

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.ScienceDirect.com/)

Finite Fields and Their Applications

www.elsevier.com/locate/ffa

Optimal equi-difference conflict-avoiding codes of odd length and weight three

Yiling Lin^{a,∗}, Miwako Mishima^b, Junya Satoh^a, Masakazu Jimbo^a

^a *Graduate School of Information Science, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, 464-8601, Japan*

^b *Department of Electrical, Electronic and Computer Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Gifu University, 1-1 Yanagido, Gifu, 501-1193, Japan*

article info abstract

Article history: Received 29 June 2013 Received in revised form 3 October 2013 Accepted 8 November 2013 Available online 28 November 2013 Communicated by L. Storme

MSC: 05B30 05B99 94B25 94B65

Keywords: CAC Equi-difference conflict-avoiding code Optimal code Tight code Multiplicative order Cyclotomic polynomial

A conflict-avoiding code (CAC) is known as a protocol sequence for transmitting data packets over a collision channel without feedback. The study of CACs has been focused on determining the size of an optimal code, i.e., the maximum size of a code, and in the past few years it has been settled by several researchers for even length and weight 3 together with constructions. As for odd length, a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a 'tight equi-difference' CAC of weight 3 can be found in Momihara (2007), but the condition is fairly complex and thus only a few explicit series of code lengths are known. Recently, Fu et al. (2013) restated the condition given by Momihara (2007) in a different way, which requires to examine the multiplicative suborder of 2 modulo *p* for each prime factor *p* of *m*. Meanwhile, Ma et al. (2013) presented constructions of an optimal equidifference CAC and an optimal tight CAC of odd prime length *p* and weight 3, and formulated the sizes of such optimal codes. However, for their formulae to have practical meaning, the number of cosets of $-(2)_p ∪ (2)_p$ still needs to be determined, where $(2)_p$ is the multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p^* with generator 2. Moreover, their construction of an optimal tight CAC imposes a certain condition. This implies that even restricting ourselves to odd prime length, to provide a series of odd code length for which the maximum size of a CAC of weight 3 can be determined is a demanding problem.

In this article, we will give some explicit series of tight/optimal equi-difference CACs of odd length and weight 3 by revisiting some

Corresponding author.

1071-5797/\$ – see front matter © 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ffa.2013.11.001>

E-mail addresses: lin@math.cm.is.nagoya-u.ac.jp (Y. Lin), miwako@gifu-u.ac.jp (M. Mishima), jsatoh@is.nagoya-u.ac.jp (J. Satoh), jimbo@is.nagoya-u.ac.jp (M. Jimbo).

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

A conflict-avoiding code has been studied as a protocol sequence for a multiple-access channel (collision channel) without feedback $[6,9,10,13,17,20]$. For the technical description of such a multipleaccess channel model, see [\[1,12\].](#page--1-0)

In mathematical terms, a conflict-avoiding code (CAC) of length *m* and weight *w* is defined as a set *C* ⊆ {0*,* 1} *^m* of binary vectors, called *codewords*, of Hamming weight *w* such that arbitrary cyclic shifts *x'*, *y'* of distinct codewords *x*, *y* ∈ *C* intersect at most at one coordinate, i.e., dist(*x'*, *y'*) \geq 2*w* − 2 holds, where dist(x' , y') is the Hamming distance between x' and y' . We denote the class of all the CACs of length *m* and weight *w* by CAC*(m, w)*.

The *support* of a codeword $x = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{m-1})$ is the set of indices of its nonzero coordinates. In this article, a codeword is expressed by its support, not as a binary vector. Then any code $C \in$ CAC(*m*, *w*) can be regarded as a collection of *w*-subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_m = \{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$, the ring of residues modulo *m*, such that

$$
\Delta(x) \cap \Delta(y) = \emptyset \quad \text{for any } x, y \in C,
$$

where $\Delta(x) = \{j - i \pmod{m} : i, j \in x, i \neq j\}$ is the set of differences arising from *x*. Since for any codeword *x* in a code $C \in CAC(m, w)$, the elements of $\Delta(x)$ are symmetric with respect to $m/2$, we henceforth consider the halved difference set

$$
\Delta_2(x) = \left\{ i \in \Delta(x) : i \leqslant \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor \right\}
$$

instead of $\Delta(x)$. We also use the notation $\Delta_2(C)$ to denote $\bigcup_{x \in C} \Delta_2(x)$.

If *x* is of form $\{0, i, \ldots, (w - 1)i\}$, then it is said to be *equi-difference* (or *centered* when $w = 3$), and if every codeword in a code $C \in \text{CAC}(m, w)$ is equi-difference, then *C* is called an *equi-difference* code (or *centered* code when $w = 3$). The class of all the equi-difference CACs of length m and weight *w* is denoted by $CAC^e(m, w)$. Obviously $CAC^e(m, w) \subseteq CAC(m, w)$.

Let $M(m, w)$ be the maximum size of a code in $CAC(m, w)$, i.e.,

$$
M(m, w) = \max\{|C|: C \in \text{CAC}(m, w)\}.
$$

A code *C* ∈ CAC(*m*, *w*) is said to be *optimal* if $|C| = M(m, w)$. Furthermore, an optimal code *C* ∈ CAC(*m*, *w*) is said to be tight if $\Delta_2(C) = \{1, 2, ..., \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor\}$. The maximum size of a code in CAC^{*e*}(*m*, *w*) is defined as

$$
M^{e}(m, w) = \max\{|C|: C \in \mathsf{CAC}^{e}(m, w)\}\
$$

similarly to $M(m, w)$. Several constructions for optimal equi-difference CACs of weight $w \geqslant 4$ can be found in [\[16\].](#page--1-0)

As for $w = 3$, the functions $M(m, 3)$ and $M^e(m, 3)$ were studied in [\[8–10,15\].](#page--1-0) Levenshtein and Tonchev [\[10\]](#page--1-0) proved that

$$
M(m, 3) = M^e(m, 3) = \frac{m-2}{4}
$$
 if $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4582923>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4582923>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)