



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra



# Idempotents in ring extensions <sup>☆</sup>

Pramod Kanwar <sup>a</sup>, André Leroy <sup>b,\*</sup>, Jerzy Matczuk <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Ohio University, Department of Mathematics, Zanesville, OH, USA

<sup>b</sup> Université d'Artois, Faculté Jean Perrin, Rue Jean Souvraz, 62 307 Lens, France

<sup>c</sup> Institute of Mathematics, Warsaw University, Banacha 2, 02-097 Warsaw, Poland

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 11 September 2012

Available online 8 June 2013

Communicated by Louis Rowen

### Keywords:

Idempotents

Polynomial rings

Power series rings

Projective-free modules

## ABSTRACT

The aim of the paper is to study idempotents of ring extensions  $R \subseteq S$  where  $S$  stands for one of the rings  $R[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ ,  $R[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$ ,  $R[[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]]$ . We give criteria for an idempotent of  $S$  to be conjugate to an idempotent of  $R$ . Using our criteria we show, in particular, that idempotents of the power series ring are conjugate to idempotents of the base ring and we apply this to give a new proof of the result of P.M. Cohn (2003) [4, Theorem 7] that the ring of power series over a projective-free ring is also projective-free. We also get a short proof of the more general fact that if the quotient ring  $R/J$  of a ring  $R$  by its Jacobson radical  $J$  is projective-free then so is the ring  $R$ .

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

By the ring extension  $S$  of an associative unital ring  $R$  we mean, in this article, one of the following rings: the polynomial ring  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  in finite number of commuting indeterminates  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , the Laurent polynomial ring  $R[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$  and the power series ring  $R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ . The aim of the paper is to study relations between idempotents of  $R$  and those of  $S$ . One of the motivations of our study is the Quillen and Suslin solution of Serre's problem which says that every finitely generated projective module over a polynomial ring  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , where  $K$  is a field, is free (cf. [8] for more details). Since any finitely generated projective module is associated with an idempotent of a matrix ring, the above result can be translated in terms of idempotents as follows: every idempotent  $e^2 = e \in M_l(K)[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is conjugate to an idempotent in the base ring  $M_l(K)$ .

<sup>☆</sup> This research was supported by the Polish National Center of Science Grant No. DEC-2011/03/B/ST1/04893.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: kanwar@ohio.edu (P. Kanwar), andre.leroy@univ-artois.fr (A. Leroy), jmatczuk@mimuw.edu.pl (J. Matczuk).

$E(R)$  will denote the set of all idempotents of a ring  $R$ . At the beginning, we present necessary and sufficient conditions for the equality  $E(S) = E(R)$  (Corollary 6). As a byproduct of our investigations we obtain a short proof of a result of H. Bass on idempotents in commutative group rings.

Two elements  $a, b$  in a ring  $R$  are said to be *conjugate* if there exists an invertible element  $u \in R$  such that  $b = uau^{-1}$ . We provide, in Corollary 11, a sufficient condition for two idempotents in a ring to be conjugate. With the help of this condition we show, in Theorem 13, that any idempotent of  $R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  is conjugate to an idempotent of  $R$ . We also study situations when a similar result holds for a polynomial ring.

Let us recall a few definitions: a ring  $R$  is *2-primal* if the set of its nilpotent elements is exactly the prime radical of  $R$ . A ring  $R$  is *abelian* if all idempotents of  $R$  belong to its center. It is well known that reduced rings are abelian (cf. [6]). We show that every idempotent of a polynomial ring  $R[x]$  is conjugate to an idempotent of  $R$  in the following cases:  $R$  is abelian,  $R$  is the matrix ring  $M_n(A)$ , where  $A$  is either a division ring or a polynomial ring  $K[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  over a field  $K$ . It is also shown that any idempotent of degree one in  $R[x]$  is conjugate to an idempotent of  $R$ . Based on a result of Ojanguren and Sridharan we give an explicit example of a polynomial  $e$  of degree two with coefficients in  $R = M_2(\mathbb{H}[y])$ , which is an idempotent of  $R[x]$  not conjugate to any idempotent of  $R$ . In fact, there are uncountably many nonconjugate such idempotents.

We also show that, for any ring  $R$ , the semicentral idempotents of  $R[x]$  are conjugate to idempotents of  $R$  (Theorem 18).

A ring  $R$  is *projective-free* if every finitely generated left (equivalently right)  $R$ -module is free of unique rank. As a consequence of our investigations, we give new short proofs of a series of classical results, namely, a theorem of P.M. Cohn saying that the projective-free property lifts up from  $R/J$  to  $R$ , where  $J$  is the Jacobson radical of  $R$  (Theorem 21(a)); a particular case of a result of I. Kaplansky which says that local rings are projective-free (Theorem 21(b)); another theorem of P.M. Cohn stating that if  $R$  is projective-free then so is  $R[[x]]$  (Theorem 22) and a theorem of G. Song and X. Guo saying that two idempotents in a ring are equivalent if and only if they are conjugate (Corollary 20).

## 2. Idempotents

We begin with the following elementary result (cf. [1, Proposition 2.5]).

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and  $e(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e_i x^i \in R[[x]]$  be an idempotent. If  $e_0 e_i = e_i e_0$ , for every  $i \geq 1$ , then  $e(x) = e_0$ . In particular, if  $R$  is abelian, then  $E(R[[x]]) = E(R[x]) = E(R)$ .*

**Proof.** It is clear that  $e_0$  is an idempotent of  $R$ . Assume that  $e(x) \neq e_0$  and let  $k > 0$  be the least index such that  $e_k \neq 0$ . Comparing the degree  $k$  coefficients of  $e(x)^2$  and  $e(x)$  we get  $2e_0 e_k = e_k$ . Multiplying this equality by  $e_0$  we obtain  $e_0 e_k = 0$  and hence also  $e_k = 0$ . This contradiction yields the result.  $\square$

The above observation is also contained in Lemma 8 of [5]. As a first application we prove the following result which can also be obtained by combining Propositions 2.4 and 2.5 in [1] and Lemma 1.7 in [2].

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $S_n$  denote one of the following rings  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ,  $R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  and  $R[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_n^{\pm 1}]$ . If  $e$  is a central idempotent of  $S_n$ , then  $e \in R$ .*

**Proof.** Lemma 1 gives the result for rings  $R[x]$  and  $R[[x]]$ . Since the element  $1 - x$  is invertible in  $R[[x]]$ , the  $R$ -monomorphism  $\phi : R[x] \rightarrow R[[x]]$  which sends  $x$  onto  $1 - x$  has a natural extension to an  $R$ -monomorphism  $\phi : R[x^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow R[[x]]$  given by  $\phi(x^{-1}) = (1 - x)^{-1}$ . Let  $e \in R[x, x^{-1}]$  be a central idempotent. Since  $e$  commutes with elements of  $R$  the same property holds for the idempotent  $\phi(e) \in R[[x]]$ . This implies that  $\phi(e) \in R$  and  $e \in R$  follows. Hence the result holds for  $R[x^{\pm 1}]$ . Now it is standard to complete the proof by induction on  $n$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.** As in the above proposition, let  $S_1$  denote either  $R[x]$ ,  $R[x, x^{-1}]$  or  $R[x]$ . It is easy to check that if  $\delta$  is a derivation of a ring  $R$  then, for any central idempotent  $e \in R$ , we have  $\delta(e) = 0$ . Thus,

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4585172>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4585172>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)