

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra

Algebraic study on Cameron–Walker graphs



ALGEBRA

Takayuki Hibi^a, Akihiro Higashitani^{b,*}, Kyouko Kimura^c, Augustine B. O'Keefe^d

^a Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan ^b Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa-Oiwake cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan ^c Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Science, University,

^c Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Shizuoka University, 836 Ohya, Suruga-ku, Shizuoka 422-8529, Japan ^d Department Mathematica University of Kantacha Langatan KV 10506, U

^d Department of Mathematics, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506, USA

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 2 February 2014 Available online 1 October 2014 Communicated by Luchezar L. Avramov

MSC: 05E40 13H10

Keywords: Finite graph Edge ideal Cameron–Walker graph Unmixed graph Cohen–Macaulay graph Gorenstein graph Sequentially Cohen–Macaulay graph

ABSTRACT

Let G be a finite simple graph on [n] and $I(G) \subset S$ the edge ideal of G, where $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is the polynomial ring over a field K. Let m(G) denote the maximum size of matchings of G and im(G) that of induced matchings of G. It is known that $im(G) \leq reg(S/I(G)) \leq m(G)$, where reg(S/I(G)) is the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity of S/I(G). Cameron and Walker succeeded in classifying the finite connected simple graphs G with im(G) = m(G). We say that a finite connected simple graph G is a Cameron– Walker graph if im(G) = m(G) and if G is neither a star nor a star triangle. In the present paper, we study Cameron–Walker graphs from a viewpoint of commutative algebra. First, we prove that a Cameron–Walker graph G is unmixed if and only if G is Cohen–Macaulay and classify all Cohen-Macaulay Cameron-Walker graphs. Second, we prove that there is no Gorenstein Cameron-Walker graph. Finally, we prove that every Cameron–Walker graph is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: hibi@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp (T. Hibi), ahigashi@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

 $\label{eq:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2014.07.037 \\0021-8693/© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.$

⁽A. Higashitani), skkimur@ipc.shizuoka.ac.jp (K. Kimura), augustine.okeefe@uky.edu (A.B. O'Keefe).

Introduction

Recently, edge ideals of finite simple graphs have been studied by many authors from viewpoints of computational commutative algebra and combinatorics; see [8,14,17], and their references.

Let $[n] = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ be a vertex set and G a finite simple graph on [n] with E(G) its edge set. (A simple graph is a graph with no loop and no multiple edge.) Let $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ denote the polynomial ring in n variables over a field K. The *edge ideal* [10, p. 156] of G is the monomial ideal I(G) of S generated by those monomials $x_i x_j$ with $\{i, j\} \in E(G)$, viz.,

$$I(G) = (x_i x_j : \{i, j\} \in E(G)) \subset S.$$

One of the research topics on I(G) is the computation of the Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity reg(S/I(G)) [10, p. 48] of S/I(G) in terms of the invariants of G.

Recall that a subset M of E(G) is a matching of G if, for e and e' belonging to Mwith $e \neq e'$, one has $e \cap e' = \emptyset$. The matching number m(G) of G is the maximum size of matchings of G. A matching M of G is called an *induced matching* of G if, for e and e' belonging to M with $e \neq e'$, there is no $f \in E(G)$ with $f \cap e \neq \emptyset$ and $e' \cap f \neq \emptyset$. Let im(G) denote the maximum size of induced matchings of G.

For example, if $G = K_n$, a complete graph on [n], then im(G) = 1 and $m(G) = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. If $G = K_{m,n}$ $(m \leq n)$, a complete bipartite graph with vertex partition $[n] \sqcup [m]$, then im(G) = 1 and m(G) = m. If G is the Petersen graph, then im(G) = 3 and m(G) = 5.

It is known ([11, Lemma 2.2] and [9, Theorem 6.7]) that

$$im(G) \le \operatorname{reg}(S/I(G)) \le m(G).$$

One has $\operatorname{reg}(S/I(G)) = im(G)$ for, e.g., chordal graphs, unmixed bipartite graphs and sequentially Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graphs ([9,12,15]; see also [7,13,19,20]).

Cameron and Walker [3, Theorem 1] gave a classification of the finite connected simple graphs G with im(G) = m(G), although there is a mistake; see Remark 0.1 below. By modifying their result slightly, we see that a finite connected simple graph G satisfies im(G) = m(G) if and only if G is one of the following graphs:

- a star;
- a star triangle;
- a finite graph consisting of a connected bipartite graph with vertex partition $[n] \sqcup [m]$ such that there is at least one leaf edge attached to each vertex $i \in [n]$ and that there may be possibly some pendant triangles attached to each vertex $j \in [m]$.

Here a star triangle is a graph joining some triangles at one common vertex, e.g., the graph on $\{1, \ldots, 7\}$ with the edges $\{1, 2\}$, $\{1, 3\}$, $\{2, 3\}$, $\{1, 4\}$, $\{1, 5\}$, $\{4, 5\}$, $\{1, 6\}$,

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4584590

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/4584590

Daneshyari.com