



Hardy inequality and asymptotic eigenvalue distribution for discrete Laplacians

Sylvain Golénia

Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux, Université Bordeaux I, 351, cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence cedex, France

Received 18 January 2012; accepted 10 October 2013

Available online 13 January 2014

Communicated by L. Gross

Abstract

In this paper we study in detail some spectral properties of the magnetic discrete Laplacian. We identify its form-domain, characterize the absence of essential spectrum and provide the asymptotic eigenvalue distribution.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Magnetic discrete Laplacian; Locally finite graphs; Self-adjointness; Unboundedness; Semi-boundedness; Spectrum; Spectral graph theory; Asymptotic of eigenvalues; Essential spectrum

Contents

1. Introduction	2663
2. General properties	2668
2.1. A few words about the Friedrichs extension	2668
2.2. Essential self-adjointness	2668
2.3. Min–max principle	2670
3. Surrounding the Laplacian	2672
3.1. A Hardy inequality	2672
3.2. The case of trees	2675
4. Comparison of domains	2677
4.1. From form-domain to domain	2677

E-mail address: sylvain.golenia@u-bordeaux1.fr.

4.2. The form-domain for bi-partite graphs 2682
 5. Perturbation theory 2683
 Acknowledgments 2685
 Appendix A. The C^1 regularity 2685
 Appendix B. Helffer–Sjöstrand’s formula 2686
 References 2687

1. Introduction

The uncertainty principle is a central point in quantum physics. It can be expressed by the following Hardy inequality:

$$\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\right)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} f(x) \right|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f|^2 dx = \langle f, -\Delta_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \rangle, \quad \text{where } n \geq 3, \tag{1.1}$$

and $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Roughly speaking, the Laplacian controls some local singularities of a potential. In this paper, we investigate which potentials a discrete Laplacian is able to control. Obviously, since the value of a potential on a vertex has to be finite, we will not focus on local singularities. However, unlike in the continuous case, we will control potentials that explode at infinity.

We start with some definitions and fix our notation for graphs. We refer to [6,5,35] for surveys on the matter. Let \mathcal{V} be a countable set. Let $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and assume that

$$\mathcal{E}(x, y) = \mathcal{E}(y, x), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathcal{V}.$$

We say that $G := (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{V})$ is an unoriented weighted graph with *vertices* \mathcal{V} and *weighted edges* \mathcal{E} . In the setting of electrical networks, the weights correspond to the conductances. We say that $x, y \in \mathcal{V}$ are *neighbors* if $\mathcal{E}(x, y) \neq 0$ and denote it by $x \sim y$. We say that there is a *loop* in $x \in \mathcal{V}$ if $\mathcal{E}(x, x) \neq 0$. The set of *neighbors* of $x \in \mathcal{E}$ is denoted by

$$\mathcal{N}_G(x) := \{y \in \mathcal{E}, x \sim y\}.$$

The *degree* of $x \in V$ is by definition $|\mathcal{N}_G(x)|$, the number of neighbors of x . A graph is *locally finite* if $|\mathcal{N}_G(x)|$ is finite for all $x \in V$. We also need a weight on the vertices

$$m : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow (0, \infty).$$

Finally, as we are dealing with magnetic fields, we fix a phase

$$\theta : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow [-\pi, \pi], \quad \text{such that } \theta(x, y) = -\theta(y, x).$$

We set $\theta_{x,y} := \theta(x, y)$. A graph is *connected*, if for all $x, y \in V$, there exists an *x–y-path*, i.e., there is a finite sequence

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{N+1}) \in \mathcal{V}^{N+1} \quad \text{such that } x_1 = x, \quad x_{N+1} = y \quad \text{and} \quad x_n \sim x_{n+1},$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/4590251>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/4590251>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)