



Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra)

# Quasi-projective modules over prime hereditary noetherian V-rings are projective or injective

Hai Q. Dinh<sup>a</sup>, Christopher J. Holston<sup>b</sup>, Dinh V. Huynh<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematical Sciences, Kent State University, Warren, OH, USA

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, Ohio University, Athens, OH, USA

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 30 March 2012

Available online 12 April 2012

Communicated by Louis Rowen

### MSC:

16U10

16D40

16P40

### Keywords:

Hereditary noetherian ring

R-projective module

PCI-domain

V-domain

SI-ring

Nonsingular module

Singular module

## ABSTRACT

Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be the field of rational numbers. As a module over the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -projective, but  $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is not a projective module. Contrary to this situation, we show that over a prime right noetherian right hereditary right V-ring  $R$ , a right module  $P$  is projective if and only if  $P$  is  $R$ -projective. As a consequence of this we obtain the result stated in the title. Furthermore, we apply this to affirmatively answer a question that was left open in a recent work of Holston, López-Permouth and Orhan Ertag (2012) [9] by showing that over a right noetherian prime right SI-ring, quasi-projective right modules are projective or semisimple.

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

We consider associative rings with identity. All modules are unitary modules. Let  $M, N$  be right  $R$ -modules. The module  $M$  is called  $N$ -projective if for each exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{g} K \rightarrow 0$$

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [hdinh@kent.edu](mailto:hdinh@kent.edu) (H.Q. Dinh), [holston@ohio.edu](mailto:holston@ohio.edu) (C.J. Holston), [huynh@ohio.edu](mailto:huynh@ohio.edu) (D.V. Huynh).

in  $\text{Mod-}R$ , and any homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow K$  there is a homomorphism  $f' : M \rightarrow N$  such that  $gf' = f$ .

A right  $R$ -module  $P$  is defined to be a projective module if  $P$  is  $N$ -projective for any  $N \in \text{Mod-}R$ . A ring  $R$  is right (left) hereditary if every right (left) ideal of  $R$  is projective as a right (left)  $R$ -module. A right and left hereditary (noetherian) ring is simply called a hereditary (noetherian) ring.

For basic properties of (quasi-)projective modules as well as concepts of modules and rings not defined here we refer to [1,7,11,12,14].

Unlike the injectivity of modules, an  $R$ -projective module may not be projective, in general. As an example for that, we consider the ring  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rational numbers as a module over the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers (cf. [1, 10(1), p. 190]). Then, since every nonzero homomorphic image of  $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is infinite (and injective), there is no nonzero homomorphism from  $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}/A$  for any ideal  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence  $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -projective. But, obviously,  $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is not projective. Note that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a noetherian hereditary domain, it is even a commutative PID.

Motivated by this we ask a question:

*For which noetherian hereditary domains  $D$ ,  $D$ -projectivity implies projectivity?*

In this note we answer this question affirmatively for prime right noetherian right hereditary right V-rings (Corollary 4). Using this we show that the class (iii) of [9, Theorem 3.11] is not empty. This is what the authors of [9] wanted to see.

Note that a ring  $R$  is called a right V-ring (after Villamayor) if every simple right  $R$ -module is injective. For basic properties of V-rings we refer to [13].

## 2. Results

A submodule  $E$  of a module  $M$  is called an essential submodule if for any nonzero submodule  $A \subseteq M$ ,  $E \cap A \neq 0$ . A nonzero submodule  $U \subseteq M$  is called uniform if every nonzero submodule of  $U$  is essential in  $U$ .

A right  $R$ -module  $N$  is called nonsingular if for any nonzero element  $x \in N$  the annihilator  $\text{ann}_R(x)$  of  $x$  in  $R$  is not an essential right ideal of  $R$ . A right  $R$ -module  $S$  is called a singular module if the annihilator in  $R$  of each nonzero element of  $S$  is an essential right ideal of  $R$ . Every  $R$ -module  $M$  has a maximal singular submodule  $Z(M)$  which contains all singular submodules of  $M$ . This is a fully invariant submodule of  $M$  and it is called the singular submodule of  $M$ . Clearly,  $M$  is nonsingular if and only if  $Z(M) = 0$ . For a ring  $R$ , if  $Z(R_R) = 0$  (resp.,  $Z({}_R R) = 0$ ) then  $R$  is called right (left) nonsingular. (See, e.g., [8, p. 5].) To indicate that  $M$  is a right (left) module over  $R$  we write  $M_R$  (resp.,  ${}_R M$ ).

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $R$  be a right nonsingular right V-ring. Any nonzero  $R$ -projective right  $R$ -module  $M$  is nonsingular.*

**Proof.** Assume on the contrary that  $M$  contains a nonzero singular submodule  $T$ . As  $R$  is a right V-ring,  $T$  contains a maximal submodule  $V$  for which we have  $M/V = (T/V) \oplus (L/V)$  for some submodule  $L$  of  $M$  with  $V \subset L$ . On the other hand, there exists a maximal right ideal  $B \subset R$  such that  $R/B \cong T/V$  as right  $R$ -modules. This means there is a homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow R/B$  with  $\text{Ker}(f) = L$ . By the definition of the  $R$ -projectivity, there exists a homomorphism  $f' : M \rightarrow R_R$  such that  $gf' = f$  where  $g$  is the canonical homomorphism  $R \rightarrow R/B$ . However, this is impossible, because as  $R$  is right nonsingular, the kernel of  $f'$  must contain the singular submodule  $T$  which implies  $\text{Ker}(gf') \neq \text{Ker}(f)$ . Thus  $M$  does not contain a nonzero singular submodule, proving that  $M$  is nonsingular.  $\square$

We would like to remark that Lemma 1 does not hold if the ring  $R$  is not a right V-ring. As an example for this, we again take the ring  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  be a prime number, then the  $p$ -Prüfer group  $C(p^\infty)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -projective (cf. [1, 10(1), p. 190]), but  $C(p^\infty)$  is a singular  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

If a module  $M$  has finite uniform dimension, we denote its dimension by  $\text{u-dim}(M)$  and call  $M$  a finite dimensional module.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4585731>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4585731>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)