

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Journal of Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra

## Principal minor ideals and rank restrictions on their vanishing sets



ALGEBRA

Ashley K. Wheeler

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR 72701, United States

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 19 March 2015 Available online 24 August 2016 Communicated by Bernd Ulrich

Keywords: Principal minor ideals Determinantal ideals Matroid varieties Positroid varieties Grassmannians

#### ABSTRACT

All matrices we consider have entries in a fixed algebraically closed field K. A minor of a square matrix is *principal* means it is defined by the same row and column indices. We study the ideal generated by size t principal minors of a generic matrix, and restrict our attention to locally closed subsets of its vanishing set, given by matrices of a fixed rank. The main result is a computation of the dimension of the locally closed set of  $n \times n$  rank n - 2 matrices whose size n - 2 principal minors vanish; this set has dimension  $n^2 - n - 4$ .

@ 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Given a generic  $n \times n$  matrix X, and K[X] the polynomial ring in entries  $x_{ij}$  of X over some algebraically closed field K, we study the ideals  $\mathfrak{B}_t = \mathfrak{B}_t(X)$ , generated by the size t principal minors of X. Historically, various ideals defined using generic matrices have been of great interest to algebraistis – such examples include the determinantal ideals (see [4–6,9,19,23]), due to their connection to invariant theory (as in [2]) and the Pfaffian ideals (see [3,10–12,18]), whose study is often inspired by the result from [1],

E-mail address: ashleykw@uark.edu.

 $<sup>\</sup>label{eq:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2016.08.013} 0021-8693 @ 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.$ 

as well as their connection to invariant theory. In developing their generalized version of the Principal Minor Theorem, Kodiyalam, Lam, and Swan [14] reveal a contrast between the principal minor ideals and the Pfaffian ideals: while the Pfaffian ideals, like the determinantal ideals, satisfy a chain condition according to rank, the principal minor ideals do not. Furthermore, in [24] it is shown that, unlike determinantal ideals and Pfaffian ideals, principal minor ideals are not, in general, Cohen–Macaulay.

Principal minors arise in many other contexts – see, for example, [8,15,16,21,22]. The most direct study of principal minors is in [24]. There, it is shown the algebraic set  $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{B}_{n-1})$  has two components: one given by the determinantal ideal  $I_{n-1}$  and the other given by a height *n* ideal,  $\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1}$ , that is the contraction to K[X] of the kernel of the map

$$K[X] \left[ \frac{1}{\det X} \right] \to K[X] \left[ \frac{1}{\det X} \right] / \mathfrak{B}_1$$
$$X \to X^{-1}.$$

When n = 4,  $\mathfrak{B}_{n-1}$  is reduced and as a consequence,  $I_{n-1}$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}_{n-1}$  are linked in that case. Identifying the components for  $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{B}_{n-1})$  relies on another result within that paper, that if  $\mathcal{Y}_{n,r,t}$  denotes the locally closed set of  $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{B}_t)$  consisting of rank r matrices, then for all n, t,

$$\mathcal{Y}_{n,n,t} \cong \mathcal{Y}_{n,n,n-t}$$

as schemes.

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 gives the necessary preliminaries for the remainder of the paper. We focus on the components of  $\mathcal{V}(\mathfrak{B}_t)$  by restricting to the locally closed subsets  $\mathcal{Y}_{n,r,t}$ , consisting of matrices of rank exactly r. Our main result, given in Section 3, is a computation of the dimension of  $\mathcal{Y}_{n,n-2,n-2}$ .

**Theorem** (3, Section 3.3). The locally closed set of  $n \times n$  rank n-2 matrices in Spec K[X], whose size n-2 principal minors vanish, has dimension  $n^2 - 4 - n$ .

In studying the components of  $\mathcal{Y}_{n,n-2,n-2}$  we define a bundle map  $\Theta$  (see Equation (1), Section 2) that reduces the problem to studying pairs of subsets in  $\operatorname{Grass}(n-2,n)$ . The technique in proving Theorem 3 is as follows: Given a point in the Grassmannian, we encode exactly which of its Plücker coordinates do and do not vanish in a simple graph. Such graphs are called *permissible* (see Section 3.2). We then define the notion of a permissible subvariety of the Grassmannian, along with its corresponding graph. We prove and then use the properties of permissible graphs to compute the dimension of  $\mathcal{Y}_{n,n-2,n-2}$ .

In Section 3.4 we suggest a natural extension of the techniques from Section 3.2 to the locally closed sets  $\mathcal{Y}_{n,n-3,n-3}$ . More generally, the structure of  $\mathcal{Y}_{n,t,t}$  turns out to be of great interest in its own right, in fact leading to questions that are NP-hard (see [7] Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4583641

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4583641

Daneshyari.com