



Review

Neighbor discovery in traditional wireless networks and cognitive radio networks: Basics, taxonomy, challenges and future research directions



Athar Ali Khan^{a,*}, Mubashir Husain Rehmani^a, Yasir Saleem^b

^a COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Wah Cantt, Pakistan

^b Sunway University, Malaysia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 30 July 2014

Received in revised form

21 December 2014

Accepted 5 March 2015

Available online 17 March 2015

Keywords:

Neighbor discovery

Cognitive radio network

Gossiping

Distributed cooperation

Common control channel

ABSTRACT

Cognitive radio network is designed to opportunistically exploit the licensed band. To deploy a cognitive radio network, nodes need to perform the neighbor discovery process in order to enable communication and connectivity in the network. Neighbor discovery not only helps in successful and efficient communication in cognitive radio networks but also provides solutions to a majority of other traditional wireless network problems, such as gossiping or broadcasting a message, a global common control channel allocation, etc. In this paper, we provide a survey on neighbor discovery for traditional wireless networks and cognitive radio networks. In this perspective, we first provide basics and features of neighbor discovery, as well as, the challenges when moving from traditional wireless networks towards cognitive radio networks, in order to pave the way for a better understanding of the neighbor discovery in cognitive radio networks. We provide detailed taxonomy of neighbor discovery protocols in traditional wireless networks and cognitive radio networks. Finally, open issues, challenges, and future research directions have been highlighted for neighbor discovery in cognitive radio networks.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction.....	174
2. Neighbor discovery basics, features of an efficient neighbor discovery algorithm, and challenges in CRNs.....	175
2.1. Formal definition of neighbor discovery.....	175
2.2. Why neighbor discovery?.....	175
2.3. The neighbor discovery process.....	175
2.4. Feature of efficient neighbor discovery algorithm.....	175
2.5. Challenges of neighbor discovery in CRNs.....	175
2.5.1. Primary user activity.....	176
2.5.2. Availability of multiple channels.....	176
2.5.3. Diversity in channels availability.....	176
2.5.4. Lack of common control channel.....	176
2.5.5. High channel switching.....	176
2.5.6. Broadcast storm problem.....	176
2.5.7. Higher energy consumption.....	176
2.5.8. High messages overhead.....	176
3. Taxonomy of neighbor discovery in traditional wireless networks.....	176
3.1. Classification of neighbor discovery algorithms according to approach used.....	176
3.1.1. Distance bounding approach.....	176
3.1.2. Location based approach.....	178
3.1.3. Directional and omni-directional antenna approach.....	178
3.1.4. RF fingerprinting and connectivity approach.....	179

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +92 345 5610940.

E-mail address: atharalikhan_wahcantt@yahoo.com (A.A. Khan).

3.1.5.	Centralized approach	179
3.2.	Classification of ND algorithms according to networks	179
3.2.1.	Spontaneous networks	179
3.2.2.	Machine-to-machine (M2M) networks	179
3.2.3.	Bluetooth networks	179
3.2.4.	Vehicular networks	179
3.2.5.	Wireless sensor networks	179
3.3.	Classification of ND algorithms according to inherent features	180
3.3.1.	Energy efficiency	180
3.3.2.	Collision/interference	180
3.3.3.	Multi-channels	180
3.3.4.	Security	180
3.3.5.	Mobility	181
3.3.6.	Link detection reliability	181
3.3.7.	Directional antenna	181
4.	Neighbor discovery in cognitive radio networks	181
4.1.	Random ND protocols for CRNs	182
4.1.1.	Synchronous operation	182
4.1.2.	Asynchronous operation	184
4.1.3.	Common channel set	184
4.2.	Deterministic ND protocols for CRNs	185
4.2.1.	Synchronous operation	185
4.2.2.	Asynchronous operation	186
4.2.3.	Collision free algorithm	186
4.3.	Probabilistic ND protocols for CRNs	186
4.3.1.	Asynchronous operation	186
4.4.	Distributed ND protocols for CRNs	186
4.4.1.	Synchronous operation	186
4.4.2.	Asynchronous operation	187
5.	Issues, challenges and future research directions for neighbor discovery protocols in CRNs	187
5.1.	Coordination among nodes	187
5.2.	Interference of control messages	187
5.3.	Delay	187
5.4.	Finding a common channels set	187
5.5.	Termination detection	188
5.6.	Link reliability	188
5.7.	Security	188
5.8.	Energy consumption	188
6.	Conclusion	188
	References	188

1. Introduction

In recent times, with the increasing applications in wireless communication, there is a possibility of an acute shortage of bandwidth in the near future. The available spectrum is becoming extremely populated due to various applications, such as television transmission, microwave communication, and cellular communication. However, it has been observed that a significant portion of this allocated spectrum is not properly utilized (Mittal et al., 2009). Cognitive Radio (CR) is a promising technology likely to be adopted in the very near future to alleviate the spectrum under-utilization problem (Asterjadhi and Zorzi, 2010). Equipped with highly flexible spectrum sensing capabilities, CR technology enables wireless nodes to change their transmission parameters, so that they can dynamically adapt to spectrum availability in their geographical region. A Cognitive Radio Network (CRN) comprises of CR nodes scattered geographically, which can opportunistically perform spectrum access (Krishnamurthy et al., 2009). CR nodes scan and identify unused spectrum resources in the licensed bands without causing interference to the legitimate users, assigned to these frequency bands (Mittal et al., 2009; Asterjadhi and Zorzi, 2010). A channel is believed to be available if CR nodes can communicate on this channel for a reasonable amount of time without causing any interference with the legitimate users (Mittal et al., 2009).

The legitimate users assigned to communicate on the licensed frequency bands are called Primary Users (PUs) and all other looking for opportunistic spectrum access are called Cognitive Radio (CR) nodes or Secondary Users (SUs). An SU scans unused channels in the operating environment and after identification of these channels, starts its communication over these channels. If PU returns to this channel, the SU has to vacate it and switch to some other available channel for communication (Arachchige et al., 2008). This mechanism is known as spectrum handoff.

A SU node maintains the set of locally available channels after scanning the spectrum usage. This set of channels may be different for different SUs, leading to follow layer-2 auto-configuration issues i.e., *without any central authority, how do nodes know about their potential neighbors, and what is the set of available channels to form a communication infrastructure* (Mittal et al., 2009; Arachchige et al., 2008). Hence, the problem of Neighbor Discovery (ND) comes forward.

To be more precise, in order to ensure successful communication in CRN, SUs have to adapt to certain mechanisms, such as information sharing about neighborhood and spectrum availability. But it is possible only if SUs are aware of each other and a network of trusted users has been formed. First step in this regard is the successful completion of neighbor discovery phase and knowledge of available channels that can be used for communication among neighbors. The dynamic and challenging wireless

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/457092>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/457092>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)