



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra)



# Real reductive Cayley groups of rank 1 and 2<sup>☆</sup>



Mikhail Borovoi<sup>1</sup>

*Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Mathematical Sciences,  
Tel Aviv University, 69978 Tel Aviv, Israel*

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 20 September 2013  
Available online 29 April 2015  
Communicated by Eva  
Bayer-Fluckiger

*MSC:*  
20G20  
20G15  
14E05

### Keywords:

Linear algebraic group  
Cayley group  
Cayley map  
Equivariant birational isomorphism  
Algebraic surface  
Elementary link

## ABSTRACT

A linear algebraic group  $G$  over a field  $K$  is called a Cayley  $K$ -group if it admits a Cayley map, i.e., a  $G$ -equivariant  $K$ -birational isomorphism between the group variety  $G$  and its Lie algebra. We classify real reductive algebraic groups of absolute rank 1 and 2 that are Cayley  $\mathbb{R}$ -groups.

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a connected linear algebraic group defined over a field  $K$ , and let  $\text{Lie}(G)$  denote its Lie algebra. The following definitions are due to Lemire, Popov and Reichstein [12]:

<sup>☆</sup> With an appendix by Igor Dolgachev.

*E-mail address:* [borovoi@post.tau.ac.il](mailto:borovoi@post.tau.ac.il).

<sup>1</sup> Borovoi was partially supported by the Hermann Minkowski Center for Geometry.

**Definitions 1.1.** (See [12].) A *Cayley map* for  $G$  is a  $K$ -birational isomorphism  $G \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Lie}(G)$  which is  $G$ -equivariant with respect to the action of  $G$  on itself by conjugation and the action of  $G$  on  $\text{Lie}(G)$  via the adjoint representation. A linear algebraic  $K$ -group is called a *Cayley group* if it admits a Cayley map. A linear algebraic  $K$ -group is called a *stably Cayley group* if  $G \times_K (\mathbb{G}_{m,K})^r$  is Cayley for some  $r \geq 0$ , where  $\mathbb{G}_{m,K}$  denotes the multiplicative group.

Lemire, Popov and Reichstein [12] classified Cayley and stably Cayley simple groups over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic 0. Borovoi, Kunyavskii, Lemire and Reichstein [2] classified stably Cayley simple  $K$ -groups, and later Borovoi and Kunyavskii [3] classified stably Cayley semisimple  $K$ -groups, over an arbitrary field  $K$  of characteristic 0. Clearly any Cayley  $K$ -group is stably Cayley. In the opposite direction, some of the stably Cayley  $K$ -groups are known to be Cayley, see [12, Examples 1.9, 1.11 and 1.16]. For other stably Cayley  $K$ -groups, it is a difficult problem to determine whether they are Cayley or not. By [2, Lemma 5.4(c)] the answer to the question whether a  $K$ -group is Cayley depends only on the equivalence class of  $G$  up to inner twisting.

By [2, Corollary 7.1] all the reductive  $K$ -groups of rank  $\leq 2$  over a field  $K$  of characteristic 0 are stably Cayley (by the rank we always mean the *absolute* rank). We would like to know, which of those stably Cayley  $K$ -groups of rank  $\leq 2$  are Cayley.

The case of a simple group of type  $\mathbf{G}_2$  was settled in [12, §9.2] and Iskovskikh's papers [9,10]. Namely, a simple group of type  $\mathbf{G}_2$  over an algebraically closed field  $k$  of characteristic 0 is not Cayley. Hence no simple  $K$ -group of type  $\mathbf{G}_2$  over a field  $K$  of characteristic 0 is Cayley.

Popov [15] proved in 1975 that, contrary to what was expected (cf. [13, Remarque, p. 14]), the group  $\mathbf{SL}_3$  over an *algebraically closed field*  $k$  of characteristic 0 is Cayley; see [12, Appendix] for Popov's original proof, and [12, §9.1] for an alternative proof.

Here we are interested in  $\mathbb{R}$ -groups, where  $\mathbb{R}$  denotes the field of real numbers. If  $G$  is an inner form of a split reductive  $\mathbb{R}$ -group, and  $G_{\mathbb{C}} := G \times_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  is *stably Cayley* over  $\mathbb{C}$ , then by [2, Remark 1.8]  $G$  is *stably Cayley* over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Similarly, since  $\mathbf{SL}_{3,\mathbb{C}}$  is *Cayley* over  $\mathbb{C}$  by Popov's theorem, one might expect that the split  $\mathbb{R}$ -group  $\mathbf{SL}_{3,\mathbb{R}}$  is *Cayley* over  $\mathbb{R}$ . However, this turns out to be false, see Theorem 8.1 of Appendix A. On the other hand, the outer form  $\mathbf{SU}_3$  of the split group  $\mathbf{SL}_{3,\mathbb{R}}$  is Cayley, see Theorem 7.1 of Appendix A and Corollary 4.4.

We recall the classification of reductive  $K$ -groups of rank  $\leq 2$ . A reductive  $K$ -group of rank 1 is either a  $K$ -torus or a simple  $K$ -group of type  $\mathbf{A}_1$ . A reductive  $K$ -group of rank 2 is either not semisimple, or semisimple of type  $\mathbf{A}_1 \times \mathbf{A}_1$ , or simple of one of the types  $\mathbf{A}_2$ ,  $\mathbf{B}_2 = \mathbf{C}_2$ , or  $\mathbf{G}_2$ . If a reductive  $K$ -group of rank 2 is not semisimple, then either it is a  $K$ -torus or it is isogenous to the product of a one-dimensional  $K$ -torus and a simple  $K$ -group of type  $\mathbf{A}_1$ .

We recall the classification of *real* simple groups of type  $\mathbf{A}_2$ . Such an  $\mathbb{R}$ -group is isomorphic to one of the groups  $\mathbf{SL}_{3,\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $\mathbf{PGL}_{3,\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $\mathbf{SU}_3$ ,  $\mathbf{PGU}_3$ ,  $\mathbf{SU}(2, 1)$ , or  $\mathbf{PGU}(2, 1)$ . Here, following the Book of Involutions [11, §23], we write  $\mathbf{PGU}_n$  rather than  $\mathbf{PSU}_n$  for

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4584311>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4584311>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)