	4.31 ± 0.04	
3.31 ± 0.02	$3.45 \pm 0.04$	$3.84 \pm 0.03$	
3.13 ± 0.03	$3.25 \pm 0.02$	$3.62 \pm 0.02$	
$3.06 \pm 0.01$	$3.20 \pm 0.15$	$3.47 \pm 0.01$	
$2.97 \pm 0.02$	$2.99 \pm 0.01$	$3.32 \pm 0.04$	
$2.79 \pm 0.04$	2.81 ± 0.03	3.13 ± 0.03	
$2.68 \pm 0.04$	$2.65 \pm 0.01$	3.03 ± 0.01	
$2.61 \pm 0.01$	$2.51 \pm 0.02$	$2.91 \pm 0.01$	
	Apple $3.94 \pm 0.01$ $3.57 \pm 0.01$ $3.31 \pm 0.02$ $3.13 \pm 0.03$ $3.06 \pm 0.01$ $2.97 \pm 0.02$ $2.79 \pm 0.04$ $2.68 \pm 0.04$	ApplePear $3.94 \pm 0.01$ $4.60 \pm 0.03$ $3.57 \pm 0.01$ $3.73 \pm 0.01$ $3.31 \pm 0.02$ $3.45 \pm 0.04$ $3.13 \pm 0.03$ $3.25 \pm 0.02$ $3.06 \pm 0.01$ $3.20 \pm 0.15$ $2.97 \pm 0.02$ $2.99 \pm 0.01$ $2.79 \pm 0.04$ $2.81 \pm 0.03$ $2.68 \pm 0.04$ $2.65 \pm 0.01$	

<sup>a</sup> Means  $\pm$  standard deviation obtained in two determinations, each one in duplicated (n = 4).

Download English Version:

## https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4560306

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4560306

Daneshyari.com