



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra)



# Ascending chains of finitely generated subgroups



Mark Shusterman

*Open Space – Room Number 2, Schreiber Building (Mathematics),  
Tel-Aviv University, Levanon Street, Tel-Aviv, Israel*

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 10 January 2016

Available online 3 October 2016

Communicated by Dan Segal

### Keywords:

Profinite groups

Pro- $p$  groups

Limit groups

Rank gradient

Chain conditions

Commensurators

Greenberg–Stallings property

## ABSTRACT

We show that a nonempty family of  $n$ -generated subgroups of a pro- $p$  group has a maximal element. This suggests that ‘Noetherian Induction’ can be used to discover new features of finitely generated subgroups of pro- $p$  groups. To demonstrate this, we show that in various pro- $p$  groups  $\Gamma$  (e.g. free pro- $p$  groups, nonsolvable Demushkin groups) the commensurator of a finitely generated subgroup  $H \neq 1$  is the greatest subgroup of  $\Gamma$  containing  $H$  as an open subgroup. We also show that an ascending chain of  $n$ -generated subgroups of a limit group must terminate (this extends the analogous result for free groups proved by Takahasi, Higman, and Kapovich–Myasnikov).

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Chain conditions play a prominent role in Algebra. A good example is the variety of results on Noetherian rings and their modules. In this work we consider chain conditions on profinite groups. All the group-theoretic notions considered for these groups should be understood in the topological sense, i.e. subgroups are closed, homomorphisms are continuous, generators are topological, etc. Fix once and for all a prime number  $p$ . The

*E-mail address:* [markshus@mail.tau.ac.il](mailto:markshus@mail.tau.ac.il).

*URL:* <http://markshus.wix.com/math>.

ascending chain condition on finitely generated subgroups does not hold for pro- $p$  groups in general, and our first result is some kind of remedy for this.

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\Gamma$  be a pro- $p$  group, and let  $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$  be a family of  $n$ -generated subgroups of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  has a maximal element with respect to inclusion.*

As illustrated in the sequel, this simple result unveils new properties of pro- $p$  groups and their finitely generated subgroups. An example is the following theorem, for which we need some definitions. We say that a pro- $p$  group  $\Gamma$  has a **Hereditarily Linearly Increasing Rank** (the word ‘rank’ is to be understood in the sense of a minimal number of generators) if for every finitely generated subgroup  $H \leq_c \Gamma$  there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for any open subgroup  $U \leq_o H$  we have

$$d(U) \geq \max\{d(H), \epsilon(d(H) - 1)[H : U]\} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $d(K)$  stands for the smallest cardinality of a generating set for the pro- $p$  group  $K$ . That is, our definition says that the minimal number of generators of finite index subgroups of  $H$  grows monotonically, and linearly (unless  $H$  is procyclic) as a function of the index. Examples of groups with this property include free pro- $p$  groups, nonsolvable Demushkin groups, and groups from the class  $\mathcal{L}$  all of whose abelian subgroups are procyclic (see [30]).

The linear growth of the number of generators of subgroups of  $H$  as a function of their index means that the rank gradient of  $H$ , defined by

$$\inf_{U \leq_o H} \frac{d(U) - 1}{[H : U]} \tag{1.2}$$

is positive. The rank gradient is at the focus of much recent research in both profinite and abstract group theory, as can be seen, for instance, from [1,2,7,15,19,22,24,28,29].

Let us introduce some more definitions and notation. Subgroups  $H_1, H_2$  of a profinite group  $\Gamma$  are said to be commensurable if  $H_1 \cap H_2$  is open in both  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ . Given a subgroup  $H \leq_c \Gamma$ , the commensurator of  $H$  in  $\Gamma$ , that is, the set of  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  for which  $H$  and  $\gamma H \gamma^{-1}$  are commensurable, is denoted by  $\text{Comm}_\Gamma(H)$ . The commensurator is an abstract subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . We define the family of ‘finite extensions’ of  $H$  in  $\Gamma$  by  $\mathcal{F} := \{R \leq_c \Gamma \mid H \leq_o R\}$ . Following [26], we say that  $M \in \mathcal{F}$  is the root of  $H$  (and write  $M = \sqrt{H}$ ) if  $M$  is the greatest element in  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to inclusion. Note that  $\mathcal{F}$  may fail to have a greatest element, so  $H$  does not necessarily have a root.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a pro- $p$  group with a hereditarily linearly increasing rank, and let  $1 \neq H \leq_c \Gamma$  be a finitely generated subgroup. Then  $\text{Comm}_\Gamma(H) = \sqrt{H}$ , and the action of any  $\sqrt{H} \triangleleft_c L \leq_c \Gamma$  by multiplication from the left on  $L/H$  is faithful.*

In particular, there are only finitely many subgroups of  $\Gamma$  that contain  $H$  as an open subgroup (and  $\text{Comm}_\Gamma(H)$  is one of these). Thus,  $H$  is also an open subgroup of its

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4583619>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4583619>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)