

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.ScienceDirect.com/)

Finite Fields and Their Applications

[www.elsevier.com/locate/ffa](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ffa)

## Codes over a weighted torus



**FINITE FIELDS** 

Eduardo Dias <sup>a</sup>*,*<sup>1</sup>, Jorge Neves <sup>b</sup>*,*∗*,*<sup>2</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Mathematics Institute, Zeeman Building, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK* <sup>b</sup> *CMUC, Department of Mathematics, University of Coimbra, 3001-454 Coimbra, Portugal*

### A R T I C L E I N F O A B S T R A C T

*Article history:* Received 28 February 2014 Received in revised form 30 October 2014 Accepted 20 November 2014 Available online 4 December 2014 Communicated by W. Cary Huffman

*MSC:* primary 13P25 secondary 14G50, 14G15, 11T71, 94B27, 94B05

*Keywords:* Projective Reed–Muller codes Maximum distance separable codes Vanishing ideals Numerical semigroups Hilbert series Index of regularity

We define the notion of weighted projective Reed–Muller codes over a subset  $X \subset \mathbb{P}(w_1, \ldots, w_s)$  of a weighted projective space over a finite field. We focus on the case when *X* is a projective weighted torus. We show that the vanishing ideal of *X* is a lattice ideal and relate it with the lattice ideal of a minimal presentation of the semigroup algebra of the numerical semigroup  $Q = \langle w_1, \ldots, w_s \rangle \subset \mathbb{N}$ . We compute the index of regularity of the vanishing ideal of *X* in terms of the weights of the projective space and the Frobenius number of *Q*. We compute the basic parameters of weighted projective Reed–Muller codes over a 1-dimensional weighted torus and prove they are maximum distance separable codes.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

<sup>1</sup> The work of the first author was supported by POPH and QREN through FCT grant SFRH/BD/ 61216/2009.

<sup>2</sup> The second author was partially funded by CMUC, through European program COMPETE/FEDER and FCT project PEst-C/MAT/UI0324/2011. Part of work was developed during a research visit of the second author to CINVESTAV of the IPN, México, under the financial support of a research grant from Santander Totta Bank (Portugal).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

*E-mail addresses:* [eduardo.m.dias@gmail.com](mailto:eduardo.m.dias@gmail.com) (E. Dias), [neves@mat.uc.pt](mailto:neves@mat.uc.pt) (J. Neves).

## 1. Introduction

A standard projective Reed–Muller code,  $C_X(d)$ , is the image of the degree *d* homogeneous component of a standard polynomial ring  $K[t_1, \ldots, t_s]$  over a finite field K by a homomorphism defined by evaluation of forms of degree *d* on the points of an arbitrary subset  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^s$ . In this work we define the notion of *weighted projective* Reed–Muller *code* (see [Definition 3.1\)](#page--1-0). This notion differs from the standard definition in that the grading of  $K[t_1, \ldots, t_s]$ , which is given by  $\deg(t_i) = w_i \geq 1$ , for coprime integers  $w_i$ , is not necessarily the standard one. We focus on the family of codes  $C_{\mathbb{T}}(d)$  associated with a weighted  $(s - 1)$ -dimensional projective torus  $\mathbb{T}(w_1, \ldots, w_s)$  (see [Definition 2.4\)](#page--1-0).

Standard projective Reed–Muller codes of order  $d \leq q$  were defined and studied by Lachaud in [\[17,18\]](#page--1-0) and, for all  $d \geq 0$ , by Sørensen in [\[31\].](#page--1-0) Much of the recent research on projective Reed–Muller codes over an arbitrary subset of  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^s$  focuses on the computation of their basic parameters: *length*, *dimension* and *minimum distance* (see [Definition 3.3\)](#page--1-0). When  $X = \mathbb{P}^s$  all the basic parameters are known (*cf.* [\[18,31\]\)](#page--1-0); in particular, projective Reed–Muller codes over P<sup>1</sup> are *maximum distance separable* codes. In general, an approach to this computation using commutative algebra (as in [\[26\]\)](#page--1-0) relies on a good understanding of  $I_X \subset K[t_1, \ldots, t_s]$ , the vanishing ideal of *X*. Many authors have studied projective Reed–Muller codes over a subset  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^s$  for which the ideal  $I_X$ is well understood; *e.g.*, when *X* is the set of rational points of a complete intersection, *cf.* [\[1,4,5,7,11,15,29,30\],](#page--1-0) when *X* is the Segre embedding of the product of two projective spaces, *cf.* [\[12\],](#page--1-0) when *X* is the Veronese variety, *cf.* [\[13\],](#page--1-0) when *X* is an affine Cartesian product, *cf.* [\[3,19,10\],](#page--1-0) when *X* is the projective torus in  $\mathbb{P}^s$  and, more generally, when *X* is an *algebraic toric subset*, *cf.* [\[21–23,25,28,29\].](#page--1-0)

The advantage of working with subsets of the torus is that for a certain subclass of these subsets (consisting of algebraic toric subsets, as defined by Villarreal et al. in [\[25,27\]\)](#page--1-0) the ideal  $I_X$  is a lattice ideal. Like in the standard case,  $I_T$ , the vanishing ideal of the weighted torus  $\mathbb{T}(w_1, \ldots, w_s)$ , is also a lattice ideal. Indeed, we show that  $I_{\mathbb{T}}$  is Cohen–Macaulay, 1-dimensional and can be obtained from the lattice ideal of a minimal presentation of the semigroup algebra of the numerical semigroup  $Q = \langle w_1, \ldots, w_s \rangle \subset \mathbb{N}$ (*cf.* [Theorem 2.8\)](#page--1-0). The lattice ideal of a minimal presentation of the semigroup algebra was first studied by Herzog in  $[16]$ . He gives a sufficient condition for this ideal to be a complete intersection (see [Remark 2.12\)](#page--1-0), which, combined with our results, is also a sufficient condition for  $I_{\mathbb{T}}$  to be a complete intersection. The relation between  $I_{\mathbb{T}}$  and the lattice ideal of a minimal presentation of *Q* enables the computation of the Hilbert series and the index of regularity of  $K[t_1, \ldots, t_s]/I_{\mathbb{T}}$  in terms of  $w_1, \ldots, w_s$  and the Frobenius number of *Q* (*cf.* [Theorem 3.8](#page--1-0) and [Corollary 3.9\)](#page--1-0). The importance, from a coding theory point of view, of the knowledge of the index of regularity is clearer in the case of standard projective Reed–Muller codes. Here, the function  $\dim_K C_X(d)$  is strictly increasing and the value of *d* for which  $\dim_K C_X(d)$  becomes constant and is equal to the dimension of the ambient space (thus, for which  $C_X(d)$  becomes the trivial code) is precisely given by the index of regularity. In the weighted case  $\dim_K C_X(d)$  is not necessarily an increasing

Download English Version:

# <https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4582714>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4582714>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)