

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra

Attached primes of local cohomology modules under localization and completion $\stackrel{\Leftrightarrow}{\Rightarrow}$



ALGEBRA

Le Thanh Nhan^{a,*}, Pham Hung Quy^b

 ^a Thai Nguyen College of Sciences, Thai Nguyen University, Thai Nguyen, Viet Nam
^b Department of Mathematics, FPT University, 8 Ton That Thuyet Road, Hanoi, Viet Nam

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 6 May 2014 Available online 18 September 2014 Communicated by Kazuhiko Kurano

MSC: 13D45 13H10 13E05

Keywords: Universally catenary rings whose formal fibers are Cohen-Macaulay Attached primes of local cohomology modules Shifted localization principle

ABSTRACT

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring and M a finitely generated R-module. Following I.G. Macdonald [8], the set of all attached primes of the Artinian local cohomology module $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Att}_R(H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M))$. In [13, Theorem 3.7], R.Y. Sharp proved that if R is a quotient of a Gorenstein local ring then the shifted localization principle holds true for any local cohomology modules $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$, i.e.

$$\operatorname{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}\left(H^{i-\dim(R/\mathfrak{p})}_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})\right) = \left\{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Att}_{R} H^{i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M), \ \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\right\}$$
(1)

for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$. In this paper, we improve Sharp's result as follows: the shifted localization principle holds true if and only if R is universally catenary and all its formal fibers are Cohen–Macaulay, if and only if the shifted completion principle

$$\operatorname{Att}_{\widehat{R}}(H^{i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p}\in\operatorname{Att}_{R}(H^{i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M))} \operatorname{Ass}_{\widehat{R}}(\widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p}\widehat{R})$$
(2)

 $^{^{\}star}$ The authors are supported by the Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under grant numbers 101.04-2014.16 and 101.04-2014.25. This paper was written while the authors visited Vietnam Institute for advanced study in Mathematics (VIASM), they would like to thank VIASM for the very kind support and hospitality. The second author is partially supported by FPT University under grant code DHFPT/2014/01.

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: trtrnhan@yahoo.com (L.T. Nhan), quyph@fpt.edu.vn (P.H. Quy).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2014.08.043 0021-8693/© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

holds true for any local cohomology module $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$. This also improves the main result of the paper by T.D.M. Chau and the first author [2].

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring and M a finitely generated R-module with dim M = d. It is well known that

$$\operatorname{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Ass}_{R} M, \ \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$$

for every prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R. For an Artinian R-module A, the set of all attached primes $\operatorname{Att}_R A$ defined by I.G. Macdonald [8] plays an important role, which is similar to the role of the set of associated primes $\operatorname{Ass}_R M$ of a finitely generated R-module M. It is well known that the local cohomology module $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is Artinian for all $i \geq 0$. Therefore, it is natural to ask whether the analogous relation

$$\operatorname{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}\left(H^{i-\dim(R/\mathfrak{p})}_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})\right) = \left\{\mathfrak{q}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Att}_{R}\left(H^{i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)\right), \ \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\right\}$$
(1)

between $\operatorname{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(H^{i-\dim(R/\mathfrak{p})}_{\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}))$ and $\operatorname{Att}_{R}(H^{i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M))$ holds true for every $H^{i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ and every $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$. If R is a quotient of a Gorenstein local ring, R.Y. Sharp [13, Theorem 3.7] proved that the *shifted localization principle* (1) holds true (see also [1, 11.3.2]). However, it is not the case in general, cf. [1, Example 11.3.14].

Another question is about the relation between the attached primes of $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ over Rand that of $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ over the \mathfrak{m} -adic completion \widehat{R} of R. Denote by \widehat{M} the \mathfrak{m} -adic completion of M. Then we have the following well-known relations between $\operatorname{Ass}_R M$ and $\operatorname{Ass}_{\widehat{R}} \widehat{M}$

$$\operatorname{Ass}_{R} M = \{ \mathfrak{P} \cap R \mid \mathfrak{P} \in \operatorname{Ass}_{\widehat{R}} \widehat{M} \} \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Ass}_{\widehat{R}} \widehat{M} = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}_{R} M} \operatorname{Ass}_{\widehat{R}}(\widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p}\widehat{R}),$$

cf. [9, Theorem 23.2]. For an Artinian *R*-module *A*, we note that *A* has a natural structure as an Artinian \widehat{R} -module. Moreover, $\operatorname{Att}_R A = \{\mathfrak{P} \cap R \mid \mathfrak{P} \in \operatorname{Att}_{\widehat{R}} A\}$ (see [1, 8.2.4, 8.2.5]), which is in some sense dual to the above first relation between $\operatorname{Ass}_R M$ and $\operatorname{Ass}_{\widehat{R}} \widehat{M}$. However, the second analogous relation may not hold true even when $A = H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$, i.e. in general the *shifted completion principle* for the local cohomology module $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$

$$\operatorname{Att}_{\widehat{R}}(H^{i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p}\in\operatorname{Att}_{R}(H^{i}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M))} \operatorname{Ass}_{\widehat{R}}(\widehat{R}/\mathfrak{p}\widehat{R})$$
(2)

is not true, cf. [2, Example 2.3]. Note that if R is a quotient of a Gorenstein local ring, then (2) holds true for any local cohomology module $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$, cf. [2, Proposition 2.6].

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4584544

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4584544

Daneshyari.com