



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra)



## On the utility of Robinson–Amitsur ultrafilters. II



Pasha Zusmanovich

*Department of Mathematics, University of Ostrava, Ostrava, Czech Republic*

### ARTICLE INFO

#### *Article history:*

Received 14 September 2015

Available online 9 August 2016

Communicated by Louis Rowen

#### *Keywords:*

Subdirect irreducibility

Direct product

Ultraproduct

$\kappa$ -complete ultrafilter

Variety

### ABSTRACT

We extend the result of the previous paper under the same title about embedding of ideal-determined algebraic systems into ultraproducts, to arbitrary algebraic systems, and to ultraproducts over  $\kappa$ -complete ultrafilters. We also discuss the scope of applicability of this result, and correct a mistake from the previous paper concerning homomorphisms from ultraproducts.

© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 0. Introduction

In [8], an old and simple trick, used by A. Robinson and S. Amitsur (see [1, Proof of Theorem 15] and [2, Theorem 3]) to establish, in the context of ring theory, an embedding of certain rings in ultraproducts, was generalized to algebraic systems with ideal-determined congruences. Here we push it to the full generality, for arbitrary algebraic systems without any restrictions on their congruences.

## 1. Recollection on congruences, ultrafilters, and ultraproducts

We refer to [3] and [6] for the rudiments of universal algebra and model theory we need.

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2013.04.024>.

E-mail address: [pasha.zusmanovich@osu.cz](mailto:pasha.zusmanovich@osu.cz).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2016.07.023>

0021-8693/© 2016 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Let us recall some basic notions and fix notation. We consider the most general algebraic systems, i.e. sets with a number of operations  $\Omega$  defined on them, of, generally, various arity. The signature  $\Omega$  is arbitrary, but fixed (so, in what follows all algebraic systems are supposed to be of signature  $\Omega$ ). The set of all congruences on a given algebraic system  $A$  forms a partially ordered set (actually, a lattice), and the minimal element in this set is the *trivial congruence*, coinciding with the diagonal  $\{(a, a) \mid a \in A\}$  in  $A \times A$ . The intersection of all congruences containing a given relation  $\rho$ , i.e. a set of pairs of elements from  $A \times A$ , is called a *congruence generated by  $\rho$*  and is denoted by  $\text{Con}(\rho)$ . In the case of one-element relation, i.e. a single pair  $(a, b) \in A \times A$  with  $a \neq b$ , we shorten this notation to  $\text{Con}(a, b)$  and speak about *principal congruences*.

Given a cardinal  $\kappa > 2$ , let us call a set  $\mathcal{S}$  of sets  $\kappa$ -complete, if the intersection of any nonempty set of fewer than  $\kappa$  elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}$ . If a set  $\mathcal{S}$  of subsets of a set  $\mathbb{I}$  satisfies a weaker condition – that the intersection of any nonempty set of fewer than  $\kappa$  elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  contains an element of  $\mathcal{S}$  – then the set of subsets of  $\mathbb{I}$  which are oversets of all such intersections is a  $\kappa$ -complete filter on  $\mathbb{I}$  containing  $\mathcal{S}$  (this is an obvious generalization of the standard and frequently employed fact that any set of subsets satisfying the finite intersection property can be extended to a filter).

An algebraic system  $A$  is called  $\kappa$ -subdirectly irreducible, if either of the following two equivalent conditions is satisfied:

- The set of nontrivial congruences of  $A$  is  $\kappa$ -complete.
- If  $A$  embeds in the direct product of  $< \kappa$  algebraic systems  $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{I}} B_i$ ,  $|\mathbb{I}| < \kappa$ , then  $A$  embeds in one of  $B_i$ 's.

Obviously, the condition of  $\omega$ -subdirect irreducibility, also called *finite subdirect irreducibility*, is equivalent to  $n$ -subdirect irreducibility for any finite  $n > 2$ . Note that  $\omega$ -complete filters (ultrafilters) are just the usual filters (ultrafilters).

Given a filter (respectively, ultrafilter)  $\mathcal{F}$  on a set  $\mathbb{I}$ , the quotient of the direct product of algebraic systems  $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{I}} A_i$  by the congruence

$$\theta_{\mathcal{F}} = \{(a, b) \in (\prod_{i \in \mathbb{I}} A_i) \times (\prod_{i \in \mathbb{I}} A_i) \mid \{i \in \mathbb{I} \mid a(i) = b(i)\} \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

is called *filtered product* (respectively, *ultraproduct*) of the corresponding algebraic systems, and is denoted by  $\prod_{\mathcal{F}} A_i$ .

As any  $\kappa$ -complete ultrafilter on a set of cardinality  $< \kappa$  is principal, the condition of  $\kappa$ -subdirect irreducibility of an algebraic system  $A$  may be trivially reformulated as follows:

- If  $A$  embeds in the direct product of  $< \kappa$  algebraic systems  $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{I}} B_i$ ,  $|\mathbb{I}| < \kappa$ , then  $A$  embeds in the ultraproduct  $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} B_i$  for some ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $\mathbb{I}$ .

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4583751>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4583751>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)